normal diffusion with anomalous fluctuations

sarang gopalakrishnan (princeton)

de nardis, sg, vasseur, ware, pnas **119**, e2202823119 (2022) sg, morningstar, vasseur, khemani, prb **109**, 024417 (2024) sg, mcculloch, vasseur, pnas **121**, e2403327121 (2024) *review article*: sg + vasseur, rep. prog. phys. **86**, 036502 (2023)

a simple but nontrivial model

General Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i} (X_{i}X_{i+1} + Y_{i}Y_{i+1} + \Delta Z_{i}Z_{i+1})$$

- Ballistic energy transport due to integrability
- Separate conservation of charge and DW's





number of domain walls

a simple but nontrivial model

General Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i} (X_{i}X_{i+1} + Y_{i}Y_{i+1} + \Delta Z_{i}Z_{i+1})$$

- Separate conservation of charge and DW's
- ... $\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow$... cannot grow or shrink or break so it's stuck
- But a single flipped spin like $\ldots \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \ldots$ can move around freely
- Model is integrable:
 - Magnons move ballistically even at finite density \bullet
 - Magnons and frozen domains are separately conserved



quasiparticle picture of integrable systems



Two variables (velocities), two constraints (momentum + k.e.) If particles have equal mass:

$$v_1^f = v_2^i, v_2^f = v_1^i$$

Set of velocities {*v*} preserved

Three-body collisions relax $\{v\}$ unless they factorize (Hubbard/Heisenberg)

In integrable systems a picture of colliding trolleys can be made exact

Each trolley moves at a renormalized velocity that depends on the density of other trolleys that are in the way

So why isn't everything always ballistic?





outline

- Diffusive spin transport
- Effect of breaking integrability
- Full counting statistics (FCS)
- General hydrodynamic picture of FCS

why is spin transport diffusive?





- What happens when a small mobile domain hits a large immobile domain?
- Small domain is stripped of spin, hence no ballistic spin transport (but still ballistic energy transport)
- Large domain undergoes Brownian motion from repeated collisions

intuitive argument for diffusion



- In time t a magnon "sees" a system of size $x \sim t$
- Positive and negative domains in this finite-size region cancel only up to a factor $\sim 1/\sqrt{t}$
- Residual magnetization carried by quasiparticle: m
- Amount of magnetization transported:

$$\langle \delta(m^{\mathrm{dr}}x)^2 \rangle \sim \frac{v^2 t^2}{vt} \sim vt$$

SG, Vasseur, 2019

$$u^{\rm dr} \sim 1/\sqrt{t}$$



structure factor at general filling

- Contributions to correlation function $S(x,t) \equiv \langle \sigma^{z}(x,t)\sigma^{z}(0,0)\rangle - \langle \sigma^{z}\rangle^{2}$:
 - Magnon moves from one point to the other
 - Frozen pattern of domain walls diffuses from one point to the other \bullet
- Magnon carries "charge" $\sim -\langle \sigma^z \rangle$
- Leading magnon contribution to structure factor:

$$\langle \sigma^z \rangle^2 \sum_{v} P(v) \delta(x - vt)$$

Frozen pattern undergoes Brownian motion, gives contribution:

$$(1 - \langle \sigma^z \rangle^2) \exp(-x^2/(Dt))$$

where D is some O(1) number set by magnon density





integrability-breaking

breaking integrability with noise

Time-dependent Hamiltonian:

 $H = H_0 + H(t) = \sum_{i} (X_i X_{i+1} + Y_i Y_{i+1} + \Delta Z_i Z_{i+1}) + h_i(t) Z_i$ where $\langle h_i(t) \rangle = 0, \langle h_i(t)h_i(t') \rangle = \gamma f(t - t')$

- Respects constraint but breaks integrability
- Why is this a good idea? Average over noise, get a Lindblad master equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \mathscr{L}(\rho) = -i[H_0, \rho] + \gamma \sum_i (Z_i \rho Z_i - \rho) = -i[H_0, \rho] + -i[H$$

- This Lindblad master equation has two useful features:
 - Immediately restores diffusion for a single quasiparticle (otherwise, you would need collisions for diffusion)
 - Permits efficient numerical simulations because noise kills entanglement



 $\rho)$

so what does it do?

- In time t a magnon sees a region of size \sqrt{t}
- So the magnetization it sees is $t^{-1/4}$
- Transported spin: $t^{1/2} \times t^{-1/4} \sim t^{1/4}$: subdiffusion!
- Energy is transported diffusively
- What is the subdiffusion rate?
 - Mean free time, mean free path, energy diffusivity $\sim 1/\gamma$ •
 - Spin transport $\sim (t/\gamma)^{1/4}$
- How to see this from the domain wall picture? Same magnon repeatedly interacts with domain wall, leads to anticorrelations in the Brownian motion



so what does it do?

- Spin transport $(t/\gamma)^{1/4} \sim \sqrt{D(t)t}$, $D(t) \sim$
- Spin conductivity $\sim \sqrt{\omega/\gamma}$ at low frequencies
- Integrability-breaking can be detected at frequencies $\leq 1/\gamma, \Rightarrow \omega^* \sim \gamma$
- Away from the infinite- Δ limit, eventually get diffusion at very low frequencies, but the diffusion constant is discontinuous from the integrable limit
- Numerical methods that break integrability weakly can converge to the wrong diffusion constant

$$\sim (\gamma t)^{-1/2}$$





full counting statistics

full counting statistics (fcs)

- Single-site resolved projective measurement of all atoms
- Lots of data, need good summary statistics going beyond exp. vals. lacksquare
- One way to organize the data: full counting statistics (fcs)

full counting statistics (fcs)

- Single-site resolved projective measurement of all atoms
- Lots of data, need good summary statistics going beyond exp. vals.
- One way to organize the data: full counting statistics (fcs)
- Experimental protocol for fcs:
 - Initialize two half-systems separated by a barrier, at (sharp) particle numbers Q_{L}^{0}, Q_{R}^{0}
 - Lower barrier and run the dynamics to time t, measure all particle positions lacksquare
 - This gives conditional distribution $P(Q_R^t, Q_L^t | Q_R^0, Q_L^0)$
 - Compute particle transfer as $P(Q_R^t Q_L^t (Q_R^0 Q_L^0))$

"standard" fcs

fcs for conventional diffusion

- All cumulants of the charge transfer scale as \sqrt{t}
 - From nonequilibrium initial condition, mean $\sim \sqrt{t}$
 - Standard deviation ~ $t^{1/4}$: equilibration over scale \sqrt{t} , fluctuations $\sqrt{\sqrt{t}}$
- Only cares about density-dependent transport/thermodynamic coefficients

Full distribution function follows from solving the fluctuating hydro equations with white noise

 $\partial_t n = \partial_x \left(D(n) \partial_x n + \sqrt{D(n) \chi(n)} \xi \right)$

why would this apply to quantum systems?

	classical noisy	classical det.	quantum noisy	quantum det.
random state	noise + ensemble	ensemble	noise + ensemble + projection	ensemble + projection
deterministic state	noise	none	noise + projection	projection
integrable vs. chaotic	chaotic	either	chaotic	either

explicit calculation for random circuits (McCulloch, De Nardis, SG, Vasseur, PRL (2022))

how could this go wrong?

$$\partial_t n = \partial_x \left(D(n) \right)$$

- Much of MFT is unchanged if D(n) is a smooth function of density
- Why would this ever fail?
- In the XXZ model, the diffusion constant is *infinite* away from half filling
- Also nonintegrable models with this feature (e.g., graphene at charge neutrality)

Basic MFT thesis is that all fluctuations are set by density-dependent diffusion constant:

 $(z)\partial_x n + \sqrt{D(n)\chi(n)}\,\xi$

fcs in the xxz spin chain

xxz at large polarization $\mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O}$ $\Delta Q = +2$

- Big immobile domain has intermittent collisions with magnons
- Domain edge undergoes random walk due to collisions
- Relation between domain motion and Q(t):
 - When the domain wall moves *toward* the origin, Q decreases \bullet
 - When the domain wall moves *away from* the origin, Q increases
- Domain wall starts at the origin, so Q(t) = |x(t)| ulletabsolute value of displacement of a random walker

xxz at large polarization

$\bullet \bullet \circ \circ \circ \circ$ O O O O O O $\Delta Q = +2$

- value of a random walk
- Two implications:
 - Strongly skewed distribution \bullet
 - Mean and *standard deviation* scale the same way as \sqrt{t} : mean and variance scale with different powers
 - Main reason: not independent walkers, just one giant walker

Domain wall starts at the origin, so probability distribution of magnetization transfer is the absolute

general case: three-mode hydrodynamics

hydro with a conserved energy current

- Continuity equation for energy: $\partial_t e + \partial_i \phi_i = 0$
- Continuity equation for energy current: $\partial_t \phi_i + \partial_j q_{ji} = 0$
- Constitutive relation for q_{ji} : $q_{ji} = Be\delta_{ji} + \dots$
- Continuity equation for energy current, at Euler scale: $\partial_t \phi_i + B \partial_i e = \dots$

hydro with a conserved energy current

- Continuity equation for energy: $\partial_t e + \partial_i \phi_i = 0$
- Continuity equation for energy current: $\partial_t \phi_i + \partial_i q_{ii} = 0$
- Constitutive relation for q_{ii} : $q_{ii} = Be\delta_{ii} + \dots$
- Continuity equation for energy current, at Euler scale: $\partial_t \phi_i + B \partial_i e = \dots$
- These two equations describe sound waves; how do the sound waves couple to charge?

hydrodynamics of charge

- Previously: $\partial_t e + \partial_i \phi_i = 0$, $\partial_t \phi_i + B \partial_i e = 0$ (at Euler scale)
- Continuity equation for charge: $\partial_t n + \partial_i j_i = 0$
- Only Euler-scale term allowed in $j_i = n\phi_i$

$$j_i \sim -D\partial_i n + \xi_i + \dots$$

But *nonlinear fluctuations* matter, so general hydro is $\partial_t n + \partial_i (n\phi_i) = D\partial_i \partial_i n + \xi_i$

Away from states with particle-hole symmetry, $\delta j_i \sim n_0 \delta \phi_i$: particles carry energy + charge

At charge neutrality this coupling is absent, so linearized hydro of charge is purely diffusive:

why quasi-1D?

 In higher dimensions, macroscopic number of inde which is asymptotically Gaussian.

In D > 1, sound waves propagate in a continuum of directions, only charge along the path of a wave feel same convective force In 1D, every parcel of charge feels the effect of every sound wave.

In higher dimensions, macroscopic number of independent regions contribute to charge transfer — sum over

hydrodynamic decoupling

Effect of "Brownian coupling": \bullet

 $\partial_t n + \partial_i (n\phi_i) = 0$

- Fluctuations in ϕ_i are rapidly moving sound waves that impart random kicks to n
- Because *n* is slowly fluctuating these kicks on a particular fluid element are effectively uncorrelated in time: Brownian motion
- Nonlinearity reduces to tackling multiplicative noise with ballistic correlations

 $\langle \phi(x,t)\phi(0)\rangle \sim \delta(x-vt)$

These correlations matter for FCS since all the particles are feeling the same noise

time

position

solution by characteristics

- $\partial_t n + \partial_x (n\phi) = 0$, $\langle \phi(x, t)\phi(0) \rangle \sim \delta(x vt)$
- Formal solution:

$$n(x,t) \approx n_0 \left(x - \int_0^t dt' \phi(x,t') \right)$$

where $n_0(x) = n(x,0)$

- Distribution reaches a nongaussian limit shape which is different for eq'm and biased states
- Cumulants scale as $t^{n/2}$ rather than $t^{1/2}$ as in standard diffusive systems
- Matches recent results for integrable XXZ (sg et al, 2022; krajnik et al., 2022)

two-color fluid

- Galilean (rather than Lorentz) invariance
- Conserved quantities: total number, imbalance, momentum, energy
- At half filling, imbalance does not overlap with total momentum, and is transported diffusively [cf. Sachdev-Damle]
- Very similar to Dirac fluid, but easy to simulate
- Quasi-1D geometry: wide enough to be chaotic, narrow enough to not average out FCS

two-color fluid

Equilibrium: cumulants $C_n \sim t^{n/4}$

Domain wall: cumulants $C_n \sim t^{n/2}$

- Transport can be diffusive at special points in systems with ballistic modes or systems with a nonequilibrium drive (e.g., asymmetric exclusion)
- This "diffusive" transport has strongly enhanced, nongaussian fluctuations
- Effect is general in nonequilibrium stochastic systems / "active matter" [mcculloch, vasseur, sg, *in prep*]
- Also the basis of fluctuations in integrable systems
 [sg, huse, khemani, vasseur (2018); medenjak et al. (2019); doyon (2020)]
- What if the ballistic modes are not strictly ballistic but just long-lived? What is the crossover to regular MFT?
- What about disorder—e.g., smoothly varying chemical potentials?
- What is the best way to probe this physics in higher-dimensional settings? (nonlinear response? multipoint noise correlations?)
- Does quantum mechanics play any part here?

