



A New Screening Mechanism and its Cosmological consequences

Philippe Brax

Institut de Physique Théorique

Université Paris-Saclay

Collaboration with C. van de Bruck (Sheffield),
C. Burgess (Perimeter), A. Davis (Cambridge),
F. Quevedo (Cambridge) and A. Smith
(Sheffield).


2310.02092

[2410.11099](#)

[2408.10820](#)

[2505.05450](#)





DESI 1

E par si muove !



$$\underbrace{w_0 = -0.827 \pm 0.063 \quad w_a = -0.75^{+0.29}_{-0.25}}_{\text{DESI + CMB + Pantheon+} \Rightarrow 2.5\sigma}$$

DESI + CMB + Pantheon+ $\Rightarrow 2.5\sigma$

$$\underbrace{w_0 = -0.64 \pm 0.11 \quad w_a = -1.27^{+0.40}_{-0.34}}_{\text{DESI + CMB + Union3} \Rightarrow 3.5\sigma}$$

DESI + CMB + Union3 $\Rightarrow 3.5\sigma$


$$\underbrace{w_0 = -0.727 \pm 0.067 \quad w_a = -1.05^{+0.31}_{-0.27}}_{\text{DESI + CMB + DES-SN5YR} \Rightarrow 3.9\sigma}$$

DESI + CMB + DES-SN5YR $\Rightarrow 3.9\sigma$

$$\omega(z) = \omega_0 + \omega_a \frac{z}{1+z}$$

Simple models can reproduce the data!

Is it expected?



The dark energy scale is in the ***pico-eV range***: apparent fine-tuning compared to standard model scales.



$$\delta\rho_\Lambda = M^4, \quad M \sim 100\text{GeV}$$

Weinberg's theorem states that there is no non-fine-tuned nearly vanishing vacuum energy in a 4d quantum field theory respecting **Poincare invariance**.

Dynamical configurations



Dark energy




Scalar field rolling down its potential
Lorentz invariance implies the existence of a dark energy field which can be seen as the Goldstone model for the breaking of time translation invariance.

The most important stringy **conjectures** for dark energy are:

In an ideal world, string theory or any other version of quantum gravity would be finite so the vacuum energy could be calculable. Not the case in ordinary Quantum Field Theory.

- ✓ **The de Sitter conjecture:** a pure vacuum energy with no dynamics is not compatible with string theory.
- ✓ **The vacuum conjecture:** Empty space-time is described by the dynamics of at least one scalar field with a potential such that



Moduli could be “sizes” of extra-dimensions

$$\left| \frac{dV}{d\phi} \right| \geq c \frac{V}{m_{\text{Pl}}}$$

$$c = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2})$$

This forbids very flat potentials. This favours runaway potentials where the field is a “modulus”.

Some expected features:


- Dark energy is determined by the position of the field now:

$$3\Omega_{\Lambda}H_0^2m_{\text{Pl}}^2 = V(\phi_{\text{now}})$$

- The field is ***extremely light***:

$$m_{\phi}^2 = \left. \frac{d^2V}{d\phi^2} \right|_{\text{now}} \sim \frac{V_{\text{now}}}{m_{\text{Pl}}^2} = 3\Omega_{\Lambda}H_0^2$$


Mass of the order
of the Hubble rate


$$H_0 \sim 10^{-42} \text{ GeV}$$

Runaway dilaton model:

Focus on simplest potential with runaway behaviour:

$$\gamma = \frac{2}{\lambda}, \quad \alpha = \frac{2}{\lambda^2}$$



Klein-Gordon equation

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = 0$$

$$V(\phi) = V_0 e^{-\lambda\phi/m_{\text{Pl}}}$$

Friedmann:

$$H^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) + \rho}{3m_{\text{Pl}}^2}$$

matter

$$\omega_\phi = -1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{3}$$

Initially dark energy is subdominant and then starts dominating when matter becomes very small.

$$\phi = \phi_\star + \gamma m_{\text{Pl}} \ln \frac{t}{t_\star}$$


$$a = a_\star \left(\frac{t}{t_\star} \right)^\alpha$$

Problem: The coupling to matter

small

$$\beta \sim \frac{H^2}{m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \int \frac{d^4 p}{p^4}$$

Divergent



$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{\beta}{m_{\text{Pl}}} m_\psi \phi \bar{\psi} \psi$$

Yukawa interaction similar to the Higgs interaction to matter.


No ***reason*** to assume $\beta = 0$

Deviations from Newton's law are parametrised by:

$$\Phi = -\frac{G_N M}{r} (1 + 2\beta^2 e^{-r/\lambda})$$

For long range forces with large λ , the tightest constraint on the coupling β comes from the Cassini probe measuring the Shapiro effect (time delay around a big object: the Sun):

$$\beta^2 \leq 4 \cdot 10^{-5}$$




Bertotti et al. (2004)

Fifth force:

$$\vec{a}_\phi = -\vec{\nabla}\phi$$


Two options:

Symmetry: the shift symmetry of a Goldstone boson prevents such a coupling BUT the symmetry is broken by the potential so the problem is reintroduced!



Screening

Analogous to the Meissner effect in superconductors: the inside of the solar system is free of scalar field lines.





—————> No gradient=no fifth force

Chameleon Screening

When coupled to matter, scalar fields have a *matter dependent effective potential*

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) = V(\phi) + \rho_m (A(\phi) - 1)$$



The field generated from deep inside is Yukawa suppressed. Only a *thin shell* radiates outside the body. Hence suppressed scalar contribution to the fifth force.

Vainshtein Mechanism in a nutshell

We can use a simple example with higher derivatives:


$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2\Lambda^3}(\partial\phi)^2\Box\phi + \frac{\beta\phi}{M_P}T.$$

Non-linearity

$$\Lambda^3 = H_0^2 m_{\text{Pl}}$$

Very low cutoff scale!

$$\frac{F_\phi}{F_N} = 2\beta^2 \left(\frac{r}{R_V} \right)^{3/2}.$$



screened

$$R_V = \left(\frac{\beta M_c}{2\pi M_P} \right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{\Lambda}.$$

Well inside the Vainshtein radius, Newtonian gravity is restored. Well outside, gravity is modified.

The Vainshtein radius is very large for stars, typically 0.1 kpc for the sun.

These theories are **beyond** normal effective theories

$$V(\phi) = \Lambda_0^4 + \frac{\Lambda^{n+4}}{\phi^n}$$

Inverse power laws... and needs a cosmological constant

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2\Lambda^3}(\partial\phi)^2 \square\phi + \frac{\beta\phi}{M_P}T.$$

Vainshtein when:

$$\square \geq H_0^2$$

Need to work beyond the validity of the derivative expansion.... (possible way out: non-renormalisation theorems)

Bringing modified gravity back to the fold:

Take heed from successful physics models:

The standard model of particle physics:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\mu^2}{2} H^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} H^4 + y H \bar{\psi} \psi$$

Inflation :


$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{R}{16\pi G_N} + cR^2 + \dots$$

Starobinski: simple curvature expansion around GR...


Simplest lowest order effective theory coupling Higgs and fermions

Multi-field dark energy sector

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(\phi^k)\partial_\mu\phi^i\partial^\mu\phi^j - V(\phi^i)$$



Screening can only happen with more than one field and a non-trivial σ -model metric.



Your favourite dark energy potential with no wacky potential in it.

Typical example:

ϕ

Dilaton of broken scale invariance


a

Pseudo-Goldstone axion of broken symmetry

Yoga models:

$$V(\phi) = U(\phi)e^{-\lambda\phi/m_{\text{Pl}}}$$

Large so no acceleration without the U prefactor.



Quadratic with a minimum.



Similar to Albrecht-Skordis

The axio-dilaton system

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}((\partial\phi)^2 + W^2(\phi)(\partial a)^2)$$

The axio-dilaton case corresponding to the volume modulus of compactifications from 10d to 4d:

$$K = -3m_{\text{Pl}}^2 \ln(T + \bar{T}) \longrightarrow \beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$$

The volume modulus can be decomposed in:

$$T = e^\phi + ia \longrightarrow e^{K/6m_{\text{Pl}}^2} = e^{-\phi/2}$$

dilaton
axion

$$W(\phi) = e^{-\phi}$$

Dilaton coupling to matter of order one!

The diagram illustrates the decomposition of the volume modulus T into its real and imaginary parts. The real part, e^ϕ , is identified as the dilaton, which is associated with the potential $W(\phi) = e^{-\phi}$. The imaginary part, ia , is identified as the axion. A thick arrow points from the full expression $T = e^\phi + ia$ to the matter coupling expression $e^{K/6m_{\text{Pl}}^2} = e^{-\phi/2}$. A blue arrow points from the dilaton label to the e^ϕ term, and another blue arrow points from the axion label to the ia term. A third blue arrow points from the dilaton coupling text to the $e^{-\phi/2}$ term in the matter coupling expression.

The axio-dilaton system

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}((\partial\phi)^2 + W^2(\phi)(\partial a)^2)$$

Choose W to have a minimum:

$$W^2(\phi) = 1 + \frac{(\phi - \phi_\star)^2}{2\Lambda_\phi^2}$$

The axion is chosen to have a simple potential:

$$V(a) = \frac{1}{2}m_a^2(a - a_+)^2 + \rho_m \frac{(a - a_-)^2}{2\Lambda_a^2}$$

QCD-inspired...

The Klein-Gordon equation for the axion is simply:

$$\nabla_\mu (W^2(\phi) \partial^\mu a) = \frac{1}{f^2} \partial_a V_{eff}$$

$$V_{eff}(a) = V(a) + \rho(x)U(a)$$

Matter density

The QCD example:

$$V_{QCD}(a) = -\Lambda_{QCD}^4 \left(1 - \frac{4m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\right)^{1/2}$$


$$U(a) = \sigma_B \cos \frac{a}{2}$$

Minimised at $a=0$ in vacuum to solve the QCD CP problem.


Of order of the QCD scale

Minimised at $a=\pi$

The axion profile depends on the mass of the axion inside and outside matter.



$$m_{\text{in}} R \gg 1$$



Size of the object.


$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}((\partial\phi)^2 + W^2(\phi)(\partial a)^2)$$

The large gradients impose that the dilaton does not vary much locally:

$$\phi \approx \phi_\star$$

Screening !

Large gradients



Minimised when W is minimal

The Klein-Gordon for the dilaton is:

$$\square\phi = WW'(\partial a)^2 + \frac{\beta}{m_{\text{Pl}}^2}\rho$$

Coupling constant to matter.

Axion driven “potential”

Far away from the body we expect:

$$\phi = \phi_\infty - \frac{Lm_{\text{Pl}}}{r}$$

Local value of the field in the environment

$$L = 2\beta G_N M$$

In the absence of screening.

The delta function as a source term implies a jump of the derivative of the dilaton:

$$\phi'_{\text{out}}(R) - \phi'_{\text{in}}(R) = \left(\frac{WW'}{2\ell}\right)_{r=R}(a_+ - a_-)^2$$

$$Lm_{\text{Pl}} = R^2 \phi'_{\text{out}}(R)$$

Needs to be negative to reduce the scalar charge of the object

The scalar charge L is determined by the competition between the different energy sources for the scalar field profile. This competition will select the **local value of the field** and determine the scalar charge.

In the axio-dilaton case, the solar system is small enough that the local energy is dominated by the local dynamics which differ from the cosmological one.

$$E_{\text{kin}} = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dr \, r^2 (W^2 (a')^2 + (\phi')^2)$$

Gives a surface contribution

$$E_{\text{kin,a}} = \frac{\pi}{\ell} R^2 W^2(r=R) (a_+^2 - a_-^2)$$

Dependent on the local value

$$E_{\text{kin}} = E_0 + \frac{L^2}{4G_N R}$$

$$L = 2\beta G_N M + R^2 \left(\frac{WW'}{2\ell} \right)_{r=R} \frac{(a_+ - a_-)^2}{m_{\text{Pl}}}$$

Having expanded W close to a minimum, the effective coupling to matter L can be obtained by minimising the energy with respect to the local value:

$$\beta_{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{L}{2G_N M} = \frac{\beta}{1 + \frac{R}{\ell} \frac{(a_+ - a_-)^2}{4\Lambda_\phi^2}}$$

Screening takes place as:

$$\frac{\ell}{R} \ll 1 \Rightarrow \frac{\beta_{\text{eff}}}{\beta} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ell}{R}\right) \ll 1$$

The dilaton is screened thanks to the large mass of the axion.

Exponential Screening:

Screening also takes place when:

$$W^2(\phi) = e^{-\xi\phi/m_{\text{Pl}}}$$

The coupling to matter becomes:

$$\beta_{\text{eff}} = \beta \frac{R}{2\xi G_N M}$$



Screening in solar system:

$$\xi \gtrsim 10^9 \Rightarrow \frac{m_{\text{Pl}}}{\xi} \lesssim 10^9 \text{ GeV}$$


Cosmology

Two Main Effects:

- ❑ **Early Dark Energy**
- ❑ **Influence on the growth of structure**



Early dark energy fraction



Early dark energy for free!

$$V(a) = \frac{1}{2}m_a^2(a - a_+)^2 + \rho_m \frac{(a - a_-)^2}{2\Lambda_a^2}$$



$$V(a_-) = \frac{1}{2}m_a^2(a_+ - a_-)^2$$

Early dark energy


A fraction of added matter



$$V(a_+) = \rho_m \frac{(a_+^2 - a_-)^2}{2\Lambda_a^2}$$

Early dark energy


Dark matter like



Tachyonic instability:

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} - \dot{a}^2 W W_{\phi} = -\partial_{\phi} V_{\text{eff}}(\phi)$$


Negative mass term in the quadratic case




No instability for exponential screening.





Quadratic coupling





Screening induces an instability limiting the amount of early dark energy














Quadratic Coupling W +Yoga
potential



Exponential W
+Yoga potential

Equation of state
crossing the phantom
divide

What is the equation of state?

In tensor-scalar theories:

$$\omega_{\text{DE}} = \frac{\omega_{\phi}}{1 + (A(\phi) - 1) \frac{\rho_m}{\rho_{\phi}}}$$

Equation of state in the
absence of coupling

Crossing the phantom divide
when $A < -1$.

Another subtlety is that one should calculate it in the Jordan frame...

Summary

- ❖ Dynamical dark energy would lead to large deviations from General Relativity locally: needs screening.
- ❖ Screening can be achieved in the multi-field setting with nothing beyond standard field theory (no inverse power laws etc...) .

- ❖ ***Questions:***

With one field there are 3 possible screening mechanisms: here with multiple fields??

Is there a cosmological signature: Clustering? Early DE?

Are there effects on the equivalence principle: Microscope 2?

Could screening be obtained from the moduli space of a string compactification ?????

- ❖ Could we construct a realistic model of dynamical dark energy with screening????

Contrary to what is usually assumed in cosmology, the scalar models arising from more fundamental (?) theories such as string theory always arise in pairs, and both could play a role:

Supersymmetry



Superfields=complex fields

$$\mathcal{L} = K_{a\bar{a}} \partial_\mu z^a \partial^\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{a}}$$

These fields in general have no potential as they arise as moduli in compactifications and one can deform the compactification manifold with no cost (unless there are fluxes...) or non-perturbative phenomena occur (like in QCD).


In general they couple to matter with a conformal coupling

$$g_{\mu\nu}^J = e^{K/3m_{\text{Pl}}^2} g_{\mu\nu}$$

Jordan Einstein

Explicit couplings could also appear for instance the Yukawa couplings could be moduli-dependent

Coupled massless moduli



Screening



??

Burgess and Quevedo concentrated on the axio-dilaton case corresponding to the volume modulus of compactifications from 10d to 4d:

$$K = -3m_{\text{Pl}}^2 \ln(T + \bar{T})$$

The volume modulus can be decomposed in:

$$T = e^\phi + ia \longrightarrow e^{K/6m_{\text{Pl}}^2} = e^{-\phi/2}$$

dilaton
axion

The diagram illustrates the decomposition of the volume modulus T into its real and imaginary parts. The real part, e^ϕ , is identified as the dilaton, and the imaginary part, ia , is identified as the axion. A horizontal arrow points from T to the expression $e^{K/6m_{\text{Pl}}^2} = e^{-\phi/2}$, which represents the volume modulus in terms of the dilaton field. A blue arrow points from the text 'Dilaton coupling to matter of order one!' to the $e^{-\phi/2}$ term in this expression.

They also assume that the matter action depends on the axion:

$$\mathcal{A} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_m}{\delta a}$$

Energetics

Traditionally for a nearly massless and unscreened field we have two sources of energy:

$$E_{\text{kin}} = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \frac{\phi'^2}{2} = E_0 + \frac{L^2}{4G_N R}$$

Kinetic energy inside independent
of the local value of the field

Kinetic energy outside the body
also independent of the local
value of the field.

$$E_{\text{pot}} = 4\pi \int_0^D dr r^2 V(\phi) \simeq \frac{4\pi}{3} D^3 (V_\infty + m_{\text{min}}^2 (\phi_\infty - \phi_{\text{min}})^2)$$

IR cutoff

Minimised

$$\phi_\infty = \phi_{\text{min}}$$

The local field value is the cosmological one locally as long as the local dynamics are subdominant to the cosmological one.

This is only true when




$$(H_0 D)^3 \geq \frac{2\beta^2}{\Omega_\Lambda} \Phi_N^2(RH_0)$$

For the Sun and the Cassini bound, the cosmological dynamics dominate when D is much larger (3000 a.u) than the solar system.

On small scales, the effects of the local masses dominates and dictates the local value of the field.


Frustration

Who wins?



In the presence of many bodies, close enough to each of them we expect the biggest one to determine the value of the field outside and the screening of all the smaller objects:

$$\frac{\phi_{\min} - \phi_{\star}}{m_{\text{Pl}}} \simeq -\frac{8\beta\ell\Lambda_{\phi}^2}{(a_{+} - a_{-})^2} \left(\frac{G_N M}{R^2} \right)$$



The object's surface gravity

The object with the **largest surface gravity** wins.

When the *mass of the axion is very large* compared to the inverse size of the object, we can apply the

Narrow Width Approximation

The axion field jumps over a size:

$$\ell \simeq m_a^{-1}$$

$$a = a_+ \Theta(r - R) + a_- \Theta(R - r)$$

$$a' = (a_+ - a_-) \delta(r - R)$$

We will need the expression for the square of a' :

$$(a')^2 = \frac{\delta(r - R)}{2\ell}$$

Same trick as when calculating cross section from the square of the matrix elements .

Minimising the energy with respect to the asymptotic value would determine:

$$W'(r = R) = 0$$

If it were not the contribution from the axion surface term in W .

Screening takes place when the scalar is attracted dynamically close to $W'=0$

$$W^2(\phi) = W_\star^2 + \frac{(\phi - \phi_\star)^2}{2\Lambda_\phi^2} + \dots$$