$H^1\mbox{-} {\bf EXPONENTIAL}$ STABILIZATION FOR THE INTRINSIC GEOMETRICALLY EXACT BEAM MODEL

CHARLOTTE RODRIGUEZ

ABSTRACT. The geometrically exact beam model (or GEB) gives the position in \mathbb{R}^3 of a slender elastic beam that may undergo large displacements of its centerline and large rotations of its cross sections. The *intrinsic formulation* of the GEB model is a first order semilinear hyperbolic system of d = 12 equations, that arises when considering as states the translational and rotational velocities and strains of the beam. Here, applying a boundary feedback control a one end of the beam, we show that the steady state v = 0 of the *intrinsic formulation* of GEB is locally H^1 - exponential stable (when the applied external forces and moments are set to zero), in the sense that if the initial datum is sufficiently small then this model has a unique global solution in $C^0([0,\infty); H^1(0,L;\mathbb{R}^d))$ whose H^1 - norm decreases exponentially with time. The strategy relies on the study of the energy of the beam, as well as on [BC17, Th. 10.2] which amounts to finding a quadratic Lyapunov function.

References

[BC17] G. Bastin and J.-M. Coron. Exponential stability of semi-linear one-dimensional balance laws. In Feedback Stabilization of Controlled Dynamical Systems, pages 265–278. Springer, 2017.

H^1 - exponential stabilization for the intrinsic geometrically exact beam model

Charlotte Rodriguez

FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany

28 August 2019, Centro de Ciencias de Benasque Pedro Pascual, VIII PDE, optimal design and numerics



Contents



IntroductionGEB modelIB problem

2 1-d first order hyperbolic systems

3 Local H^1 -exp. stabilization of IB

4 Future work

Geometrically exact beam (GEB)



Reference straight configuration $B = (x, X_2, X_3)^{\intercal}$

→ At time $t \ge 0$, $b = \mathbf{p}(x, t) + \mathbf{R}(x, t)(X_2e_2 + X_3e_3)$.



Unknowns:

- position of centerline $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$
- ► rotation of cross sections $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$

Geometrically exact: any magnitude of displacement and rotation.

Small strains; isotropic material (Saint-Venant Kirchhoff); cross sections plane, no change of shape, rotate independently from \mathbf{p} ; thin beam; lateral contraction neglected.



Governing equations in $(0, L) \times (0, T)$:

$$\begin{cases} \rho a \partial_t^2 \mathbf{p} &= \partial_x [\mathbf{R} M_1 (\mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \partial_x \mathbf{p} - R_c^{\mathsf{T}} p_c')] + \bar{f}_1, \\ \rho \partial_t [\mathbf{R} J \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \partial_t \mathbf{R})] &= \partial_x [\mathbf{R} M_2 \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \partial_x \mathbf{R} - R_c^{\mathsf{T}} R_c')] \\ &+ (\partial_x \mathbf{p}) \times (\mathbf{R} M_1 (\mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \partial_x \mathbf{p} - R_c^{\mathsf{T}} p_c')) + \bar{f}_2, \end{cases}$$

+ Dirichlet B.C. at
$$x = L$$
: $\mathbf{p} = h^{\mathbf{p}}$, $\mathbf{R} = h^{\mathbf{R}}$,
+ Neumann B.C. at $x = 0$:
$$\begin{cases} -\mathbf{R}M_1(\mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}}\partial_x\mathbf{p} - R_c^{\mathsf{T}}p_c') = h_1 \\ -\mathbf{R}M_2\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}}\partial_x\mathbf{R} - R_c^{\mathsf{T}}R_c') = h_2, \end{cases}$$
+ initial conditions

Notation:
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -v_3 & v_2 \\ v_3 & 0 & -v_1 \\ -v_2 & v_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{vec}(M) = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 i.e. $Mz = \operatorname{vec}(M) \times z$.

¹Reissner '81, Simo '85, Kapania & Li '03, Strohmeyer '18

Intrinsic beam problem² (IB)





➡ semilinear hyperbolic system:

$$\partial_t y + \mathbf{A} \partial_x y + \widetilde{B}(x) y = \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{y}) + \widetilde{q},$$

... of characteristic form $(v = \mathbf{L}y)$:

$$\partial_t v + \mathbf{D}\partial_x v + B(x)v = g(\mathbf{v}) + q.$$

B indefinite

•
$$g_k(\varphi) := \varphi^{\mathsf{T}} G^k \varphi$$
 with $G^k \in \mathbb{R}^{12 \times 12}$

²Hodges 2003



More precisely,

The coefficients \mathbf{D}, B, g are explicitly known.

Parameters: density ρ , cross section area a, shear modulus G, Young modulus E, area moments of inertia contained in $J \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$, correction factors k_2, k_3 . Strains before deformation: $\Gamma_c, \Upsilon_c \in C^1([0, L]; \mathbb{R}^3)$.

About
$$\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$$
: for D_+ pos. definite diagonal matrix
 $\mathbf{D} = \operatorname{diag}(-D_+, D_+).$
 \blacktriangleright Notation: for $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_-\\ v_+ \end{pmatrix}$, where $v_-, v_+ \in \mathbb{R}^6$.

Existence and uniqueness:

- ► C¹_{x,t} solutions to 1-d quasilinear hyperbolic systems: WANG '06 (extension of LI '10 to nonautonomous systems). Solution local and semi-global in time.
- $C^0([0,T]; H^1)$ solutions to 1-d semilinear hyperbolic systems: BASTIN, CORON '17 and '16.

Boundary feedback exponential stabilization: boundary condition $\mathcal{B}(y(0,t), y(L,t), u(t)) = 0$ with feedback control u(t) = u(y(0,t), y(L,t)). See BASTIN, CORON '16.

Notation: $H^1 = H^1(0,L;\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $C^k_{x,t} = C^k([0,L] \times [0,T];\mathbb{R}^d).$

Local H^1 -exp. stabilization of IB

Assumption 1:

(1)

Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$. Assume $\bar{f}_1 = \bar{f}_2 = 0$, and the boundary conditions are

$$v_{-}(L,t) = -v_{+}(L,t), \qquad v_{+}(0,t) = \kappa v_{-}(0,t),$$

where κ diagonal matrix depending on μ_1,μ_2 and s.t. $\kappa_i\in(-1,1)$ for $1\leq i\leq 6.$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \mathbf{D} \partial_x v + B(x)v = g(v) & \text{in } (0, L) \times (0, T) \\ v_-(L, t) = -v_+(L, t) & \text{for } t \in (0, T) \\ v_+(0, t) = \kappa v_-(0, t) & \text{for } t \in (0, T) \\ v(x, 0) = v^0(x) & \text{for } x \in (0, L) \end{cases}$$



Theorem:

The steady state v = 0 of (1) system is H^1 - exponentially stable,

... in the sense that $\exists \varepsilon>0,\,\alpha>0$ and c>0 s.t., for any $v^0\in H^1(0,L;\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying

 $\|v^0\|_{H^1(0,L;\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \varepsilon$

and the C^0 -compatibility conditions at (x,t) = (0,0) and (x,t) = (L,0), the solution v to (1) belongs to $C^0([0,+\infty); H^1(0,L;\mathbb{R}^d))$ and satisfies

 $\|v(t)\|_{H^1(0,L;\mathbb{R}^d)} \le c e^{-\alpha t} \|v^0\|_{H^1(0,L;\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \forall t \in [0,+\infty).$





About the boundary conditions:

The boundary condition are chosen as a result of the analysis of the beam energy \mathcal{E} (which is the sum of the kinetic and strain energy).

About the proof:

The proof of the main theorem involves the general result for 1-d semilinear hyperbolic system in BASTIN, CORON '17, as well as a study of the structure of \mathcal{E} .



- Networks of beams: Write the boundary conditions for a network of IB. Stability study.
- Add source terms $\bar{f}_1 \neq 0$ and $\bar{f}_2 \neq 0$: nontrivial steady state.



Thank you for your attention!

- G. Bastin, J.-M. Coron, Stability and boundary stabilization of 1-d hyperbolic systems, volume 88 of Progr. nonlinear differential equations appl., 2016.
- G. Bastin, J.-M. Coron, Exponential stability of semi-linear one-dimensional balance laws. In Feedback stabilization of controlled dynamical systems, 2017.
- T. Li, Controllability and observability for quasilinear hyperbolic systems, volume 32 of Research in applied mathematics, 2010.
- D. H. Hodges, Geometrically exact, intrinsic theory for dynamics of of curved and twisted anisotropic beams. AIAA journal, 2003
- R.K Kapania, J. Li, On a geometrically exact curved/twisted beam theory under rigid cross-section assumption, Computational mechanics, 2003
- J. C. Simo, A finite strain formulation The three-dimensional dynamic problem Part I. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 1985
- C. Strohmeyer, Networks of nonlinear thin structures theory and applications, doctoralthesis, FAU university press, 2018
- Z. Wang, Exact controllability for nonautonomous first order quasilinear hyperbolic systems. In Chinese Annals of Mathematics, 2006.



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 765579.