

Andrea Richaud

#### Formation of supermixed states in ultracold boson mixtures loaded in ring lattices

Benasque, May 15th, 2019



# Bose-Bose mixture $N_a$

#### Ring optical lattice



#### Target



Finding the **ground state** according to the strength of the **inter-species attraction**.

$$H = -T_a \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left( a_{j+1}^{\dagger} a_j + a_j^{\dagger} a_{j+1} \right) + \underbrace{U_a}_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} n_j (n_j - 1) \xrightarrow{} \mathbf{Repulsive}$$
$$-T_b \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left( b_{j+1}^{\dagger} b_j + b_j^{\dagger} b_{j+1} \right) + \underbrace{U_b}_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} m_j (m_j - 1) \xrightarrow{} \mathbf{Repulsive}$$
$$\underbrace{W}_{j=1}^{L} n_j m_j, \xrightarrow{} \mathbf{Attractive}$$

**Conserved quantities:**  $N_a = \sum_i^L n_i$   $N_b = \sum_i^L m_i$ 

#### The Continuous Variable Picture

**Ground state** of Hamiltonian  $H \rightarrow$  **Minimum** of effective potential  $\mathcal{V}$ 

$$\mathcal{V} = -2N_a T_a \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sqrt{x_j x_{j+1}} - 2N_b T_b \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sqrt{y_j y_{j+1}}$$

$$+\frac{U_a N_a^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^L x_j (x_j - \epsilon_a) + \frac{U_b N_b^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^L y_j (y_j - \epsilon_b)$$

 $+WN_aN_b\sum_{j=1}^L x_j y_j$ 

Where  $x_i, y_i \in [0, 1]$  are normalized boson populations.

$$x_i = \frac{n_i}{N_a}; \quad y_i = \frac{m_i}{N_b}$$

A technique already successfully used in e.g.:

- F. Lingua and V. Penna, PRE 95, 062142 (2017);
- R. W. Spekkens and J. E. Sipe, PRA 59, 3868 (1999);

#### The Continuous Variable Picture

#### **Ground state** of Hamiltonian $H \rightarrow$ **Minimum** of effective potential $\mathcal{V}$

$$\mathcal{V} = -2N_a T_a \sum_{j=1}^L \sqrt{x_j x_{j+1}}$$

$$\frac{U_a N_a^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^L x_j (x_j - \epsilon_a)$$
Attractive

Technique used in N. Oelkers and J. Links, PRB 75, 115119 (2007) to find the ground state properties of the attractive one-dimensional Bose-Hubbard model (single species).





Soliton

Delocalized

|U|

#### Semiclassical limit

$$\mathcal{V} = -2N_a T_a \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sqrt{x_j x_{j+1}} - 2N_b T_b \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sqrt{y_j y_{j+1}}$$

$$+\frac{U_a N_a^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} x_j (x_j - \epsilon_a) + \frac{U_b N_b^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} y_j (y_j - \epsilon_b)$$

$$+WN_aN_b\sum_{j=1}^L x_j y_j$$

Where  $x_i, y_i \in [0, 1]$  are normalized boson populations.

$$x_i = \frac{n_i}{N_a}; \quad y_i = \frac{m_i}{N_b}$$

If the boson populations are **large enough**, for **fixed** *L*, one can focus on leading terms.

This is a possible way of performing the **thermodynamic limt**, according to the schemes described in:

- N. Oelkers and J. Links, PRB 75, 115119 (2007);
- P. Buonsante, V. Penna, and A. Vezzani, PRA 84, 061601 (2011).

#### Semiclassical limit

$$\mathcal{V} = -2N_a T_a \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sqrt{x_j x_{j+1}} - 2N_b T_b \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sqrt{y_j y_{j+1}}$$

$$+\frac{U_a N_a^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} x_j (x_j - \epsilon_a) + \frac{U_b N_b^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} y_j (y_j - \epsilon_b)$$

$$V \approx \frac{\mathcal{V}}{U_a N_a^2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} x_j^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} y_j^2 + \alpha \beta \sum_{j=1}^{L} x_j y_j$$

$$+WN_aN_b\sum_{j=1}^L x_j y_j$$

$$\alpha = \frac{W}{\sqrt{U_a U_b}}, \qquad \beta = \frac{N_b}{N_a} \sqrt{\frac{U_b}{U_a}}$$

Where  $x_i, y_i \in [0, 1]$  are normalized boson populations.

$$x_i = \frac{n_i}{N_a}; \quad y_i = \frac{m_i}{N_b}$$

#### Effective model



#### Phase diagram



$$\mathcal{V} \approx \frac{\mathcal{V}}{U_a N_a^2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^L x_j^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^L y_j^2 + \alpha \beta \sum_{j=1}^L x_j y_j$$

Search for the constrained minimum of V in the parameters' space  $(\alpha, \beta)$ 

#### ₩

Same phase diagram, no matter the specific value of L (sites)

#### Phase diagram



Search for the constrained minimum of V in the parameters' space  $(\alpha, \beta)$ :

 $V_* := V(\vec{x}_*, \vec{y}_*) := \min_{(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \in \mathcal{R}} V(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ 

From a mathematical standpoint, it is not an easy task, as  $V_*$  may fall on the **boundary** of its domain  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Exhaustive exploration of the polytope-like domain  $\mathcal{R}$ , [ $\rightarrow$  V. Penna and A. Richaud, Sci Rep 8, 10242 (2018)]

Example: L=3



#### Two different kinds of transitions



#### Two different kinds of nonanaliticities of $V_*$



#### How to characterize the 3 phases?



Where  $x_i, y_i \in [0, 1]$  are normalized boson populations.  $x_i = \frac{n_i}{N_a}; \quad y_i = \frac{m_i}{N_b}$ 

## Entropies to quantify the degrees of mixing and localization



Used to in Physical Chemistry to quantify the miscibility of classical fluids.



Image taken by M. Camesasca et al, *Quantifying Fluid Mixing with the Shannon Entropy*, Macromolecular theory and simulation 15, 8 (2006).

Where  $x_i, y_i \in [0, 1]$  are chemical species concentrations.

$$x_i = \frac{n_i}{N_a}; \quad y_i = \frac{m_i}{N_b}$$

#### Entropies to quantify the degrees of mixing and localization





$$+\frac{U_a N_a^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^L x_j (x_j - \epsilon_a) + \frac{U_b N_b^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^L y_j (y_j - \epsilon_b)$$

 $+WN_aN_b\sum_{j=1}^L x_j y_j$ 

$$V \approx \frac{\mathcal{V}}{U_a N_a^2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} x_j^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} y_j^2 + \alpha \beta \sum_{j=1}^{L} x_j y_j$$

Keeping L fixed, we reduce the boson populations  $N_a$  and  $N_b$  in such a way to take into account the tunnelling processes.



Plots obtained for L=3



Walking away from the thermodynamic limit, the ideal phase diagram gets **smoothed** and **deformed**. But still, three qualitatively different regions can be recognized.



#### Agreement between CVP and BH

BH:



 $H = -T_a \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left( a_{j+1}^{\dagger} a_j + a_j^{\dagger} a_{j+1} \right) + \frac{U_a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} n_j (n_j - 1)$ 

 $-T_b \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left( b_{j+1}^{\dagger} b_j + b_j^{\dagger} b_{j+1} \right) + \frac{U_b}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} m_j (m_j - 1)$ 

 $+W\sum_{j=1}^{L}n_{j}\,m_{j},$ 

CVP:

$$+\frac{U_a N_a^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} x_j (x_j - \epsilon_a) + \frac{U_b N_b^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} y_j (y_j - \epsilon_b)$$

$$+WN_aN_b\sum_{j=1}^L x_j y_j$$

#### Quantum analysis: entropies

We import the concept of  $S_{mix}$  and  $S_{loc}$  into the quantum framework:



Coefficients coming from exact diagonalization of the BH Hamiltonian:

 $c(\vec{n},\vec{m}) = \langle \vec{n},\vec{m}|\psi_0\rangle$ 

#### Quantum analysis: entropies

We import the concept of  $S_{mix}$  and  $S_{loc}$  into the quantum framework:

$$\tilde{S}_{mix} := \sum_{\vec{n},\vec{m}}^{Q} |c(\vec{n},\vec{m})|^2 S_{mix}(\vec{n},\vec{m}),$$

$$\tilde{S}_{loc} := \sum_{\vec{n},\vec{m}}^{Q} |c(\vec{n},\vec{m})|^2 S_{loc}(\vec{n},\vec{m})$$





#### Quantum analysis: entropies

We import the concept of  $S_{mix}$  and  $S_{loc}$  into the quantum framework:



#### Quantum analysis: $E_0$

Based on the exact numerical diagonalization of the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian.

Second derivative of the ground state energy  $E_0$  as a function of the control parameter  $\alpha$ .

 $E_0 = \langle \psi_0 | H | \psi_0 \rangle$ 



#### Quantum analysis: Energy levels

 $E_i = \langle \psi_i | H | \psi_i \rangle$  Computed by means of exact numerical diagonalization



#### Quantum analysis: Energy levels



Functional dependence well captured by the **momentumbased** Bogolyubov approximation scheme: V. Penna, A. Richaud PRA 96 (5), 053631

$$\omega_k = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{2T(1 - c_k)[2T(1 - c_k) + 2u + 2w]},$$

$$\Omega_k = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{2T(1-c_k)[2T(1-c_k)+2u-2w]}.$$

Functional dependence well captured by the **sitesbased** Bogolyubov approximation scheme:

$$H_D = n_2(T_a - U_a N_a - N_b W) + n_3(-T_a - U_a N_a - N_b W)$$

 $+m_2(T_b - U_bN_b - N_aW) + m_3(-T_b - U_bN_b - N_aW),$ 



ANDREA RICHAUD

#### Conclusions



Same mechanism of supermixed soliton formation in all 1D ring lattices, whatever the number of lattice sites.

#### Conclusions





Quantum indicators  $\hat{S}_{mix}$  and  $\hat{S}_{loc}$  can be conveniently used to determine the phase of the system.

$$\tilde{S}_{mix} := \sum_{\vec{n},\vec{m}}^{Q} |c(\vec{n},\vec{m})|^2 S_{mix}(\vec{n},\vec{m}),$$

$$\tilde{S}_{loc} := \sum_{\vec{n}, \vec{m}}^{Q} |c(\vec{n}, \vec{m})|^2 S_{loc}(\vec{n}, \vec{m})$$

$$S_{mix} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left( x_j \log \frac{x_j}{x_j + y_j} + y_j \log \frac{y_j}{x_j + y_j} \right)$$

$$c(\vec{n},\vec{m}) = \langle \vec{n},\vec{m}|\psi_0 \rangle$$

$$S_{loc} = -\sum_{j=1}^{L} \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} \log \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}.$$

#### Conclusions



$$\alpha = \frac{W}{\sqrt{U_a U_b}}, \qquad \beta = \frac{N_b}{N_a} \sqrt{\frac{U_b}{U_a}}$$

Transition lines can be extimated analytically, as they correspond to the collapse of the Bogoliubov spectra.

#### Future work

Extend the study of the mechanism of Soliton formation to more complex lattice topologies, like:



#### Future work

Go beyond the point-like approximation wells which is typical of the Bose-Hubbard model, i.e. study this phenomena in terms of the GPE.

Explore the possible dynamical regimes of the supermixed solitons.

## Thanks for your attention!

#### QUESTIONS ?

Info: andrea.richaud@polito.it
https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.09212

ANDREA RICHAUD

### Minimum-energy configuration as a function of L

	-	
Phase	$(ec{x}_*,ec{y}_*)$	$V_*$
М	$x_{*,j} = 1/L  \forall j$	$V_*^{\mathrm{M}} = \frac{1}{2L}(\beta^2 + 2\alpha\beta + 1)$
	$y_{*,j} = 1/L  \forall j$	
PL	$x_{*,i} = [1 - (L - 1)\alpha\beta]/L$ $x_{*,j} = [1 + \alpha\beta]/L  \forall j \neq i$	$V_*^{\rm PL} = \frac{1}{2L} [1 + 2\alpha\beta]$
	$y_{*,i} = 1, \ y_{*,j} = 0 \ \forall j \neq i$	$+\beta^2(L-(L-1)\alpha^2)]$
	$x_{*,i} = 1$	
SM	$x_{*,j} = 0  \forall j \neq i$	$V_*^{\rm SM} = \frac{1}{2}(\beta^2 + 2\alpha\beta + 1)$
	$y_{*,i} = 1, \ y_{*,j} = 0 \ \forall j \neq i$	

#### Effect of non zero T/(UN)



#### Comparison with Bogolyubov (site-modes)



#### Comparison with Bogolyubov (momentummodes)

