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INTRODUCTION
We consider the **dynamical** optimal control problem

$$\min_u J^T(u) = \int_0^T L(y, u) dt,$$

where:

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{d}{dt} y = f(y, u) \quad \text{in } (0, T) \\
y(0) = y_0.
\end{cases}$$

We assume the above problem is well posed as well as its **steady** analogue

$$\min_u J_s(u) = L(y, u), \quad \text{with the constraint } f(y, u) = 0.$$
THE TURNPIKE PROPERTY IN SEMILINEAR CONTROL
Time-evolution optimal control problem

\[ \min_{u \in L^2((0,T) \times \omega)} J_T(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_\omega |u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\omega_0} |y - z|^2 \, dx \, dt, \]

where:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
y_t - \Delta y + f(y) = u \chi_\omega & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\
y = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \Omega \\
y(0, x) = y_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

\( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) is a regular bounded domain, with \( n = 1, 2, 3 \). The nonlinearity \( f \) is \( C^3 \) increasing, with \( f(0) = 0 \). Hence, the behaviour is dissipative, thus avoiding blow up. \( \omega \subseteq \Omega \) is the control domain, while \( \omega_0 \subseteq \Omega \) is the observation domain. The target \( z \) is bounded and the parameter \( \beta > 0 \).

By direct methods in the Calculus of Variations, there exists an optimal control \( u^T \) minimizing \( J^T \). The corresponding optimal state is denoted by \( y^T \).
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Steady optimal control problem

\[ \min_{u \in L^2(\omega)} J_s(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} |u|^2 dx + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\omega_0} |y - z|^2 dx, \]

where:

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta y + f(y) = u \chi_{\omega} & \text{in } \Omega \\
y = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

By direct methods in the Calculus of Variations, there exists an optimal control \( \bar{u} \) minimizing \( J_s \). The corresponding optimal state is denoted by \( \bar{y} \).
If $\|z\|_{L^\infty}$ is **small** enough, the steady problem admits a **unique** optimal control $\bar{u} = -\bar{q}\chi_\omega$, where $(\bar{y}, \bar{q})$ solves the Optimality System

$$
\begin{cases}
-\Delta \bar{y} + f(\bar{y}) = -\bar{q}\chi_\omega & \text{in } \Omega \\
\bar{y} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \\
-\Delta \bar{q} + f'(\bar{y})\bar{q} = \beta(\bar{y} - z)\chi_\omega_0 & \text{in } \Omega \\
\bar{q} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
$$
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Theorem (Porretta-Zuazua, 2016)

There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if the initial datum and the target fulfil the smallness condition

$$\|y_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|z\|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta,$$

there exists a solution $(y^T, q^T)$ to the Optimality System

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_t^T - \Delta y^T + f(y^T) = -q^T \chi_\omega & \quad \text{in} \ (0, T) \times \Omega \\
& y^T = 0 & \quad \text{on} \ (0, T) \times \partial \Omega \\
& y^T(0, x) = y_0(x) & \quad \text{in} \ \Omega \\
& -q_t^T - \Delta q^T + f'(y^T)q^T = \beta(y^T - z)\chi_{\omega_0} & \quad \text{in} \ (0, T) \times \Omega \\
& q^T = 0 & \quad \text{on} \ (0, T) \times \partial \Omega \\
& q^T(T, x) = 0 & \quad \text{in} \ \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

satisfying for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$
\|q^T(t) - \bar{q}\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} + \|y^T(t) - \bar{y}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K \left[ e^{-\mu t} + e^{-\mu(T-t)} \right],
$$

where $K$ and $\mu$ are $T$-independent.
Our goal is to

1. prove that in fact the turnpike property is satisfied by the optima;
2. **remove** the *smallness* condition on the **initial datum**.

We keep the smallness condition on the target. This leads to the smallness and uniqueness of the steady optima.
Theorem (P.-Zuazua, 2019)

Let $u^T$ be an optimal control for the time-evolution problem. There exists $\rho > 0$ such that for every $y_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $z$ verifying $\|z\|_{L^\infty} \leq \rho$, we have for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\|u^T(t) - \bar{u}\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} + \|y^T(t) - \bar{y}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K \left[ e^{-\mu t} + e^{-\mu (T-t)} \right],$$

the constants $K$ and $\mu > 0$ being independent of the time horizon $T$. 
Main ingredients of the proof

The main ingredients that our proofs require are as follows:

- prove a $L^\infty$ bound of the norm of the optimal control, uniform in the time horizon $T > 0$;
- for small data and small targets, prove that any optimal control verifies the turnpike property;
- for small targets and any data, proof of the smallness of $\|y^T(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ in time $t$ large. This is done by estimating the critical time needed to approach the turnpike;
- conclude concatenating the two former steps.
Numerical simulations
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Steady optimal control problem

\[ \min_{u \in L^2(\omega)} J_s(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} |u|^2 dx + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\omega_0} |y - z|^2 dx, \]

where:

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta y + f(y) = u \chi_\omega & \text{in } \Omega \\
y = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

**Uniqueness** of the optimal control for **large** targets \( z \)?
Steady optimal control problem

\[
\min_{v \in \mathbb{R}} J_s(v) = \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\omega_0} |y - z|^2 dx,
\]

where:

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta y + y^3 = vg\chi_{\omega} & \text{in } \Omega \\
y = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

Theorem (P.-Zuazua, 2019)

Suppose \( g \in L^\infty(\omega) \setminus \{0\} \) is nonnegative. Assume \( \bar{\omega} \subset \omega_0 \). Then, there exists a target \( z \in L^\infty(\omega_0) \) such that the functional \( J_s \) admits (at least) two global minimizing targets.
Steady optimal control problem
Steady optimal control problem
Steady optimal control problem

\[
\min_{u \in L^2(\omega)} J_s(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\omega |u|^2 \, dx + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\omega_0} |y - z|^2 \, dx,
\]

where:

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta y + y^3 = u \chi_\omega & \text{in } \Omega \\
y = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

Proposition (P.-Zuazua, 2019)

Assume \( \overline{\omega} \subsetneq \omega_0 \). There exists a target \( z \in L^\infty(\omega_0) \) such that the steady functional \( J_s \) admits (at least) two stationary points. Namely, there exist two distinguished pairs \((\overline{y}, \overline{q})\) satisfying the optimality system

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta \overline{y} + \overline{y}^3 = -\overline{q} \chi_\omega & \text{in } \Omega \\
-\Delta \overline{q} + 3\overline{y}^2 \overline{q} = \beta(\overline{y} - z) & \text{in } \Omega \\
\overline{y} = 0, \quad \overline{q} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]
THE TURNPIKE PROPERTY IN ROTORS IMBALANCE SUPPRESSION
Secondment in Marposs

Figure: Marposs headquarter
Consider a **rotor** rotating about a **fixed axis**, with respect to an inertial reference frame.

Often time, rotor’s **mass** distribution is **not homogeneous**, thus producing dangerous **vibrations**.
A system of **balancing masses** is given. We determine the **optimal movement** of the balancing masses to **minimize the imbalance** of the rotor.
We introduce a **rotor-fixed reference frame** \((O; (x, y, z))\), where \(z\) coincides with the rotation axis.
The balancing masses are supposed to rotate in two planes $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$ orthogonal to the rotation axis.

In each balancing plane $\pi_i$, the **positions** of the corresponding balancing masses are given by two **angles** $\alpha_i$ and $\gamma_i$ and their mass is $m_i$. 
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The **imbalance** generates a force \( F \) and a momentum \( N \) on the rotation axis, which can be decomposed into a force \( F_1 \) in plane \( \pi_1 \) and a force \( F_2 \) in \( \pi_2 \).

The **balancing** masses produce a force \( B_1(\alpha_1, \gamma_1) \) in \( \pi_1 \) and a force \( B_2(\alpha_2, \gamma_2) \) in \( \pi_2 \) to compensate the imbalance.

The global imbalance of the system made of rotor and balancing heads is given by the **imbalance indicator**

\[
G(\alpha_1, \gamma_1; \alpha_2, \gamma_2) := \| B_1(\alpha_1, \gamma_1) + F_1 \|^2 + \| B_2(\alpha_2, \gamma_2) + F_2 \|^2.
\]

We assume the existence of \((\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\gamma}_1; \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\gamma}_2) \in \mathbb{T}^4\), such that

\[
G(\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\gamma}_1; \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\gamma}_2) = 0.
\]
Find the trajectory $\Phi(t) = (\alpha_1(t), \gamma_1(t); \alpha_2(t), \gamma_2(t))$ minimizing

$$J(\Phi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \left[ \|\dot{\Phi}\|^2 + \beta G(\Phi) \right] dt,$$

over the set of admissible trajectories

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \Phi \in \bigcap_{T>0} H^1((0, T); \mathbb{T}^4) \mid \Phi(0) = \Phi_0, \right.$$ 

$$\dot{\Phi} \in L^2(0, +\infty) \text{ and } G(\Phi) \in L^1(0, +\infty) \bigg\}. $$

$\beta > 0$ is a weighting parameter.
Proposition (Gnuffi-P.-Sakamoto, 2019)

For \( i = 1, 2 \), set

\[
c^i := \frac{1}{2m_i r_i \omega^2} (F_{ix}, F_{iy})
\]

Then,
1. there exists \( \Phi \in \mathcal{A} \) minimizer of \( J \);
2. \( \Phi = (\alpha_1, \gamma_1; \alpha_2, \gamma_2) \) is \( C^\infty \) smooth and, for \( i = 1, 2 \), the following Euler-Lagrange equations are satisfied, for \( t > 0 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
-\ddot{\alpha}_i &= \beta \cos(\gamma_i) \left[ -c^i_1 \sin(\alpha_i) + c^i_2 \cos(\alpha_i) \right] \\
-\ddot{\gamma}_i &= -\beta \sin(\gamma_i) \left[ c^i_1 \cos(\alpha_i) + c^i_2 \sin(\alpha_i) - \cos(\gamma_i) \right] \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\alpha_i(0) = \alpha_{0,i}, \quad \gamma_i(0) = \gamma_{0,i}, \quad \Phi(T) \xrightarrow{T \to +\infty} 0.
\]
Proposition (Gnuffi-P.-Sakamoto, 2019)

Let $\Phi$ be an optimal trajectory. Then,

1. There exists $\Phi \in \text{zero}(G)$ such that
   
   \[
   \Phi(t) \xrightarrow{t \to +\infty} \Phi, \quad \dot{\Phi}(t) \xrightarrow{t \to +\infty} 0.
   \]

   and

   \[
   |G(\Phi(t))| \xrightarrow{t \to +\infty} 0.
   \]

2. If, in addition

   \[
   m_1 r_1 > \frac{\sqrt{F_{1,x}^2 + F_{1,y}^2}}{2 \omega^2} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 r_2 > \frac{\sqrt{F_{2,x}^2 + F_{2,y}^2}}{2 \omega^2},
   \]

   we have the \textit{exponential} estimate for any $t \geq 0$

   \[
   \|\Phi(t) - \Phi\| + \|\dot{\Phi}(t)\| + |G(\Phi(t))| \leq C \exp(-\mu t),
   \]

   with $C, \mu > 0$ independent of $t$. 

Optimal control problem

1. the proof of (1) is based on Łojasiewicz inequality;
2. the proof of (2) relies on the Stable Manifold Theorem applied to the Pontryagin Optimality System.
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The related computational code is available in the DyCon blog at the following link:
https://deustotech.github.io/DyCon-Blog/tutorial/wp02/P0005
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