


Linear and non-linear response: phenomenology and calculation with TDDFT



David A. Strubbe

Department of Physics,

University of California, Merced, USA

Benasque, 21 August 2018

Linear and non-linear optics

Relation to other topics in school:

Background:

Gross, fundamentals of TDDFT

Applications (mainly linear, could do nonlinear):

Octopus tutorial

More details:

Requist, quantum theory of polarization

Tancogne-Dejean, Microscopic-macroscopic connection

Jornet Somoza, linear response in chemistry


Linear and non-linear optics

Polarizability (linear optics) $\alpha(-\omega, \omega)$
absorption, refraction $\text{Im } \alpha, \text{Re } \alpha$

$$\mu_i(\mathcal{E}) = \mu_{i0} + \alpha_{ij} \mathcal{E}_j + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{ijkl} \mathcal{E}_j \mathcal{E}_k + \dots$$


$$\beta(2\omega; -\omega, -\omega)$$

Hyperpolarizability: second-harmonic generation (SHG) *etc.*




Consider only perturbative processes via Taylor expansion of dipole moment.
Not high-harmonic generation!

Quantized picture




absorption

$$\sigma_{\text{abs}} \propto I$$




two-photon absorption

$$\sigma_{\text{TPA}} \propto I^2$$



refraction



second-harmonic generation

$$\sigma_{\text{SHG}} \propto I^2$$

A zoo of nonlinear optical processes

sum-frequency generation (SFG)	$\beta (\omega_1, \omega_2, -(\omega_1 + \omega_2))$
difference-frequency generation (DFG)	$\beta (\omega_1, \omega_2, -(\omega_1 - \omega_2))$
second-harmonic generation (SHG)	$\beta (\omega, \omega, -2\omega)$
optical rectification	$\beta (\omega, -\omega, 0)$
Pockels (electrooptic) effect	$\beta (\omega, 0, -\omega)$
third-harmonic generation (THG)	$\gamma (\omega, \omega, \omega, -3\omega)$
two-photon absorption	$\text{Im } \gamma (\omega, \omega, -\omega, -\omega)$
four-wave mixing	$\gamma (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, -(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3))$

Energy conservation requires frequency arguments to sum to zero.

Applications of non-linear optics

Characterization in surface science and chemistry (very sensitive)

Optical parametric amplifiers

Pockels cells

Laser pointers

Tunable light sources

Optical logic

coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy (CARS) (kind of 4-wave mixing)



Two-photon fluorescent labels in biology

Typically inorganic crystals are used in applications, but organic molecules have the potential to be cheaper and more efficient.

SHG and SFG at the National Ignition Facility

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California

600 KH_2PO_4 crystals of 400 kg each, ultrapure to avoid absorption.



192 laser beams for inertial confinement fusion

Total power = 500 TW

1053 nm
1053 nm
1053 nm
527 nm
351 nm

The challenges of nonlinear optics: a cautionary tale

VOLUME 7, NUMBER 4

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

AUGUST 15, 1961

GENERATION OF OPTICAL HARMONICS*

P. A. Franken, A. E. Hill, C. W. Peters, and G. Weinreich

The Harrison M. Randall Laboratory of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

(Received July 21, 1961)



FIG. 1. A direct reproduction of the first plate in which there was an indication of second harmonic. The wavelength scale is in units of 100 A. The arrow at 3472 A indicates the small but dense image produced by the second harmonic. The image of the primary beam at 6943 A is very large due to halation.

The original experimental report of SHG (quartz, 694 nm)

Lesson: check your proofs!

Symmetry properties of nonlinear susceptibility tensors


Inversion symmetry: even orders are zero (μ , β , δ , etc.).

$$\mu^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\beta\mathcal{E}^2$$

Apply inversion: $-\mu^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\beta(-\mathcal{E})^2 = \frac{1}{2}\beta\mathcal{E}^2 = \mu^{(2)}$

Therefore $\beta = 0$

e.g. Si
crystal



surface: breaks
symmetry

bulk: no SHG

Symmetry properties of nonlinear susceptibility tensors

Permutation symmetry:

$$\beta_{ijk}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) = \frac{\partial^3 E}{\partial \mathcal{E}_{i, \omega_1} \partial \mathcal{E}_{j, \omega_2} \partial \mathcal{E}_{k, \omega_3}} = \beta_{jik}(\omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_3)$$


$$\beta_{ijk}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) \neq \beta_{jik}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$$

“Kleinman symmetry,” only when off-resonance or $\omega \approx 0$

Also Kramers-Kronig relations.

Symmetry properties of susceptibility tensors

Spatial symmetries: e.g. chloroform (CHCl_3)



Character table for point group C_{3v}

C_{3v}	E	$2C_3$ (z)	$3\sigma_v$	linear functions, rotations	quadratic functions	cubic functions
A_1	+1	+1	+1	z	x^2+y^2, z^2	$z^3, x(x^2-3y^2), z(x^2+y^2)$
A_2	+1	+1	-1	R_z	-	$y(3x^2-y^2)$
E	+2	-1	0	(x, y) ($R_x,$ R_y)	(x^2-y^2, xy) (xz, yz)	(xz^2, yz^2) [xyz, $z(x^2-y^2)$] [$x(x^2+y^2),$ $y(x^2+y^2)$]

$$\alpha_{xy} = \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial x \partial y} \sim xy \quad \uparrow \quad \mu_i \quad \uparrow \quad \alpha_{ij}, R_{si,jk} \quad \uparrow \quad \beta_{ijk}$$

Zincblende structure (e.g. GaAs) has only $\chi_{xyz}^{(2)} \neq 0$

Conventions and units

Many conventions for pre-factors! Multiple papers on just conventions...

TABLE I. Expressions for the total dipole moment measured in a dc-electric field induced second harmonic generation experiment

Label	Convention	$[\mu_{\text{ind}}(2\omega)]/(F_0 F_\omega^2 \cos 2\omega t)$	Zero freq. convergence*	
			$\rightarrow \beta_0$	$\rightarrow \gamma_0$
$AB \equiv T$	Taylor series	$\frac{1}{4} \left[\tilde{\gamma}^T(-2\omega; \omega, \omega, 0) + \frac{\mu\beta_z^T(-2\omega; \omega, \omega)}{5kT} \right]$	+	+
B	Perturbation series	$\frac{3}{2} \left[\tilde{\gamma}^B(-2\omega; \omega, \omega, 0) + \frac{\mu\beta_z^B(-2\omega; \omega, \omega)}{15kT} \right]$	+	+
B^*	EFISH only	$\frac{3}{2} \left[\tilde{\gamma}^{B^*}(-2\omega; \omega, \omega, 0) + \frac{\mu\beta_z^{B^*}(-2\omega; \omega, \omega)}{5kT} \right]$	-	+
A	Not used	$\frac{1}{6} \left[\tilde{\gamma}^A(-2\omega; \omega, \omega, 0) + \frac{3\mu\beta_z^A(-2\omega; \omega, \omega)}{5kT} \right]$	-	-
X	Phenomenological	$\left[\tilde{\gamma}^X(-2\omega; \omega, \omega, 0) + \frac{\mu\beta_z^X(-2\omega; \omega, \omega)}{5kT} \right]$	-	-

*Columns three and four indicate whether the convention does (+) or does not (-) converge to β_0 or γ_0 as $\omega \rightarrow 0$.

Commonly used units: au, esu

1 au of β

= $3.206361 \times 10^{-53} \text{ C}^3 \text{ m}^3/\text{J}^2$ (SI)

= $8.6392 \times 10^{-33} \text{ cm}^4 \text{ statvolt}^{-1}$ (esu of β)

A. Willetts, J. E. Rice, D. M. Burland, and D. P. Shelton, *J. Chem. Phys.* **97**, 7590 (1992)

Nonlinear optics in solids

Susceptibility tensors for solids $\chi_{ij}^{(1)} = \alpha_{ij}/V$ $\chi_{ij}^{(2)} = \beta_{ij}/V$


Now can have q -dependence as well. $\chi_{ijk}^{(2)}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2, \vec{q}_3, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$

Phase-matching condition for constructive interference:

$$\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3 = 0 \quad (\text{NOT momentum conservation; it's the wavevector in the material})$$
$$= \frac{n_i(\omega_1)\omega_1}{c} + \frac{n_j(\omega_2)\omega_2}{c} + \frac{n_k(\omega_3)\omega_3}{c}$$

Adjust angle of incidence to satisfy and get significant conversion.

Molecules vs solids: orders of magnitude.



$\beta \sim 10000$ au

KTiOPO_4 $\chi^{(2)} \sim 10^{-8}$ esu

CHCl_3 $\beta \sim 1$ au, $\chi^{(2)} \sim 10^{-8}$ esu

Other perturbations

Other perturbations: ionic displacement and strain (Raman tensors, Grüneisen parameters, phonon anharmonicity, pyroelectric tensor, piezoelectric tensor, second-order elastic coefficients, ...)

S. Baroni, S. de Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso, and P. Gianozzi, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 515 (2001)

Representation of electric field in finite and periodic systems:

$$r \rightarrow -i \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \quad V_{\mathcal{E}} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \quad \text{Quantum theory of polarization}$$

A Dal Corso, F Mauri, and A Rubio, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 15638 (1996)

Related to theory of Wannier functions

Solution measurements of hyperpolarizability

Measurements are usually in solution for molecules. Solvent effects can be strong and complicate comparison between experiment and theory.

(In theory, handle via polarizable continuum models or explicit solvent in small clusters or periodic system.)

(Time-averaged) inversion symmetry of solution makes ordinary measurement give zero.


Electric-field-induced second-harmonic generation (EFISH) is coherent third-order process, based on field lining up molecules.

$$\chi^{(3)}(-2\omega; \omega, \omega, 0) = n \left[\gamma(-2\omega; \omega, \omega, 0) + \frac{\mu}{3kT} \beta_{||}(-2\omega; \omega, \omega) \right]$$

What is measured directly and often reported: $\mu\beta_{||}$

Hyper-Rayleigh scattering (HRS) is incoherent second-order process, based on orientational fluctuations.

$$I_{\text{HRS}} \propto \langle \beta_{xyz} \beta_{uvw} \rangle$$



Solution measurements of hyperpolarizability

Consider projection along dipole moment.

$$\beta_{\text{EFISH}}^i = \frac{1}{5} \sum_j (\beta_{ijj} + \beta_{jij} + \beta_{jji})$$

Vertical-vertical and horizontal-vertical polarizations for experiment.

$$[\beta_{\text{HRS}}^{\text{VV}}]^2 = \frac{1}{7} \sum_i \beta_{iii}^2 + \frac{6}{35} \sum_{i \neq j} \beta_{iii} \beta_{ijj} + \frac{9}{35} \sum_{i \neq j} \beta_{ijj}^2 + \frac{6}{35} \sum_{\text{cyclic}} \beta_{iij} \beta_{jkk} + \frac{12}{35} \beta_{ijk}^2$$

$$[\beta_{\text{HRS}}^{\text{HV}}]^2 = \frac{1}{35} \sum_i \beta_{iii}^2 - \frac{2}{105} \sum_{i \neq j} \beta_{iii} \beta_{ijj} + \frac{11}{105} \sum_{i \neq j} \beta_{ijj}^2 - \frac{2}{105} \sum_{\text{cyclic}} \beta_{iij} \beta_{jkk} + \frac{8}{35} \beta_{ijk}^2$$

Vibrational / rotational contributions

Many measurements are at $\lambda = 1064$ nm. For organic molecules, typically:


- above vibrational frequencies, so can neglect vibrations
- below electronic resonances so little dispersion

Rotational and vibrational contributions can be estimated from simple harmonic models, for low-frequency response (e.g. THz).

Z^* = Born effective charge

$$F = \mathcal{E}Z^* - kx = 0$$

$$\beta^{\text{vib}} = \frac{Z}{k} \left(2 \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \mathcal{E}} - \frac{Z}{k} \right)$$



$$\beta^{\text{rot}} = \frac{3\mu}{kT} \alpha$$

D. Bishop, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62**, 343 (1990)

E. Roman, J. R. Yates, M. Veithen, D. Vanderbilt, and I. Souza, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 245204 (2006)



Local-field factors for solvent

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{screened}} = \mathcal{E}_{\text{external}} / \epsilon$$

Clausius-Mossotti relations,
relate bulk to molecular susceptibilities.

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{local}} = f \mathcal{E}_{\text{screened}}$$

$$\chi^{(2)} = \rho f_{\omega}^2 f_{2\omega} \beta$$







Also generalizations for ellipsoidal cavity, polar solvents.

Theoretical methods for nonlinear response

1. Finite differences (static)
2. Explicit time-propagation
3. Sternheimer equation ($2n+1$ Theorem)
4. Sum over states (Casida equation)
5. Dyson equation

Finite differences

Apply static fields and calculate dipole moment.
No need for special capabilities in code.
Probably most common method used.
Hope static and IR are similar!



F. Vila, D.A. Strubbe, Y. Takimoto, X. Andrade, A. Rubio, S. G. Louie, and J. J. Rehr, *J. Chem. Phys.* **133**, 034111 (2010)

Convergence is more demanding for nonlinear response


Basis Set	μ_z	α_{yy}	α_{zz}	β_{yyy}	β_{yyz}	β_{zzz}	$\bar{\alpha}$	β_{\parallel}	$\beta_{\text{HRS}}^{\text{VV}}$
GTO 5Z _{sa} (aug-cc-pV5Z)	0.404	65.70	46.79	27.35	-15.31	22.27	59.40	-5.01	16.90
NBS 5Z4P _{e8} (SIESTA)	0.398	65.45	46.28	24.54	-14.90	21.37	59.06	-5.07	15.68
RS lr (h=0.25, r=22)	0.399	66.02	47.00	27.12	-16.36	26.94	59.68	-3.47	17.44
RS fd	"	66.46	47.07	24.22	-15.66	25.50	60.00	-3.52	16.14
RS 1064 nm	"	66.69	47.34	30.35	-18.95	31.56	60.24	-4.01	19.91
Expt.	0.409 ± 0.008	61 ± 5	45 ± 3				56 ± 4	1 ± 4	

F. Vila, D.A. Strubbe, Y. Takimoto, X. Andrade, A. Rubio, S. G. Louie, and J. J. Rehr, *J. Chem. Phys.* **133**, 034111 (2010)

Comparison of Gaussian-type orbitals, SIESTA numerical basis sets, real-space grid: need five-zeta basis sets with diffuse functions, or very long-range real-space grid.




Response extends far from molecule ($> 15 a_0$)

Compare: only $12 a_0$ converges ground state
all atoms contained within $3 a_0$ radius



Explicit time-propagation

Cannot use “kick” for all frequencies at once (as for linear optics).
Must calculate separately for each frequency combination.
Scaling is like one ground-state calculation per time step.
Incident laser pulses (duration \sim imaginary broadening).





p-nitroaniline

$$P_{ijk}^{(2)}(\omega) = \frac{D^{(2)}}{2\pi} \chi_{ijk}^{(2)}(-\omega; \omega_1, \omega_2) F_{\omega_1} F_{\omega_2}$$

Takimoto, Vila, and Rehr, *J. Chem. Phys.* 127, 154114 (2007)

Explicit time-propagation



Sternheimer equation

aka density-functional perturbation theory (DFPT)

Calculate variation of wavefunctions in linear response.

No need for unoccupied states.

SCF cycle for one frequency at a time

$$\left(H^{(0)} - \epsilon^{(0)} \pm \omega_{\alpha} \right) P'_n \psi_{\alpha\pm}^{(1)} = -P'_n H_{\alpha\pm}^{(1)} \psi^{(0)}$$

$$H_{\alpha\pm}^{(1)} = V_{\alpha\pm}^{(1)} + V_H \left[n_{\alpha\pm}^{(1)} \right] + \int f_{xc} [n] n_{\alpha\pm}^{(1)}(r) d^3r$$

$$n_{\pm}^{(1)} = \sum_n^{\text{occ}} \left(\psi_{n\pm}^{(1)} [\psi_n^{(0)}]^* + \psi_n^{(0)} [\psi_{n\mp}^{(1)}]^* \right)$$

$$P'_n = 1 - \left| \psi_n^{(0)} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_n^{(0)} \right|$$

Need small imaginary broadening $i\eta$ near resonances for numerical stability.

Sternheimer equation: $2n+1$ Theorem

Solving n^{th} -order perturbation theory gives $2n+1$ derivative of total energy.

$$\chi^{(2n)} = \frac{\partial^{(2n+1)} E}{\partial \lambda^{(2n+1)}} \quad F = \frac{\partial E}{\partial R} = \left\langle \psi \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial R} \right| \psi \right\rangle$$

$n = 0$: Hellman-Feynman Theorem. No wavefunction derivatives at all.

$n = 1$: Linear variation of wavefunctions gives quadratic response.


$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{ijk}(-\omega_1; \omega_2, \omega_3) = & -4 \sum_P \sum_{\zeta=\pm 1} \left[\sum_m^{\text{occ}} \left\langle \psi_{mi}^{(1)}(-\zeta\omega_1) \left| H_j^{(1)}(\zeta\omega_2) \right| \psi_{mk}^{(1)}(\zeta\omega_3) \right\rangle \right. \\ & - \sum_{mn}^{\text{occ}} \left\langle \psi_m^{(0)} \left| H_j^{(1)}(\zeta\omega_2) \right| \psi_m^{(0)} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_{mi}^{(1)}(-\zeta\omega_1) \left| \psi_{mk}^{(1)}(\zeta\omega_3) \right\rangle \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{2}{3} \int d^3r \int d^3r' \int d^3r'' K_{xc}(r, r', r'') n_i^{(1)}(r, \omega_1) n_j^{(1)}(r', \omega_2) n_k^{(1)}(r'', \omega_3) \right] \end{aligned}$$

X. Gonze and J.-P. Vigneron, *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 13120 (1989)

Quantum ESPRESSO and Octopus use equations on previous slide.



ABINIT formulation: find β by minimizing with respect to $\psi^{(1)}$ (variational).

Sternheimer equation: examples from Octopus code



CO linear spectrum

H₂O optical rectification





CO SHG

X Andrade, S Botti, MAL Marques, and A Rubio, *J. Chem. Phys.* **126**, 184106 (2007)

Sternheimer equation: examples from Octopus code

Efficient scaling with system size: same as ground state.

e.g. comparison of TDLDA vs. CIS with Gaussian basis for vibrating N@C₆₀



Sum over states

Applicable to any method giving excited-state energies and matrix elements, in particular Casida equation for TDDFT.

(Also other theories such as configuration interaction, coupled cluster, Bethe-Salpeter equation, etc.)

Most commonly used as RPA though: just use Kohn-Sham energies and matrix elements.

Sum over states

Arbitrary (or physical but unknown) imaginary broadenings Γ .

Convergence in two sums on states is difficult!

Applied occasionally to two-photon absorption in TDDFT.

Y-R Shen, *The Principles of Nonlinear Optics*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{ij}^{(1)}(\omega) &= \frac{P_i^{(1)}(\omega)}{E_j(\omega)} \\ &= N \frac{e^2}{\hbar} \sum_{gn} \left[\frac{(r_i)_{ng}(r_j)_{gn}}{\omega + \omega_{ng} + i\Gamma_{ng}} - \frac{(r_j)_{ng}(r_i)_{gn}}{\omega - \omega_{ng} + i\Gamma_{ng}} \right] \rho_g^{(0)},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{ijk}^{(2)}(\omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2) &= \frac{P_i^{(2)}(\omega)}{E_j(\omega_1)E_k(\omega_2)} \\ &= -N \frac{e^3}{\hbar^2} \sum_{g,n,n'} \left[\frac{(r_i)_{gn}(r_j)_{nn'}(r_k)_{n'g}}{(\omega - \omega_{ng} + i\Gamma_{ng})(\omega_2 - \omega_{n'g} + i\Gamma_{n'g})} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{(r_i)_{gn}(r_k)_{nn'}(r_j)_{n'g}}{(\omega - \omega_{ng} + i\Gamma_{ng})(\omega_1 - \omega_{n'g} + i\Gamma_{n'g})} \\ &\quad + \frac{(r_k)_{gn'}(r_j)_{n'n}(r_i)_{ng}}{(\omega + \omega_{ng} + i\Gamma_{ng})(\omega_2 + \omega_{n'g} + i\Gamma_{n'g})} \\ &\quad + \frac{(r_j)_{gn'}(r_k)_{n'n}(r_i)_{ng}}{(\omega + \omega_{ng} + i\Gamma_{ng})(\omega_1 + \omega_{n'g} + i\Gamma_{n'g})} \\ &\quad - \frac{(r_j)_{ng}(r_i)_{n'n}(r_k)_{gn'}}{(\omega - \omega_{nn'} + i\Gamma_{nn'})} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_2 + \omega_{n'g} + i\Gamma_{n'g}} + \frac{1}{\omega_1 - \omega_{ng} + i\Gamma_{ng}} \right) \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(r_k)_{ng}(r_i)_{n'n}(r_j)_{gn'}}{(\omega - \omega_{nn'} + i\Gamma_{nn'})} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_2 - \omega_{ng} + i\Gamma_{ng}} + \frac{1}{\omega_1 + \omega_{n'g} + i\Gamma_{n'g}} \right) \right] \rho_g^{(0)}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

Dyson-like equation

$$\chi = \chi_{\text{KS}} + \chi_{\text{KS}} f_{\text{Hxc}} \chi$$

Efficient scheme for solids (for linear and non-linear optics): k -points.
Need $q \rightarrow 0$ limit, equivalent to $k \cdot p$ perturbation theory.


$$\begin{aligned} & [1 - \chi_0^{(1)}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) f_{\text{uxc}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2)] \chi_{\rho\rho\rho}^{(2)}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\ &= \chi_0^{(2)}(\omega_1, \omega_2) [1 + f_{\text{uxc}}(\omega_1) \chi^{(1)}(\omega_1)] \\ & \quad \times [1 + f_{\text{uxc}}(\omega_2) \chi^{(1)}(\omega_2)] + \chi_0^{(1)}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) g_{\text{xc}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \\ & \quad \times \chi^{(1)}(\omega_1) \chi^{(1)}(\omega_2), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

GaAs SHG

Complicated macroscopic/microscopic relations...

G. Senatore and K. R. Subbaswamy, *Phys. Rev. A* **35**,
2440 (1987)

E. Luppi, H. Hübener, and V. Véniard, *J. Chem. Phys.*
132, 241104 (2010)



Dyson-like equation

Must converge with respect to unoccupied states in a triple sum.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi_{0,G,G_1,G_2}^{(2)}(2\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q},\omega) = & \frac{2}{V} \sum_{n,n',n'',\mathbf{k}} \frac{\langle \phi_{n,\mathbf{k}} | e^{-i(2\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G})\mathbf{r}} | \phi_{n',\mathbf{k}+2\mathbf{q}} \rangle}{(E_{n,\mathbf{k}} - E_{n',\mathbf{k}+2\mathbf{q}} + 2\omega + 2i\eta)} \left[(f_{n,\mathbf{k}} - f_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q}) \frac{\langle \phi_{n',\mathbf{k}+2\mathbf{q}} | e^{i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}_1)\mathbf{r}_1} | \phi_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q} \rangle \langle \phi_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q} | e^{i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}_2)\mathbf{r}_2} | \phi_{n,\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{(E_{n,\mathbf{k}} - E_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q} + \omega + i\eta)} \right. \\
 & + (f_{n,\mathbf{k}} - f_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q}) \frac{\langle \phi_{n',\mathbf{k}+2\mathbf{q}} | e^{i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}_2)\mathbf{r}_2} | \phi_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q} \rangle \langle \phi_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q} | e^{i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}_1)\mathbf{r}_1} | \phi_{n,\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{(E_{n,\mathbf{k}} - E_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q} + \omega + i\eta)} \\
 & + (f_{n',\mathbf{k}+2\mathbf{q}} - f_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q}) \frac{\langle \phi_{n',\mathbf{k}+2\mathbf{q}} | e^{i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}_2)\mathbf{r}_2} | \phi_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q} \rangle \langle \phi_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q} | e^{i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}_1)\mathbf{r}_1} | \phi_{n,\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{(E_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q} - E_{n',\mathbf{k}+2\mathbf{q}} + \omega + i\eta)} \\
 & \left. + (f_{n',\mathbf{k}+2\mathbf{q}} - f_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q}) \frac{\langle \phi_{n',\mathbf{k}+2\mathbf{q}} | e^{i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}_1)\mathbf{r}_1} | \phi_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q} \rangle \langle \phi_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q} | e^{i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}_2)\mathbf{r}_2} | \phi_{n,\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{(E_{n'',\mathbf{k}+q} - E_{n',\mathbf{k}+2\mathbf{q}} + \omega + i\eta)} \right], \quad (38)
 \end{aligned}$$

Magnetic perturbations

Term in Hamiltonian: $\vec{\mu} \times \vec{B}$

Perturbation:


$$\vec{\mu} = \vec{r} \times i\hbar\nabla$$

Quantity of interest
(circular dichroism):

$$\alpha_{\nu\mu,\gamma} = \frac{\partial\alpha_{\nu\mu}}{\partial B_{\gamma}}$$

Gauge issue with A and non-local pseudopotentials.

Can use Sternheimer or real-time



Daniele Varsano, Leonardo A. Espinosa-Leal, Xavier Andrade, Miguel A. L. Marques, Rosa di Felice and Angel Rubio, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 11, 4481 (2009)

Magnetic perturbations in solids

$$\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$$

Vector potential can be expressed in different gauges.



$$\vec{\mathcal{E}} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

Separate wavefunction into gauge-dependent and gauge-independent parts.
 E and B fields as in quantum theory of polarization.

Sternheimer equation for response.


Carefully combine parts into gauge-independent quantity.

IV Lebedeva, DA Strubbe, IV Tokatly, and A Rubio, arXiv:1806.09886 (2018)




Raman spectroscopy

Light creates (or destroys) vibrations through excited states



Raman tensor from polarizability derivative with respect to atomic positions

$$R_i = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial r_i}$$



static linear-response calculation of Raman tensors

M. Lazzeri and F. Mauri, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 036401 (2003)

$$I \propto \left| \sum_{\alpha i} u_{\alpha i} R_{\alpha i, jk} \right|^2 \quad R_{\alpha i, jk} = 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \frac{\partial v^{\text{ext}}}{\partial u_{i\alpha}} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \mathcal{E}_j \partial \mathcal{E}_k} \right\}$$

resonant Raman: need optical method, e.g. TDDFT or Bethe-Salpeter eqn.
non-resonant: can make static approximation

Some references on linear and nonlinear response

DA Strubbe, L Lehtovaara, A Rubio, MAL Marques, and SG Louie, “Response functions in TDDFT: concepts and implementation,” in *Fundamentals of Time-dependent density-functional theory* (ch. 7) and references therein.

Yuen-Ron Shen, *The Principles of Nonlinear Optics* (Wiley 1984 / 2002).

P. N. Butcher and D. Cotter, *The Elements of Nonlinear Optics* (Cambridge University Press, 1990).

