Numerical approaches to the self-force problem

Marius Oltean

ICE, CSIC and IEEC, Autonomous University of Barcelona LPC2E, CNRS, University of Orléans

based on work with:

C.F. Sopuerta (Barcelona), A.D.A.M. Spallicci (Orléans), R.J. Epp (Waterloo), R.B. Mann (Waterloo)



Benasque, Spain — 8 June 2018

Idea of this talk

- What I will try not to do: get into the dirty details (or propagandize) ... too much.
- What I will try to do: describe the "basics" of the GSF and outline some approaches.
- What I will unavoidably do: be biased in the treatment.
 - ... mostly, I will follow the Gralla-Wald approach [Gralla & Wald, CQG 25, 205009 (2008)].
- **References**—some review papers:
 - Short and sweet: Introduction to Gravitational Self-Force [Wald, arXiv:0907.0412]
 - Long and "basic": Self-force and radiation reaction in general relativity [Barack+, arXiv:1805.10385]
 - Longer and classic: The Motion of Point Particles in Curved Spacetime [Poisson+, LRR 14, 7 (2011)]

Introduction and motivation: extreme-mass-ratio inspirals (EMRIs)

• The detection of GWs from EMRIs will require the computation of the gravitational self-force (GSF).







Basic setup: perturbation theory

[Bruni+, CQG 14, 2585 (1997)]

- "background" spacetime "real" spacetime (Schwarzschild, Kerr, ...) p $\begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{M}(0) = \mathscr{M}, \\ q_{ab}(0) = g_{ab} \end{pmatrix}$ $(\mathscr{M}(\lambda), g_{ab}(\lambda)) \leftarrow$
- What do we mean when we say " $g_{ab} + h_{ab}$ "?
 - There is a "real" spacetime somewhere and we think g_{ab} is "close" (in some suitable sense).
- Define a one-parameter family of metrics $\{g_{ab}(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \ge 0}$ and **choose** a map (the "**perturbative gauge**"):

$$\varphi:\mathscr{M}\to\mathscr{M}(\lambda)$$

• Then, the "perturbed" metric is

 $\varphi_* g_{ab}(\lambda) = g_{ab} + \lambda h_{ab} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$

• Under a choice of a different "gauge" $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ everything just gets mapped by $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \varphi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ (e.g., coordinates transform as $x^a \mapsto x^a - \lambda \xi^a + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$).

Gralla-Wald derivation of the GSF: assumptions

[Gralla & Wald, CQG **25**, 205009 (2008)] $r \leq c\lambda$

Assumptions: ∃ {g_{ab}(λ)}_{λ≥0} vacuum such that:
(i) ∃ "ordinary limit" (the object shrinks down to a worldline γ with its mass going to zero at least as fast as its radius).
(ii) ∃ "scaled limit" (it shrinks to zero size asymptotically "self-similarly").
(iii) "Uniformity condition" (∄ "bumps of curvature" in the family).



Gralla-Wald derivation of the GSF: consequences

[Gralla & Wald, CQG **25**, 205009 (2008)]



Consequences of the assumptions:

 ZEROTH ORDER: γ is a geodesic in the background and the "scaled" ("body zone") metric is stationary and asymptotically flat.

• FIRST ORDER: the stress-energy tensor is that of a "point particle",



Summary of the problem and alternative formulations

- **Basic picture**: we have to solve
- Alternative formulations of the problem:

$$\begin{cases} \delta G_{ab}[h_{cd}] & \sim m\delta \\ \mathcal{F}^a = \mathcal{D}_{\tau}^2 Z^a = L^{abc} h_{bc}^{\text{tail}} \end{cases}$$



- Regularization method (Singular-Regular splitting) [Detweiler & Whiting, PRD 67, 024025 (2003)]: $\mathcal{F}^a = L^{abc} h_{bc}^{\mathrm{R}}$ where $h_{ab}^{\mathrm{R}} = h_{ab} - h_{ab}^{\mathrm{S}}$ (one subtracts a "Coulombian" field $h_{ab}^{\mathrm{S}} \sim m/r$). \implies (probably) the most widely used for numerics.
- Puncture method [Barack+, PRD 76, 044020 (2007)]: one "regularizes" the field equation itself, $\delta G_{ab}[h_{cd}^{\mathcal{R}}] \sim S_{eff}$ (non-distributional), and $\mathcal{F}^a = L^{abc}h_{bc}^{\mathcal{R}} \implies$ Also quite used in numerics.
- Angle average method [Gralla, PRD 84, 084050 (2011)]:

Not appreciably explored so far... but we're working on it!

$$\mathcal{F}^{a} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \lim_{r \to 0} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{2}_{(r)}} \mathrm{d}\Omega \, L^{abc} h_{bc}$$

[M.O., R. Epp, C. Sopuerta, A. Spallicci, R. Mann, GSF from quasilocal conservation laws, coming soon...]

• Effective field theory method [Galley+, PRD **79**, 064002 (2009)]. \implies Not very much explored either.

Numerical approaches

- Numerically, we typically have to solve linear (P)DEs L[u] = S distributional ($\sim \delta + \delta' + \cdots$)
- What can we do to solve such equations? Here is a sample of **some** ideas:
 - Delta function approximation: e.g. as a narrow Gaussian [Lopez-Aleman+, CQG 20, 3259 (2003)].
 Not really typical.
 - Time-domain: e.g. finite-difference, integrate S over its grid cells [Lousto+, PRD 56, 6439 (1997)].
 Useful for waveform extraction, but can be computationally costly.
 - Frequency-domain : e.g. "extended homogeneous solutions" [Barack+, PRD 78, 084021 (2008)].
 Less useful for waveform extraction, but computationally faster.
 - "Particle-without-Particle" method [M.O., C. Sopuerta, A. Spallicci, arXiv:1802.03405].
 Pseudospectral collocation method, time or frequency domain.

Conclusions: status, problems and prospects

• State of the art: GSF on generic bound geodesics in Kerr, frequency-domain [van de Meent, PRD 97, 104033 (2018)].

• Open problems:

- Gauge issue: there exists **no** formulation of the GSF that works (a priori) in an arbitrary gauge. (Perhaps a good case for more foundational work!)
- Second-order problem: nonlinearly, one can no longer use (an analysis that yields) distributional sources! (Though it depends who you ask if we even need it...)



• Improve the number-crunching: the road from here to waveforms will not be quick. (Advertisement to NR people: the codes are (probably) easier than what you are used to.)

Thanks for your attention!

- Introduction to Gravitational Self-Force [Wald, arXiv:0907.0412]
- Self-force and radiation reaction in general relativity [Barack+, arXiv:1805.10385]
- The Motion of Point Particles in Curved Spacetime [Poisson+, LRR 14, 7 (2011)]