

How much does it cost to control near a shock?

Vincent Laheurte

# Plan of the talk

- 1 Shock profiles for Burgers' equation.
- 2 The control problem.
- 3 Main result and sketch of proof

1 Shock profiles for Burgers' equation.

2 The control problem.

3 Main result and sketch of proof

# Shocks for inviscid Burgers' equation

Consider the 1D inviscid Burgers' equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \partial_x u = 0, & t \in \mathbb{R}^+, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

By method of characteristics, jump discontinuities arise in finite time unless  $u_0$  is non-decreasing.

Rankine-Hugoniot condition : A jump from  $u^-$  to  $u^+$  ( $u^- > u^+$ ) is displaced at velocity

$$\text{shock speed} = \frac{u^- + u^+}{2}.$$

## Family of stationary shocks

We restrict the study of (1) to a finite interval  $[-L, L]$  and add Dirichlet conditions :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \partial_x u = 0, & t \in \mathbb{R}^+, x \in (-L, L), \\ u(t, -L) = 1, & t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u(t, L) = -1, & t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

There is an infinite family of stationary shocks given by

$$U_{x_0}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x < x_0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x > x_0 \end{cases}, \quad x_0 \in (-L, L).$$

Any solution to (2) with initial datum of bounded variation converges to some  $U_{x_0}$ .

## Stationary shock profiles for viscous Burgers.

We add a diffusive term  $\varepsilon \partial_{xx}$  to the Burgers' equation for a regularizing effect.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \partial_x u = \varepsilon \partial_{xx} u, & t \in \mathbb{R}^+, x \in (-L, L), \\ u(t, -L) = 1, & t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \\ u(t, L) = -1, & t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

A unique stationary solution exists, given by

$$U^\varepsilon(x) = -c \tanh\left(\frac{cx}{2\varepsilon}\right),$$

where  $c > 0$  is in order to verify the boundary conditions.

1 Shock profiles for Burgers' equation.

2 The control problem.

3 Main result and sketch of proof

## Uniform controllability problem.

We consider the problem of boundary null-controllability of Burgers equation linearized around the stationary shock.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t u + \partial_x (U^\varepsilon u) = \varepsilon \partial_{xx} u, & t \in [0, T], x \in (-L, L), \\ u(t, -L) = h(t), & t \in [0, T], \\ u(t, L) = 0, & t \in [0, T], \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in [-L, L], \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

with  $U^\varepsilon(x) = -\tanh\left(\frac{x}{2\varepsilon}\right)$ .

### Uniform controllability

We want to find  $T_{\text{unif}}$  such that, for any  $T > T_{\text{unif}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\exists \mathcal{C}, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall u_0 \in H^2 \cap H_0^1, \exists h \in L^2(0, T) : \\ &u(T) \equiv 0 \text{ and } \|h\|_{L^2(0, T)} \leq \mathcal{C} \|u_0\|_{L^2(-L, L)} \end{aligned}$$



## Dual observability problem.

By standard duality argument, this is equivalent to the uniform observability of the adjoint system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - U^\varepsilon \partial_x v = \varepsilon \partial_{xx} v, & t \in [0, T], x \in (-L, L) \\ v(t, -L) = v(t, L) = 0, & t \in [0, T] \\ v(0, x) = v_0(x), & x \in [-L, L] \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Namely we want to investigate the minimal time  $T_{\text{unif}}$  such that, for any  $T > T_{\text{unif}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(T) > 0, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall v_0 \in H^2 \cap H_0^1, \\ & \mathcal{C}^2 \int_0^T |\varepsilon \partial_x v(t, -L)|^2 dt \geq \|v(T, \cdot)\|_{L^2(-L, L)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

## Related problems

Coron-Guerrero conjecture ('05) :

The uniform controllability of the transport equation

$$\partial_t y + M \partial_x y = \varepsilon \partial_{xx} y$$

in the vanishing viscosity limit is possible whenever  $T > \frac{L}{M}$  if  $M > 0$ , or  $T > \frac{2L}{|M|}$  if  $M < 0$ .

## Related problems

Coron-Guerrero conjecture ('05) :

The uniform controllability of the transport equation

$$\partial_t y + M \partial_x y = \varepsilon \partial_{xx} y$$

in the vanishing viscosity limit is possible whenever  $T > \frac{L}{M}$  if  $M > 0$ , or  $T > \frac{2L}{|M|}$  if  $M < 0$ .

Results in this sense :

|                       | $M > 0$               | $M < 0$                 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Coron, Guerrero ('05) | $T > \frac{4.3L}{M}$  | $T > \frac{57.2L}{ M }$ |
| Glass ('09)           | $T > \frac{4.2L}{M}$  | $T > \frac{6.1L}{ M }$  |
| Lissy ('12)           | $T > \frac{2.35L}{M}$ | $T > \frac{4.35L}{ M }$ |

Laurent, Léautaud ('23) : Similar results generalized to a wider class of problems of the form

$$\partial_t y + a \partial_x y + by = \varepsilon \partial_{xx} y,$$

under some regularity assumptions on  $a, b$ .

1 Shock profiles for Burgers' equation.

2 The control problem.

3 Main result and sketch of proof

## Spectral analysis of $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon = -U^\varepsilon \partial_x - \varepsilon \partial_{xx}$

### Proposition (Mascia-Strani, 2012)

The spectrum of the operator  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon$  consists of simple eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots\}$  such that :

$$\exists C > 0 : 0 < \lambda_0 < C e^{-\frac{C}{\varepsilon}}, \quad \lambda_1 \geq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$$

Two main issues compared with the constant transport case :

- A small eigenvalue, characteristic of a metastability phenomenon.
- Lack of precise knowledge regarding the higher eigenvalues.

Result based on the conjugation of the operator :

$$\operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{x}{2\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon \cosh\left(\frac{x}{2\varepsilon}\right) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( -\varepsilon^2 \partial_{xx} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \tanh^2\left(\frac{x}{2\varepsilon}\right) \right) =: \frac{1}{\varepsilon} P^\varepsilon$$

# Spectral analysis of $P^\varepsilon$

Schrödinger operator with a single-well symmetric potential  $\implies$  good estimates for the bottom of the spectrum, loss of precision far from the well.

We are saved by the factorisation

$$P^\varepsilon = a^* a,$$

where  $a = \varepsilon \partial_x + \frac{1}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{x}{2\varepsilon}\right)$ .

We may compute

$$aa^* = -\varepsilon^2 \partial_{xx} + \frac{1}{4},$$

which is much simpler, and the eigenvalues of  $aa^*$  and  $a^*a$  are similar :

$$aa^* \varphi = \lambda \varphi \iff a^* a (a^* \varphi) = \lambda (a^* \varphi),$$

$$a^* a \psi = \mu \psi \iff aa^* (a \psi) = \mu (a \psi)$$

## Spectral analysis of $P^\varepsilon$ .

Solving the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} P^\varepsilon \varphi = \lambda \varphi, \\ \varphi(\pm L) = 0, \end{cases}$$

is equivalent to solving

$$\begin{cases} (-\varepsilon^2 \partial_{xx} + \frac{1}{4}) \psi = \lambda \psi \\ a^* \psi(\pm L) = 0. \end{cases}$$

### Proposition

The spectrum of  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon$  consists of simple eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots\}$  that verify

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \lambda_0 < C e^{-\frac{C}{\varepsilon}}, \\ \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \frac{k^2 \pi^2}{4L^2} < \lambda_k < \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \frac{(k+1)^2 \pi^2}{4L^2}, \quad k \geq 1 \\ \sqrt{\lambda_{k+1} - \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}} - \sqrt{\lambda_k - \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}} > \sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{\pi}{2L}, \quad k \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

# The main result

Theorem (L. 2024) [WIP].

There exists a time

$$T_{\text{unif}} = 8.4L$$

such that, whenever  $T > T_{\text{unif}}$ , the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon)^* u = 0 \\ u(t, -L) = h(t), \quad u(t, L) = 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \end{cases}$$

is uniformly controllable **to the first mode** in the vanishing viscosity limit. Namely, for  $T > T_{\text{unif}}$ , there exists a control  $h$  uniformly bounded with respect to  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\left\langle \text{sech} \left( \frac{x}{2\varepsilon} \right) u(T), \varphi_k \right\rangle = 0, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Moreover the controllability cost verifies

$$\mathcal{C}(T, \varepsilon) \leq ce^{-\frac{c}{\varepsilon}}.$$



## Construction of a biorthogonal family

The first step is to construct a bi-orthogonal family to the  $(e^{-\lambda_k t})_{k \geq 1}$  with suitable estimates, namely a family  $(q_j)_{j \geq 1} \subset L^2(0, T)$  such that

$$\int_0^T q_j(t) e^{-\lambda_k t} dt = \delta_{j,k}$$

Estimates on  $\lambda_k$  allow us to build such a family with the bound

$$\|q_k\| \leq C \exp(f(L, \varepsilon, \lambda_k, T))$$

where  $f$  is such that

$$-\lambda_k T + f(L, \varepsilon, \lambda_k, T) \leq -\alpha \lambda_k, \quad \alpha > 0 \tag{6}$$

for  $T > 8.4L$ .

## Conclusion by method of moments.

Then, take any initial datum  $v_0$  of the form

$$v_0(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k \psi_k,$$

where  $\psi_k := \cosh\left(\frac{x}{2\varepsilon}\right) \varphi_k$  are the eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon$ . The solution at time  $t$  is immediately given by

$$v(t, x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k \psi_k e^{-\lambda_k t}$$

By taking the space derivative in  $-L$  and integrating in time against  $q_j$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^T \varepsilon \partial_x v(t, -L) q_j(t) dt = c_j \varepsilon \psi_j'(-L).$$

## Conclusion by method of moments.

It follows, for  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$|c_j| \leq \frac{\|\varepsilon \partial_x v(\cdot, -L)\| \|q_j\|}{\varepsilon \psi'_k(-L)}$$

Finally, we plug the latter estimate into  $v(T)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(T, \cdot)\| &= \left\| \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k \psi_k e^{\lambda_k T} \right\| \\ &\leq \|\varepsilon \partial_x v(\cdot, -L)\| \sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-\lambda_k T} \frac{\|q_k\| \cdot \|\psi_k\|}{\varepsilon \psi'_k(-L)} \end{aligned}$$

Using (6) finally gives

$$\|v(T, \cdot)\| \leq \|\varepsilon \partial_x v(\cdot, -L)\| \sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-\alpha \lambda_k} \cdot \frac{\|\psi_k\|}{\varepsilon \psi'_k(-L)},$$

and we conclude by having a precise description of the eigenfunctions  $\psi_k$ .

## Work in progress and possible leads.

- Refine the constant 8.4 by improving the estimates on the bi-orthogonal family.
- Possibly obtain a time  $T_{\min}$  for which we can prove the cost of controllability to the first mode explodes as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  whenever  $T < T_{\min}$ .
- Prove non-uniform controllability for the full system for any finite time  $T$ .

## Work in progress and possible leads.

- Refine the constant 8.4 by improving the estimates on the bi-orthogonal family.
- Possibly obtain a time  $T_{\min}$  for which we can prove the cost of controllability to the first mode explodes as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  whenever  $T < T_{\min}$ .
- Prove non-uniform controllability for the full system for any finite time  $T$ .

**Thank you for your attention !**