Existence, uniqueness and numerical investigation of segregation models

Farid Bozorgnia

Instituto Superior Tecnico

VIII Partial differential equations, optimal design and numerics Benasque, Spain

Joint with: Martin Burger(Friedrich-Alexander-University of Erlangen-Nürnberg) August 2019

- One Phase Obstacle
- Description of model
- Related Systems
- Existence and Uniqueness
- Analysis and asymptotic behaviour of systems
- Numerical schemes.





Numerical investigation of long range segrega

・ロト・西ト・モト・モー うへの





3 1 4 3 1



A. Petrosyan, H. Shahgholian, Nina Uraltseva, *Regularity of free boundaries in obstacle-type problems.* Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 136, AMS (2012).

э

1- Adjacent segregation: Particles annihilate or interact on contact line or, common surface of separation. Appears in modeling of population density:

- 1- Adjacent segregation: Particles annihilate or interact on contact line or, common surface of separation. Appears in modeling of population density:
 - (A) Competition models of Lotka-Volterra type,
 - (B) Variational problems.

- 1- Adjacent segregation: Particles annihilate or interact on contact line or, common surface of separation. Appears in modeling of population density:
 - (A) Competition models of Lotka-Volterra type,
 - (B) Variational problems.
- 2- Segregation at Distance: Components interact at a distance from each other.

More complex geometric problem: Recent work by L. Caffarelli, S. Partrizi, V. Quitalo..

- 1- Adjacent segregation: Particles annihilate or interact on contact line or, common surface of separation. Appears in modeling of population density:
 - (A) Competition models of Lotka-Volterra type,
 - (B) Variational problems.
- 2- Segregation at Distance: Components interact at a distance from each other.

More complex geometric problem: Recent work by L. Caffarelli, S. Partrizi, V. Quitalo..

3- Singularly perturbed elliptic systems

Adjacent segregation model **Problem** (A):

• Let *m* be a fixed integer. We call the *m*-tuple $U = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in (H^1(\Omega))^m$, pairwise segregated states if

$$u_i(x) \cdot u_j(x) = 0$$
, a.e. for $i \neq j, x \in \Omega$.

• Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a connected, bounded domain with smooth boundary.

Adjacent segregation model **Problem** (A):

• Let *m* be a fixed integer. We call the *m*-tuple $U = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in (H^1(\Omega))^m$, pairwise segregated states if

$$u_i(x) \cdot u_j(x) = 0$$
, a.e. for $i \neq j, x \in \Omega$.

- Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a connected, bounded domain with smooth boundary.
- The density of i-th component $u_i(x)$: $i = 1, \dots, m$ with the internal dynamic is prescribed by f_i .
- The steady-states of m competing components in Ω is given by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_i^{\varepsilon} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_i^{\varepsilon}(x) \sum_{j \neq i}^m a_{ij} \ u_j^{\varepsilon}(x) + f_i(x, u_i^{\varepsilon}(x)) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_i \ge o & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_i(x) = \phi_i(x) & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Adjacent segregation model **Problem** (A):

Let m be a fixed integer. We call the m-tuple U = (u₁, · · · , u_m) ∈ (H¹(Ω))^m, pairwise segregated states if

$$u_i(x) \cdot u_j(x) = 0$$
, a.e. for $i \neq j, x \in \Omega$.

- Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a connected, bounded domain with smooth boundary.
- The density of i-th component $u_i(x)$: $i = 1, \dots, m$ with the internal dynamic is prescribed by f_i .
- The steady-states of m competing components in Ω is given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_i^{\varepsilon} &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_i^{\varepsilon}(x) \sum_{j \neq i}^m a_{ij} \ u_j^{\varepsilon}(x) + f_i(x, u_i^{\varepsilon}(x)) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_i &\ge o & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_i(x) &= \phi_i(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$
(1)

• The boundary values ϕ_i are non-negative and have disjoint supports on the boundary, i.e.

$$\phi_i \cdot \phi_j = 0$$
 on $\partial \Omega$.



L. Caffarelli, F. Lin, *Singularly perturbed elliptic systems and multi-valued harmonic functions with free boundaries*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21**, no. 3, 847–862, (2008).

M. Conti, S. Terracini, and G. Verzini, Asymptotic estimate for spatial segregation of competitive systems, Advances in Mathematics. **195**, 524-560, (2005), and an estimate the systems of the system of the systems of

Given Ω we are looking for m-partition $(\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \cdots, \Omega_m)$ such that it minimize the following

$$\inf_{(\Omega_1,\Omega_2,\cdots,\Omega_m)}\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_1(\Omega_i).$$

- Here $\lambda_1(D)$ is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in D with zero boundary condition.
- It can be reformulate as

Minimize
$$E(u_1, \cdots, u_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 dx$$
,

over the set

$$K = \{(u_1, \ldots, u_m) \in (H^1_0(\Omega))^m : u_i \cdot u_j = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j, \|u_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_i)} = 1\}.$$

Image: A matrix

An Optimal Partition problem

If (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_m) minimizes E on K and

$$\Omega_i = \{x \in \Omega : u_i > 0\}$$

is a good candidate to be an optimal partition. To penalization the condition $u_i \cdot u_j = 0$

$$E^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j < i} u_i^2 u_j^2 \, dx$$

Over the set over the set

$$\mathcal{K}' = \{(u_1, \ldots, u_m) \in (\mathcal{H}^1_0(\Omega))^m : \|u_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_i)} = 1\}.$$

The minimizer satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_i^{\varepsilon} = \lambda_i u_i^{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_i^{\varepsilon} \sum_{j \neq i}^m (u_j^{\varepsilon})^2 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_i^{\varepsilon} \ge 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_i = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Some references for numerics optimal partition problem



- D. Bucur, G. Buttazzo, and A. Henrot, *Existence results for some optimal partition problems.* Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. 8 (1998), no. 2, 571–579.
 - B. Bourdin, D. Bucur, and É. Oudet. *Optimal partitions for eigenvalues.* SIAM J. Sci. Comput.31(2009), 4100–4114.



- B. Helffer, *On spectral minimal partitions: a survey*. Milan J. Math. 78 (2010), no. 2, 575–590
- F. Bozorgnia, *Optimal partitions for first eigenvalues of the Laplace operator*. NMPDE, 31 (2015) 923-949.



B. Bogosel, D. Bucur, and I. Fragalà, Phase Field Approach to Optimal Packing Problems and Related Cheeger Clusters. Appl Math Optim (2018), 1-25.

Problem (B): Consider the following minimization problem

Minimize
$$E(u_1, \cdots, u_m) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_i|^2 + f_i u_i\right) dx$$
,

over the set

$$\mathcal{K} = \{(u_1, \ldots, u_m) \in (\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega))^m : u_i \ge 0, u_i \cdot u_j = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \text{ for } i \neq j, u_i = \phi_i \text{ on } \partial \Omega\}.$$

Here $\phi_i \cdot \phi_j = 0$, $\phi_i \ge 0$ on the boundary $\partial \Omega$. Also we assume that f_i is uniformly continuous and $f_i(x) \ge 0$.

- F. Bozorgnia, A Arakelyan, Numerical algorithms for a variational problem of the spatial segregation of reaction-diffusion systems. Applied Mathematics and Computation 219, (2013) 8863-8875.
- M. Conti, S. Terracini, and G. Verzini, A varational problem for the spatial segregation of reaction-diffusion systems, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 54, no 3, (2005) 779–815.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Different cases for minimization Problem (B)

• m = 1: One phase Obstacle problem

Minimize
$$E(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + f u\right) dx$$
,

over the admissible set $K = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : u \ge 0, u = \phi \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}.$ m = 2: Two-phase membrane problem

$$E(v) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 + f_1 \max(v, 0) - f_2 \min(v, 0) \right) dx,$$

over

$$\mathcal{K} = \{ v \in H^1(\Omega), v = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega, g \text{ changes sign on } \partial\Omega. \}$$

Minimizer solves

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = f_1 \chi_{\{u>0\}} - f_2 \chi_{\{u<0\}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

• In E(v) set $u_1 = v^+ = \max(v, 0)$ $u_2 = v^- = \max(-v, 0)$ then

$$E(v) = E(u_1, u_2) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} (|\nabla u_1|^2 + |\nabla u_2|^2) + f_1 u_1 + f_2 u_2 \right) dx.$$

Segregation at distance

- System has similarity with system in Problem (A)
- But: Annihilation of coefficients for $u_1(x)$ is based on values on u_2 in full neighborhood so
 - \rightarrow we have to prescribe u_1 and u_2 in a neighborhood of Ω .

Segregation at distance

- System has similarity with system in Problem (A)
- **But:** Annihilation of coefficients for $u_1(x)$ is based on values on u_2 in full neighborhood so \rightarrow we have to prescribe u_1 and u_2 in a neighborhood of Ω .
- Denote $(\partial \Omega)_1 := \{x \in \Omega^c : dist(x, \Omega) \leq 1\}.$

Segregation at distance

- System has similarity with system in Problem (A)
- **But:** Annihilation of coefficients for $u_1(x)$ is based on values on u_2 in full neighborhood so \rightarrow we have to prescribe u_1 and u_2 in a neighborhood of Ω .
- Denote $(\partial \Omega)_1 := \{x \in \Omega^c : dist(x, \Omega) \le 1\}$.



The Model of segregation at distance

The model is described by the following system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_i^{\varepsilon}(x) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_i^{\varepsilon}(x) \sum_{j \neq i} H(u_j^{\varepsilon})(x) \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u_i(x) = \phi_i(x) \quad x \in (\partial\Omega)_1, \\ i = 1, \cdots, m. \end{cases}$$
(2)

The Model of segregation at distance

The model is described by the following system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_i^{\varepsilon}(x) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_i^{\varepsilon}(x) \sum_{j \neq i} H(u_j^{\varepsilon})(x) \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u_i(x) = \phi_i(x) \qquad x \in (\partial \Omega)_1, \\ i = 1, \cdots, m. \end{cases}$$
(2)

where

$$H(u_j^{\varepsilon})(x) = \int_{B_1(x)} u_j^{\varepsilon}(y) dy,$$

or

$$H(u_j^{\varepsilon})(x) = \sup_{y \in B_1(x)} u_j^{\varepsilon}(y).$$

The Model of segregation at distance

The model is described by the following system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_i^{\varepsilon}(x) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_i^{\varepsilon}(x) \sum_{j \neq i} H(u_j^{\varepsilon})(x) & x \in \Omega, \\ u_i(x) = \phi_i(x) & x \in (\partial\Omega)_1, \\ i = 1, \cdots, m. \end{cases}$$
(2)

where

$$H(u_j^{\varepsilon})(x) = \int_{B_1(x)} u_j^{\varepsilon}(y) dy,$$

or

$$H(u_j^{\varepsilon})(x) = \sup_{y \in B_1(x)} u_j^{\varepsilon}(y).$$

Assumptions: $\phi_i(x)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ are non-negative $C^{1,\alpha}$ functions such that have disjoint supports in distance more than two

$$(supp \phi_i(x))_1 \cap (supp \phi_j(x))_1 = \emptyset$$

L. Caffarelli, S. Patrizi, and V. Quitalo, *On a long range segregation model*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 19,(2017) 3575-3628.

F. Bozorgnia, Uniqueness result for long range spatially segregation elliptic system. Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, (2017), 1-14.

A class of Singular Perturbed Elliptic system:

• The *m*-tuple $U = (u_1, \cdots, u_m)$ are called mutually segregated if

$$\prod_{j=1}^m u_j(x) = 0 \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Consider the following system,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Delta u_i^\varepsilon = \frac{A_i(x)}{\varepsilon} F(u_1^\varepsilon, \cdots, u_m^\varepsilon) & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u_i^\varepsilon \ge 0, & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u_i(x) = \phi_i(x) & \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$F(u_1,\cdots,u_m)=\prod_{j=1}^m u_j^{\alpha_j}, \quad \alpha_i\geq 0.$$

• (A1) ϕ_i are non-negative $C^{1,\alpha}$ and $\prod_{i=1}^m \phi_i = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. • (A2) The functions $A_i(x)$ are smooth, nonnegative and

$$A_i(x) \leq \sum_{j \neq i} A_j(x)$$

Aim: Existence, Uniqueness and numerical simulation for Systems (1), (2)and (3) for fixed ε and the limit as ε tends to zero.

(3)

Modeling

- The system (3) and the limiting system for $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ appear in theory of flames and are related to a model called Burke-Schumann approximation.
- Oxidizer and reactant mix on a thin sheet and the flame precisely occurs there.
- Introduce a large parameter called Damköhler number, denoted by D_a, which is the parameter measuring the intensity of the reaction
- Then, the a chemical reaction is described by

Oxidizer + Fuel \rightarrow Products.

Let Y_O and Y_F , respectively, denote the mass fraction of the oxidizer and the fuel:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta Y_O + v(x) \cdot \nabla Y_O = D_a Y_O Y_F & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta Y_F + v(x) \cdot \nabla Y_F = D_a Y_O Y_F & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

with given incompressible velocity field v and a Dirichlet boundary condition on $\partial \Omega$.

L. Caffarelli and J. Roquejoffre, *Uniform Hölder estimate in a class of elliptic systems and applications to singular limits in models for diffusion flames*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **183**, no. 3, (2007) 457–487.

F. Bozorgnia, M. Burger, *On a Class of Singularly Perturbed Elliptic Systems with Asymptotic Phase Segregation*. arXiv(2019):1901.08750.

Theorem

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a unique positive solution $(u_1^{\varepsilon}, \dots, u_m^{\varepsilon})$ of system in (1), (2) and (3).

Theorem

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a unique positive solution $(u_1^{\varepsilon}, \dots, u_m^{\varepsilon})$ of system in (1), (2) and (3).

Sketch of the Proof(for System 3)

Consider the harmonic extension u_i^0 for $i = 1, \dots, m$ given by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_i^0 = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_i^0 = \phi_i & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(4)

Theorem

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a unique positive solution $(u_1^{\varepsilon}, \dots, u_m^{\varepsilon})$ of system in (1), (2) and (3).

Sketch of the Proof(for System 3)

Consider the harmonic extension u_i^0 for $i = 1, \cdots, m$ given by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_i^0 = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_i^0 = \phi_i & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(4)

Given u_i^k , consider the solution of the following linear system for system (1)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_i^{k+1} = \frac{A_i(x)}{\varepsilon} \frac{u_1^k \cdots u_i^k - u_i^{k+1} u_{i+1}^k \cdots u_m^k + u_1^{k+1} \cdots u_{i-1}^{k+1} u_i^{k+1} u_{i+1}^k \cdots u_m^k}{2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_i^{k+1}(x) = \phi_i(x) & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(5)

The following inequalities hold:

$$u_i^0 \geq u_i^2 \geq \cdots \geq u_i^{2k} \geq \cdots \geq u_i^{2k+1} \geq \cdots \geq u_i^3 \geq u_i^1, \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

which implies

$$u_i^{2k} \to \overline{u}_i$$
 and $u_i^{2k+1} \to \underline{u}_i$ uniformly in Ω .

• We have : $\overline{u}_i \geq \underline{u}_i$.

• We have : $\overline{u}_i \geq \underline{u}_i$. We will show that in fact the equality holds. To do this, first consider the equations for the $m^{\rm th}$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \overline{u}_m = \frac{A_m(x)}{2\varepsilon} \overline{u}_m \left(\overline{u}_1 \cdots \overline{u}_i \overline{u}_{i+1} \cdots \overline{u}_{m-1} + \underline{u}_1 \cdots \underline{u}_i \underline{u}_{i+1} \cdots \underline{u}_{m-1} \right) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta \underline{u}_m = \frac{A_m(x)}{2\varepsilon} \underline{u}_m \left(\underline{u}_1 \cdots \underline{u}_i \underline{u}_{i+1} \cdots \underline{u}_{m-1} + \overline{u}_1 \cdots \overline{u}_i \overline{u}_{i+1} \cdots \overline{u}_{m-1} \right) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \overline{u}_m = \underline{u}_m = \phi_m(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

which implies

$$\overline{u}_m = \underline{u}_m$$

- The argument is repeated backward which shows equality for every i.
- Assume there exist another positive solution (w_1, \dots, w_n) , then by induction:

$$u_i^{2k+1} \le w_i \le u_i^{2k}, \quad \text{for } k \ge 0, \tag{7}$$

which shows

 $u_i = w_i$.

Goal: Analyze of Problem (A) as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in first model

Assume $a_{ij} = 1$, $f_i(x, u_i) = 0$. The case of two components m = 2:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Delta u_1^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_1^{\varepsilon}(x) u_2^{\varepsilon}(x) & \text{ in } \Omega \\ \Delta u_2^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_2^{\varepsilon}(x) u_1^{\varepsilon}(x) & \text{ in } \Omega \\ + \text{ Boundary conditions.} \end{array}$$

Easy fact: $\Delta(u_1^{\varepsilon} - u_2^{\varepsilon}) = 0$, $\forall \varepsilon$. This remains true when ε tends to zero.



Theorem Let W be harmonic with the boundary data $\phi_1 - \phi_2$. Let $u_1 = W^+$, $u_2 = -W^-$, then the pair (u_1, u_2) is the limit configuration of any sequences $(u_1^\varepsilon, u_2^\varepsilon)$ and:

$$\parallel u_i^{\varepsilon} - u_i \parallel_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C(\varepsilon)^{1/6}$$
 as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $i = 1, 2$.





M. Conti, S. Terracini, and G. Verzini, *Asymptotic estimate for spatial segregation of competitive systems*, Advances in Mathematics. **195**, 524-560, (2005).

Goal: study the system as $\varepsilon ightarrow 0$ in model 1

Theorem1[CTV]:

Let $U^{\varepsilon} = (u_1^{\varepsilon}, ..., u_m^{\varepsilon})$ be the solution of system at fixed ε . Let $\varepsilon \to 0$, then there exists $U \in (H^1(\Omega))^m$ such that for all $i = 1, \cdots, m$:

 $\lim_{y \to x} \nabla u_i(y) = -\lim_{y \to x} \nabla u_j(y) \quad \text{Free boundary condition}.$



Relation between problem A and B for m = 3

The case m = 3: Uniqueness of the limiting configuration as ε tends to zero on a planar domain, with appropriate boundary conditions

$$-\Delta u_i^{\varepsilon} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_i^{\varepsilon}(x) \sum_{j \neq i}^m u_j^{\varepsilon}(x) \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

Moreover the limiting configuration minimizes

Minimize
$$E(u_1, u_2, u_3) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_i|^2 \right) dx$$
,

among all segregated states $u_i \cdot u_j = 0$ a.e. with the same boundary conditions.

M. Conti, S. Terracini, and G. Verzini, *Uniqueness and least energy property for solutions to strongly competing systems.* Interfaces and Free Boundaries 8 (2006), 437–446.

Examples for the first model

• Let
$$\Omega = B_1, m = 3$$
. The boundary values ϕ_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are
 $\phi_1(1, \Theta) = \begin{cases} |\sin(\frac{3}{2}\Theta)| & 0 \le \Theta \le \frac{2\pi}{3} \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \phi_2(1, \Theta) = \begin{cases} |\sin(\frac{3}{2}\Theta)| & \frac{2\pi}{3} \le \Theta \le \frac{2\pi}{3} \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$
 $\phi_3(1, \Theta) = \begin{cases} 4|\sin(\frac{3}{2}\Theta)| & \frac{4\pi}{3} \le \Theta \le 2\pi, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$



Numerical investigation of long range segrega

Example

• we applied second method with $\Omega=[0,1]\times[0,1]$, $\phi_1=1-x^2, \phi_2=1-y^2, \phi_3=1-x^2, \phi_4=1-y^2$



1D segregation example



Numerical investigation of long range segrega

2D segregation example



2D segregation example



Relation between interfaces in model (1) and (2)



Let $\Omega = B_1, m = 3$. The boundary values ϕ_i for i = 1, 2, 3 are defined by

$$\phi_1(1,\Theta) = \begin{cases} |\sin(\frac{3}{2}\Theta)| & 0 \le \Theta \le \frac{4\pi}{3}, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases} \quad \phi_2(1,\Theta) = \begin{cases} |\sin(\frac{3}{2}\Theta)| & \frac{2\pi}{3} \le \Theta \le 2\pi, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

$$\phi_3(1,\Theta) = \begin{cases} |\sin(\frac{3}{2}\Theta)| & \frac{4\pi}{3} \le \Theta \le 2\pi + \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Here the boundary conditions satisfy

$$\phi_1 \cdot \phi_2 \cdot \phi_3 = 0.$$

Example for the singular perturbed system



Figure: surface of u_1

Example for the singular perturbed system



Figure: $u_1 + u_2 + u_3$.

Thanks for your attention