# Generative modeling of RNA switches with RBMs

Jorge Fernandez-de-Cossio-Diaz

Paris, ENS

In collaboration with: P. Hardouin, F.-X. Lyonnet du Moutier, A. Di Gioacchino, B. Marchand, Y. Ponty, B. Sargueil, R. Monasson, S. Cocco

Computational approaches to RNA structure and function Centro de Ciencias de Benasque 2024-07-24

## Structure and function of non-coding RNA molecules



**3d-structure** Biological function in the cell

### sequence

**Problem:** sequence  $\leftrightarrow$  function mapping





### (Sequence) data-driven approach

Conservation, covariation, ...

| Bacillus subtilis다                   |        | UUUCUAUCCAGAG.AGG.U.GG   |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--|
| <u>Staphylococcus epidermidis</u> 다  |        | AC <mark>CUUAUU</mark> UUGAG.A <mark>AG.C</mark> .UG   |
| Bacillus subtilis                    | 200    | AACUUAUCAAGAG.CGG.C.UG   |
| Listeria innocuad                    |        | CUCUUAUCGAGAG.CGG.C.AGAGAGGGACUGG.CCCGAUGAA.GCCCGGCAACC.U.AAC.UUUAUuuaaAGGGACUGG.CCGAUGAA.GCC.UUUAUuuaa  |
| Listeria monocytogenes다              |        | CUCUUAUCGAGAG.CGG.C.AGAGGGACUGG.CCCGAUGAA.GCCCGGCAACC.U.AAC.UUUAUuuaaAGGGACUGG.CCGAUGAA.GCC.UUUAUuuaa  |
| Bacillus subtilis                    |        | CUCUUAUCCCGAGCUGG.C.GG.C.GG.C.GG.C.C.C.C.C.AGG.CCCUA.UGAA.GCCCAGCAACC.G.GUU.UCUCUguuauuuauuaug   |
| Listeria innocual                    |        | CUCUUAUCCAGAG.CGG.U.AGAGAGGGACUGA.CCCUU.UGAA.GCCCAGCAACC.U.ACA.CAUAU   |
| Listeria monocytogenes               | $\sim$ | CUCUUAUCCAGAG, CGG, U, AG,, AG,, AGGGA, CUGA, CCCUU, UGAA, GCC, -CAGCAACC, U, ACA, CAUAU,,, AGGGA, CUGA, CCCUU, UGAA, GCC, -CAGCAACC, U, ACA, CAUAU,,,   |
| Listeria innocual <sup>7</sup>       | R      | AUCUUAUCCAGAG, UGG, U.GG,, GG,, AGGGA, AAUG, CCCUG, UGAA, ACC, -CAGCAACC, U. AAA, CAAUAauuC,, AGGGA, AAUG, CCCUG, UGAA, ACC, -CAGCAACC, U. AAA, CAAUAauuC,, AGGGA, AAUG, CCCUG, AGGA, ACC, -CAGCAACC, U. AAA, CAAUAauuC,, AGGGA, AAUG, CCCUG, AGGA, ACC, -CAGCAACC, U. AAA, CAAUAauuC,, AGGGA, AAUG, CCCUG, AGGA, ACC, -CAGCAACC, U. AAA, CAAUAauuC,, AGGGA, AAUG, CCCUG, AUGAA, ACC, -CAGCAACC, U. AAA, CAAUAauuC,, AAUG, CCCUG, AAUG, ACC, -CAGCAACC, U. AAA, CAAUAauuC,, AAUG, AAUG, AAUG, ACC, AAUAA, AAUG, AAUAAU, AAUAAU |
| Listeria monocytogenes               | 48     | AUCUUAUCCAGAG, UGG, U.GG, U.GG, AGGGA, AAUG, CCCUA, UGAA, GCC, -CAGCAACC, U. AAA, CAAUAauuC  |
| Listeria innocuar                    | 80     | CUCUUAUUAUGAG, UGG, U, AG,   |
| Listeria monocytogenes               |        | CUCUUAUAAUGAG, UGG, U, AG,   |
| Streptomyces coelicolor              |        | CGCUCAUCCAGAG, GGG, C, AG, AG, AG, AG, AG, AG, AG, AG, AG, AG  |
| Clostridium sporogenes               | 2 2    | UACUUAUCAAGAG, CGG, U, GG, AGGGA, CUGG, CCCUA, UGAA, GCC, -CAGCAACC, U, AUA, UGAAA   |
| Staphylococcus aureus                | 803    | AUCCUGAG, UGG, U, GG, A, GG, A,  |
| Staphylococcus aureus                | 20     | CUCUUAUCCUGAG, UGG, U.GG, U.GG, A.GG, CAUGGACCCCAA, UGAA, ACC, -CAGCAACC, U.CUU, UUUUUA  |
| Staphylococcus enidermidie           | -      | CUCUUAUCCUGAG UGG U GG U GG AGAGGG AGAGGA CAUGGACCCAA UGAA ACC -CAGGAACC U CUU UAUU-   |
| Bacillue enhtilie                    |        |  |
| Listeria innocuar                    |        |  |
| Listeria monocutogenes               | ¥.     |  |
| Listeria innocuera                   | (.~    |  |
| Listeria innocua                     | ~^(    | AACUUAUCAACAA ACC U CC ACCAC UCCAA CCC UUCCCAACCACCACCACCACCACCACCACCACCACCACCA  |
| Listeria monocutogenee               | - K    |  |
| Bacillus lichoniformic Amer 14580    |        |  |
| DACITIUS TECRETITOTALIS ATUL 1408019 |        | 00.00A0A0.A040.000.000.000.000.000.000.0   |

## We can then test (experimentally) their generative capabilities.

S. Cocco et al 2018 Rep. Prog. Phys. 81 032601; W. P. Russ, et al. Science 369.6502 (2020): 440-445.

### Multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of homologs are rich in evolutionary information:

Models trained on MSA data can infer constraints necessary for function.





## **SAM-I riboswitch aptamer domain**

Upstream of coding part of mRNA.

### Recognizes a metabolite (SAM) specifically

SAM = S-adenosyl methionine

Regulates methionine metabolism in bacteria

Model system for *riboswitches*, which regulate gene expression in bacteria and eukaryotes, in response to specific metabolites



SAM-I riboswitch aptamer



## SAM-I riboswitch aptamer domain

### ON state



Downstream mRNA (transcribed)



## **SAM-I riboswitch aptamer domain**

Challenges for successful riboswitch regulatory function:

- network of tertiary contacts
- specific **ligand** binding
- switch between competing conformations in response to the ligand
- combinatorially large space of possible sequences  $(5^{108} \sim 10^{75})$  and structures  $\Rightarrow$  difficult to design

### SAM-I riboswitch homologs are found in many different organisms

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

**RF00162** aptamer domain family Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA), consisting of: 6161 sequences, 108 aligned positions

https://rfam.org

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

visible layer

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

visible layer

 $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_N) = \text{the RNA sequence}$ 

 $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_M) = \text{latent variables (features)}$ 

Energy function:

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = \sum_{i} \mathcal{V}_{i}(v_{i}) + \sum_{\mu} \mathcal{U}_{\mu}(h_{\mu}) - \sum_{i\mu} W_{i\mu}v_{i\mu}$$

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

visible layer

Boltzmann law:

$$P(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})}$$

 $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_N) =$ the RNA sequence

 $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_M) = \text{latent variables (features)}$ 

Energy function:

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = \sum_{i} \mathcal{V}_{i}(v_{i}) + \sum_{\mu} \mathcal{U}_{\mu}(h_{\mu}) - \sum_{i\mu} W_{i\mu}v_{i\mu}$$

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Boltzmann law:

Partition function (normalization constant)

$$P(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})}$$

$$Z = \sum_{v_1, \dots, v_l}$$

 $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_N) =$  the RNA sequence

 $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_M) = \text{latent variables (features)}$ 

Energy function:

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = \sum_{i} \mathcal{V}_{i}(v_{i}) + \sum_{\mu} \mathcal{U}_{\mu}(h_{\mu}) - \sum_{i\mu} W_{i\mu}v_{i\mu}$$

$$dh_1 \dots dh_M e^{-E(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h})}$$

![](_page_11_Picture_12.jpeg)

### **Sampling**

Energy

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

Conditional probabilities factorize

$$P(\mathbf{v} | \mathbf{h}) = \prod_{i} P(v_i | \mathbf{h})$$
$$P(\mathbf{h} | \mathbf{v}) = \prod_{\mu} P(h_{\mu} | \mathbf{v})$$

 $E(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h}) = \sum \mathcal{V}_i(v_i) + \sum \mathcal{U}_\mu(h_\mu) - \sum W_{i\mu}v_ih_\mu$  $\mu$ iμ i

### **Sampling**

Energy

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

Conditional probabilities factorize

$$P(\mathbf{v} | \mathbf{h}) = \prod_{i} P(v_i | \mathbf{h})$$
$$P(\mathbf{h} | \mathbf{v}) = \prod_{\mu} P(h_{\mu} | \mathbf{v})$$

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

### **Gibbs sampling**

![](_page_13_Figure_9.jpeg)

Converges to equilibrium samples from the model

![](_page_13_Picture_12.jpeg)

Likelihood:

2

$$h_{2} \qquad h_{3} \\ P_{v}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\mathbf{h}} e^{-E(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h})} \stackrel{P}{=} \frac{(\mathbf{h}^{t} | \mathbf{v}_{E_{\text{eff}}}^{t}(\mathbf{v}) \qquad h_{1}^{t}$$

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

of latent variables

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

visible units interact with hidden units effective interactions between visible units

 $h_M^t \qquad P(\mathbf{v}^{t+1}|\mathbf{h}^t)$ 

$$v_1^{t+1}$$
  $v_N^{t+1}$   
 ${}^{\mu(h_\mu)}dh_\mu$ 

### **Effective model**

![](_page_14_Picture_10.jpeg)

Likelihood:

 $2^{\prime}$ 

$$h_{2} \qquad h_{3} \\ P_{v}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\mathbf{h}} e^{-E(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h})} \stackrel{P}{=} \frac{(\mathbf{h}^{t} | \mathbf{v}_{E_{\text{eff}}}^{t}(\mathbf{v}) \qquad h_{1}^{t}$$

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

of latent variables

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

visible units interact with hidden units

effective interactions between visible units

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{RBM,are universal approximators:} \\ h_M^t & P(\mathbf{v}^{t+1}|\mathbf{h}^t) \end{array}$ 

They can model arbitrarily complex functions, provided they have enough hidden units

$$v_1^{t+} 
u_1^{(h_\mu)} dh_\mu$$

 $v_N^{t+1}$ 

Le Roux, Nicolas, and Yoshua Bengio. Neural computation 20.6 (2008): 1631-1649.

Montufar, Guido, and Nihat Ay. Neural computation 23.5 (2011): 1306-1319.

**Effective model** 

![](_page_15_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_15.jpeg)

### MSA (training data):

| Bacillus subtilis                   |
|-------------------------------------|
| <u>Staphylococcus epidermidis</u> 다 |
| Bacillus subtilis                   |
| <u>Listeria innocua</u> 다           |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 더     |
| Bacillus subtilis                   |
| <u>Listeria innocua</u> 다           |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 다     |
| Listeria innocua                    |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 더     |
| <u>Listeria innocua</u> 岱           |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 岱     |
| <u>Streptomyces coelicolor</u> 다    |
| <u>Clostridium sporogenes</u> 岱     |
| <u>Staphylococcus aureus</u> 더      |
| <u>Staphylococcus aureus</u> 더      |
| <u>Staphylococcus epidermidis</u> 샵 |
| Bacillus subtilis                   |
| <u>Listeria innocua</u> 岱           |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 다     |
| Listeria innocuad                   |
| Listeria innocua 🖓                  |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 다     |
| Bacillus licheniformis ATCC 145801  |

| 1          | UUUCUAUCCAGAG.AGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .GG | AGGGACUGG     | .CCCUAUGAA.  | ACC.UCGGCAACA    | UU                                    |
|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1.6        | ACCUUAUUUUGAG.AAG  | . <mark>C</mark> .UG | AGGGA.uUUGG   | .CCCAUAGAA.  | GCU.UCAGCAACC    | .G.ACU.UUA                            |
|            | AACUUAUCAAGAG.CGG  | . <mark>C</mark> .UG | AGGGACUGG     | .ACCUAUGAA.  | GCCCGGCAACC      | . <mark>U</mark> .GCA.UAG             |
|            | CUCUUAUCGAGAG.CGG  | . <mark>C</mark> .AG | AGGGACUGG     | .CCCGAUGAA.  | GCCCGGCAACC      | .U.AAC.UUUAUuuaa                      |
|            | CUCUUAUCGAGAG.CGG  | . <mark>C</mark> .AG | AGGGACUGG     | .CCCGAUGAA.  | GCCCGGCAACC      | .U.AAC.UUUAUuuaa                      |
|            | CUCUUAUCCCGAGeUGG  | . <mark>C</mark> .GG | AGGGACAGG     | .CCCUAUGAA.  | GCCCAGCAACC      | .G. GUU.UCUCUguuauuuauuaug            |
|            | CUCUUAUCCAGAG.CGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .AG | AGGGACUGA     | .CCCUUUGAA.  | GCCCAGCAACC      | .U. ACA.CAUAU                         |
| <b>•</b> ) | CUCUUAUCCAGAG.CGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .AG | AGGGACUGA     | .CCCUUUGAA.  | GCCCAGCAACC      | . <mark>U</mark> .ACA.CAUAU           |
|            | AUCUUAUCCAGAG.UGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .GG | AGGGAAAUG     | .CCCUGUGAA.  | ACCCAGCAACC      | .U.AAA.CAAUAauuc                      |
| 80         | AUCUUAUCCAGAG.UGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .GG | AGGGAAAUG     | .CCCUAUGAA.  | GCCCAGCAACC      | .U.AAA.CAAUAauuc                      |
| 88         | CUCUUAUUAUGAG.UGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .AG | AGGGACUGG     | .CCCGUUGAA.  | ACCCAGCAACC      | . <mark>U</mark> .UUC.AAUUC           |
|            | CUCUUAUAAUGAG.UGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .AG | AGGGACUGG     | .CCCGUUGAA.  | ACCCGGCAACC      | . <mark>U</mark> .UUC.AAUAC           |
| 3          | CGCUCAUCCAGAG.GGG  | .C.AG                | AGGGA.uACGG   | .CCCGAUGAA.  | GCC.CCGGCAACC    | .C.UCC.AGUCGguucuugucacacgga          |
| <u>ሪ</u> አ | UACUUAUCAAGAG.CGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .GG | AGGGACUGG     | .CCCUAUGAA.  | GCCCAGCAACC      | .U.AUA.UGAAA                          |
| 58         | AUCCUGAG.UGG       | . <mark>U</mark> .GG | AGGGA.CAUGG   | aCCCAAUGAA.  | ACCCAGCAACC      | . <mark>U</mark> .CUU.UUUUA           |
| <b>, ,</b> | CUCUUAUCCUGAG.UGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .GG | AGGGA.CAUGG   | aCCCAAUGAA.  | ACCCAGCAACC      | . <mark>U</mark> .CUU.UUUUA           |
|            | CUCUUAUCCUGAG.UGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .GG | AGGGA.CAUGG   | aCCCAAUGAA.  | ACCCAGCAACC      | . <mark>U</mark> .CUU.UAUU            |
| <u>.</u>   | CUCUUAUC GAGAGUUGG | . <mark>G</mark> .CG | AGGGAUUGG     | .CCUUUUGAC.  | CCC AAC AGC AACC | .G.ACC.GUAAUaccauugugaaauggggcgcacugc |
| <b>O</b>   | UUCUUAUCCAGAG.UGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .GG | AGGGA.aUCGG   | .CCCAGUGAA.  | ACCCGGCAGCG      | .G.AGC.GC                             |
| 2.00 A     | UUCUUAUCAAGAG.UGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .GG | AGGGA.aUCGG   | .CCCAGUGAA.  | ACCCAGCAGCG      | .G.AGC.GC                             |
| 1 ~        | UUCUUAUCACGAA.AGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .GG | AGGGACUGG     | .CCCUUUGAA.  | GCC.UUAGCAACC    | .G.GAA.UUU                            |
| 17         | AACUUAUCAAGAA.AGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .GG | AGGGU.uCUGG   | .CCCAGUGAA.  | GCC.UUGGCAACC    | .G.GAC.UU                             |
| ≁* ⊁       | AACUUAUCAAGAA.AGG  | . <mark>U</mark> .GG | AGGGU.uCUGG   | .CCCCGUGAA.  | GCC.UUGGCAACC    | . <mark>G</mark> .GAU.UU              |
|            | UUCUUAUUCAGAG, AGG | .C.GG                | AGGGA . AUUGG | CCCUG. UGAA. | ACC, UCGGCAGCG   | G. GUU. CUGCAUA.                      |

### Likelihood of training data:

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

### MSA (training data):

| Bacillus subtilis                   | 3                                       | UUUCUAUCCAGAG.AGG.U.GGAGGGACUGG.CCCUAUGAA.ACC.UCGGCAACAUU  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <u>Staphylococcus epidermidis</u> 다 | たい                                      | ACCUUAUUUUGAG.AAG.C.UG   |
| Bacillus subtilis                   | $\mathbf{\mathcal{S}}$                  | AACUUAUCAAGAG.CGG.C.UGAGGGACUGG.ACCUAUGAA.GCCCGGCAACC.U.GCA.UAG  |
| Listeria innocua                    |   | CUCUUAUCGAGAG.CGG.C.AGAGAGGGACUGG.CCCGAUGAA.GCCCGGCAACC.U.AAC.UUUAUuuaaAGGGACUGG.CCGAUGAA.GCC.UUUAUuuaa                              |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 다     |   | CUCUUAUCGAGAG.CGG.C.AGAGAGGGACUGG.CCCGAUGAA.GCCCGGCAACC.U.AAC.UUUAUuuaaAGGGACUGG.CCGAUGAA.GCC.UUUAUuuaa                              |
| Bacillus subtilis                   |   | CUCUUAUCCCGAGcUGG.C.GGCGGAGGGACAGG.CCCUAUGAA.GCCCAGCAACC.G.GUU.UCUCUguuauuuauuaug  |
| <u>Listeria innocua</u> 다           |   | CUCUUAUCCAGAG.CGG.U.AGAGAGGGACUGA.CCCUU.UGAA.GCCCAGCAACC.U.ACA.CAUAU   |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 다     | <b>~</b> )                              | CUCUUAUCCAGAG.CGG.U.AGAGAGGGACUGA.CCCUU.UGAA.GCCCAGCAACC.U.ACA.CAUAU   |
| Listeria innocua                    | 200                                     | AUCUUAUCCAGAG.UGG.U.GGAGGAGGGAAAUG.CCCUGUGAA.ACCCAGCAACC.U.AAA.CAAUAauucAGGGAAAUG.   |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 다     | Ro                                      | AUCUUAUCCAGAG.UGG.U.GGGGAGGGAAAUG.CCCUAUGAA.GCCCAGCAACC.U.AAA.CAAUAauucAGGGAAAUG.  |
| Listeria innocua                    | 88                                      | CUCUUAUUAUGAG.UGG.U.AGAGAGGGACUGG.CCCGUUGAA.ACCCAGCAACC.U.UUC.AAUUC  |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 다     |   | CUCUUAUAAUGAG.UGG.U.AGAGAGGGACUGG.CCCGUUGAA.ACCCGGCAACC.U.UUC.AAUAC  |
| Streptomyces coelicolor             | 1                                       | CG <mark>CUCAUC</mark> CAGAG.G <mark>GG.C</mark> .AGAGA <mark>GGG</mark> A.uACGG.CCCGAUGAA.GCC.CCGGCAACC.C.UCC.AGUCGguucuugucacacgga |
| Clostridium sporogenes              | 02.5                                    | UACUUAUCAAGAG.CGG.U.GGAGGGACUGG.CCCUAUGAA.GCCCAGCAACC.U.AUA.UGAAA  |
| Staphylococcus aureus               | 58                                      | AUCCUGAG.UGG.U.GGGGAGGGA.CAUGGaCCCAA.UGAA.ACCCAGCAACC.U.CUUUUA   |
| Staphylococcus aureus               | , <b>•</b>                              | CUCUUAUCCUGAG.UGG.U.GGGGAGGGA.CAUGGaCCCAA.UGAA.ACCCAGCAACC.U.CUUUUA  |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis          | 1.22                                    | CUCUUAUCCUGAG.UGG.U.GGGGAGGGA.CAUGGaCCCAA.UGAA.ACCCAGCAACC.U.CUU.UAUU  |
| Bacillus subtilis                   | <b>#</b>                                | CUCUUAUCGAGAGUUGG.G.CGG.CGAGGGAUUGG.CCUUUUGAC.CCCAACAGCAACC.G.ACC.GUAAUaccauugugaaauggggcgcacugc                                     |
| <u>Listeria innocua</u> 다           |   | UUCUUAUCCAGAG.UGG.U.GGAGGGA.aUCGG.CCCAG.UGAA.ACCCGGCAGCG.G.AGC.GC  |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 다     |   | UUCUUAUCAAGAG.UGG.U.GGGGAGGGA.aUCGG.CCCAG.UGAA.ACCCAGCAGCG.G.AGC.GC  |
| Listeria innocua                    | 1~                                      | UUCUUAUCACGAA.AGG.U.GGAGGGACUGG.CCCUU.UGAA.GCC.UUAGCAACC.G.GAA.UUU   |
| Listeria innocua                    | 17                                      | AACUUAUCAAGAA.AGG.U.GGAGGGU.uCUGG.CCCAGUGAA.GCC.UUGGCAACC.G.GAC.UU   |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 다     | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | AACUUAUCAAGAA.AGG.U.GGAGGGU.uCUGG.CCCCGUGAA.GCC.UUGGCAACC.G.GAU.UU   |
| Bacillus licheniformis ATCC 1458017 |   | UUCUUAUUCAGAG, AGG, C, GG,   |

Model is trained, by finding parameters that maximise the likelihood of the data:

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Likelihood of training data:

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

### MSA (training data):

| Bacillus subtilis                   |            | UUUCUAUCCAGAG.AGG.U.GG   |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--|
| Staphylococcus epidermidis          |            | ACCUUAUUUUGAG.AAG.C.UG   |
| Bacillus subtilis                   | 700)       | AACUUAUCAAGAG.C.GG.C.UGAGGGACUGG.ACCUAUGAA.GCCCGGCAACC.U.GCA.UAG   |
| Listeria innocual <sup>9</sup>      | $\sim$     | CUCUUAUCGAGAG.C.GG.C.AGAG.AG.AG.AG.CUGG.CCCGA.UGAA.GCCCGGCAACC.U.AAC.UUUAUuuaa                                 |
| Listeria monocytogenes              |            | CUCUUAUCGAGAG, CGG, C, AG,   |
| Bacillus subtilier                  |            |  |
| Listeria incorreza                  |            |  |
| Listeria innocuali                  | C5         | CUCUUAUCCAGAG.CGG.U.AGAGGGACUGA.CCCUU.UGAA.GCCCAGCAACC.U.ACA.CAUAU   |
| Listeria monocytogenes G            | <b></b>    | CUCUUAUCCAGAG.CGG.U.AGAGAGGGACUGA.CCCUU.UGAA.GCCCAGCAACC.U.ACA.CAUAU   |
| Listeria innocua <sup>B4</sup>      |            | AUCUUAUCCAGAG.UGG.U.GGAGGGAAAUG.CCCUGUGAA.ACCCAGCAACC.U.AAA.CAAUAauucAGGGAAAUG.CCCUGUGAA.ACC.                  |
| <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> 다     | 80         | AUCUUAUCCAGAG.UGG.U.GG   |
| Listeria innocuat <sup>2</sup>      | #8         | CUCUUAUUAUGAG.UGG.U.AGAGAGGGACUGG.CCCGU.UGAA.ACCCAGCAACC.U.UUC.AAUUC   |
| Listeria monocytogenes              | • -        | CUCUUAUAAUGAG.UGG.U.AGAG.AG.AG.AG.AG.AG.AG.CUGG.CCCGU.UGAA.ACCCGGCAACC.U.UUC.AAUAC                             |
| Streptomyces coelicolor             |            | CGCUCAUCCAGAG, GGG, C, AG,   |
| Clostridium sporogenes              | 2.2        | $U_{ACUUAUCAACAC} CCC U CC U CCC U CCC U CCC U CCC U AUA UCAAA$  |
| Staphylogoggus aurous               | 302        |  |
| Staphylococcus aureus               | 20         | AUCCUGAG.UGG.U.GGAGGGA.CAUGGaCCCAA.UGAA.ACCCAGCAACC.U.CUU.UUUUA  |
| Staphylococcus aureus               |            | CUCUUAUCCUGAG.UGG.U.GGGGAGGGA.CAUGGaCCCAAUGAA.ACCCAGCAACC.U.CUU.UUUUA  |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis          |            | CUCUUAUCCUGAG.UGG.U.GGAGGGA.cAUGGaCCCAAUGAA.ACCCAGCAACC.U.CUU.UAUU   |
| Bacillus subtilis                   | - <b>#</b> | CUCUUAUCGAGAGuUGG.G.CGG.ACCAUUGG.G.CG.ACCUUUUGAC.CCCUUUUGAC.CCCAACAGCAACC.G.ACC.GUAAUaccauugugaaauggggcgcacugc |
| Listeria innocuad <sup>7</sup>      |            | UUCUUAUCCAGAG.UGG.U.GGAGGGA.aUCGG.CCCAG.UGAA.ACCCGGCAGCG.G.AGC.GC  |
| Listeria monocytogenes              |            | UUCUUAUCAAGAG.UGG.U.GG   |
| Listeria innocual?                  | ¥          | UUCUUAUCACGAA, AGG, U., GG,  |
| Listeria innocual                   | (,⊶        |  |
| Listeria menerutorenee              | ×^(        |  |
| Listeria monocytogenes              | - N-       | AACUUAUCAAGAA.AGG.U.GG   |
| Bacillus licheniformis ATCC 14580Fd |            | UUCUUAUUCAGAG.AGG.C.GG   |

Model is trained, by finding parameters that maximise the likelihood of the data:

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Likelihood of training data:

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

negative gradient Probability positive gradient 00 Data

![](_page_18_Picture_9.jpeg)

## **RBM** is compatible with structural constraints

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

### epistatic score

$$\frac{P(.,.)P(G,C)}{P(G,.)P(.,C)}$$

- De Leonardis, et al. "DCA of nucleotide coevolution facilitates RNA secondary and tertiary structure prediction." NAR 43.21 (2015): 10444-10455.
- Weinreb, et al. "3D RNA and functional interactions from evolutionary couplings." Cell 165.4 (2016): 963-975.
- Tubiana, et al. "Learning protein constitutive motifs from sequence data." Elife 8 (2019): e39397.

![](_page_19_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_9.jpeg)

## **RBM** is compatible with structural constraints

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

RBM "score":

### $\ln P_{v}(\mathbf{v}) = -E_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{v}) + \text{const.}$

CM score:

### log-prob. of sequence under covariance model (consensus secondary structure)

Nawrocki, Eric P., Diana L. Kolbe, and Sean R. Eddy. "Infernal 1.0: inference of RNA alignments." Bioinformatics 25.10 (2009): 1335-1337.

Eddy, Sean R., and Richard Durbin. "RNA sequence analysis" using covariance models." Nucleic acids research 22.11 (1994): 2079-2088.

140

![](_page_20_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

very short (or no) P4

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

Probed ~500 sequences

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

with P. Hardouin, F.-X. Lyonnet, B. Sargueil Siegfried, Nathan A., et al. Nat. Meth. 11.9 (2014): 959-965.

Eddy, Sean R. Ann. Rev. Biophys. 43.1 (2014): 433-456.

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Probed ~500 sequences

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

with P. Hardouin, F.-X. Lyonnet, B. Sargueil

Siegfried, Nathan A., et al. Nat. Meth. 11.9 (2014): 959-965.

Eddy, Sean R. Ann. Rev. Biophys. 43.1 (2014): 433-456.

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Probed ~500 sequences

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Probed ~500 sequences

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

### SHAPE reactivities reflect expected structural changes in response to SAM

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Example:

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Reactivity changes (SAM vs. no SAM) indicate SAM binding
- Consistent with previously reported structural Natural responses in natural sequences
  - Trausch, Jeremiah J., et al. PNAS 111.18 (2014): 6624-6629
  - Hennelly, Scott P., Irina V. Novikova, and Karissa Y. Sanbonmatsu. Nat. (RBN NAR 41.3 (2013): 1922-1935.
- saMeppell, Benoit, et al. "Molecular insights into the ligand-controlled organization of the SAM-I<sup>rriboswitch."</sup> Nat. Chem. Biol. 7.6 (2011)Rfam CN 384-392.
  - IPANEMAP: Saaidi, Afaf, et al. NAR 48.15 (2020): 8276-8289.

![](_page_29_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_13.jpeg)

### SHAPE reactivities reflect expected structural changes in response to SAM

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

Eddy, Sean R. Ann. Rev. Biophys. 43.1 (2014): 433-456.; IPANEMAP: Saaidi, Afaf, et al. NAR 48.15 (2020): 8276-8289.

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

### SHAPE reactivity response to SAM in generated sequences

with SAM

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

no SAM

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Sequences probed

476 RBM, 206 natural

|                                   |                          | Conclusive                         | Switchers               |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| O Nat. (X)                        | Natural                  | 145 of 201                         | 97 (66.9 ± 3            |
| ● Nat. (✓)                        | Nat.(Seed)               | 111 of 151                         | 75 (67.6 ± 4            |
| • rCM ( $\mathbf{X}$ )            | Nat.(Hits)               | 34 of 50                           | 22 (64.7 ± 8            |
| $\mathbf{O}$ RBM ( $\mathbf{X}$ ) | Nat.(RBMscore>300)       | 96 of 137                          | 67 (69.8 ± 4            |
| RBM (✓)                           | Nat.(RBMscore>310)       | 65 of 96                           | 50 (76.9 ± 3            |
|                                   | Rfam CM                  | 14 of 16                           | 0 (0%)                  |
|                                   | RBM                      | 59 of 84                           | 14 (23.7 ±              |
| Eddy, S                           | earer (Aren Beore Biopby | 5. <b>40.</b> ðf( <b>8</b> 814): 4 | 13 <b>1344(56.,</b> 0 ± |

Eddy, Searer (RBM/(RBM/Seorer 300) s. 40.0f(3014): 43344( $35.0 \pm 7$ IPANEMAP: Saaidi, Afaf, et al. NAR 48.15 (2020): 8276-8289. RBM(RBM/score) 310) 31 of 40 12 (38.7 ± 8)

![](_page_31_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_9.jpeg)

### **SHAPE reactivity response to SAM in generated sequences**

O Nat. (**x**)

● Nat. (✓)

O rCM (**×**)

▶ rCM (✓)

O RBM ( $\mathbf{X}$ )

■ RBM (✓)

with SAM

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

no SAM

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Sequences probed**

476 RBM, 206 natural

### **Results:**

22% to 38% of RBM generated sequences exhibit expected Structural responses to Switchers 145 of 201 Natural

- with  $e^{1}0 40\%$  divergence from %
- Nat.(Hits) 34 of 50
- $diversity_{0}(e_{3}Gb) n_{0} P_{f} 4_{7}$
- 65 of 96 Nat.(RBMscore>310) **Divi as** 0 (0%) Rfam CM Cenerative model RBM 59 of 84

Eddy, Searer (ABM/Beorer 6) 300 s. 40.0f (8014): 43844 (56.0 ± 7.5% **IPANEMAP:** Saaidi, Afaf, et al. NAR 48.15 (2020): 8276-8289. RBM(RBMscore>310) 31 of 40 12 (38.7 ± 8.7%

![](_page_32_Figure_13.jpeg)

**Different properties** of natural riboswitches:

- specificities (SAM vs. SAH)
- ligand affinity  $(0.13 20\mu M)$
- thermostabilities

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

**Next:** Manipulate properties of generated sequences with representation learning

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Next:** Manipulate properties of generated sequences with representation learning

**Different properties** of natural riboswitches:

- specificities (SAM vs. SAH)
- ligand affinity  $(0.13 20\mu M)$
- thermostabilities

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Representation space (h)

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Data space (V)

![](_page_34_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_13.jpeg)

### **Next:** Manipulate properties of generated sequences with representation learning

**Different properties** of natural riboswitches:

- specificities (SAM vs. SAH)
- ligand affinity  $(0.13 20\mu M)$
- thermostabilities

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

[JFdCD et al PRX'2023]

Representation space (h)

### Model (RBM)

### Data space (V)

![](_page_35_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_16.jpeg)

## Thank you

### ENS, Paris

Andrea Di Gioacchino Rémi Monasson Simona Cocco

### CitCOM, Université Paris Cité

Pierre Hardouin Francois-Xavier Lyonnet Bruno Sargueil

LIX Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau

**Bertrand Marchand** Yann Ponty

![](_page_36_Picture_7.jpeg)

JFdCD et al bioRxiv:2023.05.10.540155

![](_page_36_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Backup

## PCA projections of probed sequences

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

will

### Structure and function of non-coding RNA molecules

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

Total number of structures in the PDB

50k -

1980

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Structure and function of non-coding RNA molecules

RNA: linear chain of nucleotides (four types: A,U,C,G)

**Central dogma**: DNA → mRNA → protein role as information carrier

Tinoco, Bustamante. How RNA folds. J Mol Biol. 1999

Large fraction of RNA is non-coding rRNA 80-90% of cell RNA content tRNA 10-15%, mRNA 3-7%

https://assets.thermofisher.com/TFS-Assets/BID/Technical-Notes/collibri-stranded-rna-library-prep-kit-total-rna-seq-mrna-seq-technical-note.pdf

### Many ncRNA functions:

Rybozymes, riboswitches, box, potential role in origin of life, ...

![](_page_40_Picture_10.jpeg)

SAM riboswitch

![](_page_40_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

visible layer

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

visible layer

### $E(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h}) = \sum \mathcal{V}_i(v_i) + \sum \mathcal{U}_\mu(h_\mu) - \sum w_{i\mu}v_ih_\mu$ iμ $\mu$ $\mu$

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

### $E(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h}) = \sum \mathcal{V}_i(v_i) + \sum \mathcal{U}_\mu(h_\mu) - \sum w_{i\mu}v_ih_\mu$ μ iµ $\mu$

### Boltzmann probability law

 $P(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = \frac{\mathbf{I}}{Z} e^{-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})}$ , where  $Z = \sum e^{-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})}$  is the partition function. v,h

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

**v** = the RNA sequence;  $\mathbf{h} =$ latent variables (features)

hood: 
$$P_{v}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\mathbf{h}} e^{-E(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h})} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{v})}$$
  
:  $E_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i} \mathcal{V}_{i}(v_{i}) - \sum_{\mu} \ln \int e^{\sum_{i} w_{i\mu}v_{i}h_{\mu} - \mathcal{U}_{\mu}(h_{\mu})}$ 

![](_page_45_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

visible layer

**Full RBM** 

visible units interact with hidden units

**Effective model** 

![](_page_46_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_7.jpeg)

effective interactions between visible units

![](_page_46_Picture_9.jpeg)

### The SAM-I aptamer domain family

### **SAM-I** riboswitch aptamer domain **RNA family (RF00162)**

6161 sequences

108 aligned positions

![](_page_47_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_6.jpeg)

## **RBM reproduces statistics of SAM family**

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Secondary structure is not sufficient

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **RBM** samples are compatible with secondary structure

## Compatibility with secondary structure

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Infernal (Covariance model)

![](_page_50_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

with P. Hardouin, F.-X. Lyonnet, B. Sargueil

Wilkinson, KA et al. "SHAPE: quantitative RNA structure analysis at single nucleotide resolution." Nature Protocols 1.3 (2006): 1610-1616.

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

with P. Hardouin, F.-X. Lyonnet, B. Sargueil

Wilkinson, KA et al. "SHAPE: quantitative RNA structure analysis at single nucleotide resolution." Nature Protocols 1.3 (2006): 1610-1616.

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

with P. Hardouin, F.-X. Lyonnet, B. Sargueil

After adding the probe, the RNA molecules are sequenced. The probe results in sequencing errors.

**Reactivity:**  $r = \frac{m - u}{d}$ , where

m = mutation rate with probe

u = mutation rate without probe(cancel seq. error biases)

d = mutation rate in denatured state (cancel site-dependent biases)

Wilkinson, KA et al. "SHAPE: quantitative RNA structure analysis at single nucleotide resolution." Nature Protocols 1.3 (2006): 1610-1616.

![](_page_53_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Structural flexibility requires moderate binding energies

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

ViennaRNA: Energy calculations of RNA secondary structures, based on the Turner model.

### ViennaRNA

- 7287-7292.

![](_page_54_Figure_7.jpeg)

• Lorenz, R., Bernhart, S.H., Höner zu Siederdissen, C. et al. ViennaRNA Package 2.0. Algorithms Mol Biol 6, 26 (2011). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-7188-6-26</u> • Mathews, DH., et al. "Incorporating chemical modification constraints into a dynamic programming algorithm for prediction of RNA secondary structure." PNAS 101.19 (2004):

![](_page_54_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Statistical inference of base-pairs from SHAPE data

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

SHAPE log-odds score

$$\mathcal{S}_{n}(i) = \ln \frac{P_{ni}(\tilde{r}_{ni} | \text{bp})}{P_{ni}(\tilde{r}_{ni} | \text{np})}$$
$$= \ln \frac{\int P(r | \text{bp}) P_{ni}(\tilde{r}_{ni} | r) dr}{\int P(r | \text{np}) P_{ni}(\tilde{r}_{ni} | r) dr}$$

 $P_{ni}(\tilde{r}_{ni} | r)$  prob. of measuring  $\tilde{r}_{ni}$  given underlying reactivity r (account for sampling error during sequencing)

P(r | bp) prob. of reactivities of basepaired sites

![](_page_56_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Structure and function of non-coding RNA molecules

Top model performers in CASP15 (2022) RNA structure prediction competition are "physical models"

AlphaFold-derived attempts (e.g., DeepFoldRNA) generalize poorly to new sequences

Qiu, PLoS Comp. Biol (2023)

![](_page_57_Figure_4.jpeg)

S 0

![](_page_57_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Statistical inference of base-pairs from SHAPE data

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$P_{v}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\mathbf{h}} e^{-E(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h})} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{v})}$$
$$P_{v}(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i} \mathcal{V}_{i}(v_{i}) - \sum_{\mu} \ln \int e^{\sum_{i} w_{i\mu} v_{i} h_{\mu} - \mathcal{U}}$$

**v** = the RNA sequence

![](_page_60_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

Model is trained, by finding parameters that maximise the likelihood of the data MSA

![](_page_61_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$P_{v}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\mathbf{h}} e^{-E(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h})} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{v})}$$
$$P_{v}(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i} \mathcal{V}_{i}(v_{i}) - \sum_{\mu} \ln \int e^{\sum_{i} w_{i\mu} v_{i} h_{\mu} - \mathcal{U}}$$

**v** = the RNA sequence

![](_page_61_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$P_{v}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\mathbf{h}} e^{-E(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h})} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{v})}$$
$$P_{v}(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i} \mathcal{V}_{i}(v_{i}) - \sum_{\mu} \ln \int e^{\sum_{i} w_{i\mu}v_{i}h_{\mu} - \mathcal{U}}$$

**v** = the RNA sequence

![](_page_62_Picture_6.jpeg)

## SAM riboswitches

### Arc diagram

![](_page_63_Picture_2.jpeg)

### linear sequence

### 3D structure

Figure from Rfam: <a href="https://rfam.org/family/RF00162#tabview=tab3">https://rfam.org/family/RF00162#tabview=tab3</a>

## PCA of RF00162 MSA

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_6.jpeg)