Cooling a quantum gas by losses

Maximilian Schemmer⁽¹⁾, Aisling Johnson⁽¹⁾, Carsten Henkel⁽²⁾, Stuart Szigeti⁽³⁾ and Isabelle Bouchoule⁽¹⁾

- (1) Institut d'Optique, Palaiseau
 - ⁽²⁾ University of Potsdam
 - ⁽³⁾ University of Brisbane

Small and Medium Sized Cold Atom Systems, Benasque, 2018, Jul 29 - Aug 04



Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling Non thermal states produced

Different j-body loss processes

Density decrease :

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -\kappa_j n^j$$

- 1-body process. background gas, spin-flip
- **2-body process.** e.g. dipolar collisions for atoms in the low-field seeking state
- **3-body process.** Formation of a deeply bound dimer in 3-body collision
- Higher order ?

Usually consider as detrimental

Grisin et al., Rauer et al. 2016 : cooling via 1-body losses in 1D homogeneous Bose gases in the quasi-condensate regime Effect of j-body losses on BEC or quasi-BEC ? Role of confining potential ? State produced by losses ? I.B. et al. arXiv :1806.08759 (2018), M. Schemmer et al. arXiv :1806.09940 (2018), A. Jonhson et al. Phys. Rev. A 96, 013623 (2017), M. Schemmer et al. Phys. Rev. A 95, 043641 (2017)

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Outline

Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling
- 3 Non thermal states produced by losses
- Cooling to ground state using quantum feedback

5 Conclusion

Outline

Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes

- 2 Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling
- 3 Non thermal states produced by losses
- Cooling to ground state using quantum feedback

5 Conclusion

Effect of losses : qualitative picture

• Quasi-BEC or BEC : collective modes. Long wave length : phonons govern by repulsive interactions

• Losses \Rightarrow decrease of density fluctuations



 \Rightarrow decrease of energy in each collective mode

 \Rightarrow cooling

Stocastic nature of losses : increase of density fluctuations
 ⇒ heating

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Stationnary value of $y = k_B T / (mc^2)$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling Non thermal states produced

Discretisation of the problem



• Effect of losses in each cell BEC or quasi-condensate gases : small density fluctuations Small volume Δ .

$$N = N_0 + \delta N$$

$$N_0 = \int \delta N \ll N_0$$

Conjugate operator : phase operator $[\theta, \delta N] = i$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Effect of losses on δN and θ ?

Effect on atom-number distribution

Stocastic process : Number of lost events during $dt : dN_e = \langle dN_e \rangle + d\xi_e, \langle d\xi_e^2 \rangle = \langle dN_e \rangle$ Modification of atom number :

$$dN = -\frac{\kappa_j}{\Delta^{j-1}} N^j dt + d\xi, \quad \left| \langle d\xi^2 \rangle = j \frac{\kappa_j}{\Delta^{j-1}} N^j dt \right|$$

Effect on $\delta N : N = N_0 + \delta N$, $dN_0 = -\kappa_j (N_0^j / \Delta^{j-1}) dt$

$$d\delta N = -j\kappa_j n_0^{j-1} dt \delta N + d\xi$$

Dissipative term reduction of density fluctuations reduction of interaction energy Stocastic term Increase of density fluctuations Increase of interaction energy

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Effect on phase distribution

Phase diffusion

If number of lost atoms (N_l) recorded :

- \Rightarrow increase of knowledge on δN
- $\Rightarrow \langle \delta N^2 \rangle$ decreases $\Rightarrow \langle \theta^2 \rangle$ increases

Bayes formula $P(\delta N|N_l) \propto P(N_l|\delta N)$

$$P(N_l|\delta N) \propto e^{-(N_l-\kappa_j N^j dt/\Delta^{j-1})^2/(2\sigma_l^2)}$$

To lowest order in $\delta N : N^j = N_0^j + j N_0^{j-1} \delta N$

$$\Rightarrow P(N_l|\delta N) \propto e^{-(\delta N - \overline{\delta N})^2/(2\sigma_{\delta N}^2)}$$

$$d\langle heta^2
angle = rac{1}{4\sigma_{\delta N}^2} = rac{j\kappa_j n_0^{j-1}}{4n_0\Delta} dt$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへで

Continuous limit and reduced dimensions

Continuous limit

$$\begin{cases} d\delta n = -j\kappa_j n_0^{j-1} \delta n \, dt + d\eta \\ \langle d\eta(\mathbf{r}) d\eta(\mathbf{r}') \rangle = j\kappa_j n_0^j \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') dt \\ \langle d\theta(\mathbf{r}) d\theta(\mathbf{r}') \rangle = \frac{j}{4} \kappa_j n_0^{j-2} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') dt \end{cases}$$

Classical fiel limit :
$$n_0 \to \infty$$
 at fixed $\delta n/n_0$
 \Rightarrow Noise terms negligible

Reduced dimension : effective κ

Loss rate $\ll \omega_{\perp}$: atoms stay in transverse ground state Confinement on a transverse width \gg volume for *j*-body process $\kappa_j = \kappa_j^{3D} \int d^2 x_{\perp} |\psi(x_{\perp})|^{2j}$ (2D) $\kappa_j = \kappa_j^{3D} \int dx_{\perp} |\psi(x_{\perp})|^{2j}$ (1D)

Case Homogeneous gas : intrinsic dynamic

Bogoliubov Hamiltonian

Linearisation in $\delta n(\mathbf{r})$ and $\theta(\mathbf{r})$ Collective modes : Fourier modes. $H = \sum_k H_k$

$$H_k = A_k \delta n_k^2 + B_k \theta_k^2, \qquad B_k = \hbar^2 k^2 n_0 / (2m)$$

Long wave-length modes (phonons) : $A_k = g/2$

$$n_{q}(t_{2}) \xrightarrow{} n_{q}(t_{2}) \xrightarrow{} n_{q$$

Homogeneous gas : Evolution of energy in phonon modes

$$H_k = \frac{g}{2} \delta n_k^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2 n_0}{2m} \theta_k^2$$

- Small loss rate \Rightarrow Equipartition : $\frac{g}{2}\langle \delta n_k^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2 n_0}{2m} \langle \theta_k^2 \rangle = \langle H_k \rangle / 2$
- Effect of modification of δn : $d\langle \delta n_k^2 \rangle = -2j\kappa_j n_0^{j-1} \langle \delta n_k^2 \rangle dt + j\kappa_j n_0^j dt$
- Effect of modification of θ : $d\langle \theta_k^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} j \kappa_j n_0^{j-2} dt$

Change of mode energy and of $y = \langle H_k \rangle / (gn_0) \simeq T_k / (gn_0)$

$$d\langle H_k \rangle/dt = \kappa_j n_0^{j-1} \left(-\langle H_k \rangle (j+\frac{1}{2}) + j\frac{g}{2}n_0 + j\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{8m} \right)$$
$$dy/dt \simeq \kappa_j n_0^{j-1} \left(-y(j-\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{j}{2} \right)$$

Stationnary value : $y_{\infty} = 1/(2 - 1/j)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Homogeneous gas : Evolution of energy in phonon modes

$$H_k = \frac{g}{2} \delta n_k^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2 n_0}{2m} \theta_k^2$$

- Small loss rate \Rightarrow Equipartition : $\frac{g}{2}\langle \delta n_k^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2 n_0}{2m} \langle \theta_k^2 \rangle = \langle H_k \rangle / 2$
- Effect of modification of δn : $d\langle \delta n_k^2 \rangle = -2j\kappa_j n_0^{j-1} \langle \delta n_k^2 \rangle dt + j\kappa_j n_0^j dt$
- Effect of modification of θ :

negligible for phonons

Change of mode energy and of $y = \langle H_k \rangle / (gn_0) \simeq T_k / (gn_0)$

$$d\langle H_k \rangle/dt = \kappa_j n_0^{j-1} \left(-\langle H_k \rangle (j+\frac{1}{2}) + j\frac{g}{2}n_0 + j\frac{\kappa^2 k^2}{8m} \right)$$
$$dy/dt \simeq \kappa_j n_0^{j-1} \left(-y(j-\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{j}{2} \right)$$

Stationnary value : $y_{\infty} = 1/(2 - 1/j)$

General case : gas intrinsic dynamcis

Evolution of mean profile under losses

 $n_0(\mathbf{r}, t)$ evolves in time and mean velocity field $\nabla \theta_0$

- Small loss rate : adiabatic following and $\nabla \theta_0$ negligible
- Local Density Approximation

$$\mu(n_0(\mathbf{r},t)) = \mu_p(t) - V(\mathbf{r})$$

Evolution of fluctuations

Bogoliubov : Linearisation in $\delta n(\mathbf{r})$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{r}) = \theta - \theta_0$ **Hydrodynamic modes :** long wavelengths

$$H_{\rm hdyn} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d^d \mathbf{r} \, n_0 (\nabla \varphi)^2 + \frac{m}{2} \int d^d \mathbf{r} \frac{c^2}{n_0} \delta n^2$$

$$mc^2(\mathbf{r}) = n_0 \partial_n \mu |_{\mathbf{r}}$$

Collective hydrodynamic modes

Diagonalisation of H_{hdyn}

At any time $H_{\rm hdyn} = \sum_{\nu} H_{\nu}$,

$$H_{\nu} = \frac{\hbar\omega_{\nu}}{2}(x_{\nu}^2 + p_{\nu}^2)$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \frac{m}{\hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \int d^d \mathbf{r} \frac{c^2 \delta n}{n_0} g_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathbf{r}) \\ p_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \int d^d \mathbf{r} \varphi(\mathbf{r}) g_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathbf{r}) \end{cases}$$

Time-depend mode function $g_{\nu} : \nabla \cdot \left(n_0 \nabla \left(\frac{c^2}{n_0} g_{\nu} \right) \right) = -\omega_{\nu}^2 g_{\nu}$

Effect of losses

Evolution of $\langle H_{\nu} \rangle \simeq T_{\nu}$?

Evolution of T_{ν}

Small loss rate

- Modification of $n_0(\mathbf{r})$ and $g_{\nu}(\mathbf{r})$: keep invariant $A_{\nu} = \langle H_{\nu} \rangle / (\hbar \omega_{\nu})$
- Coupling between modes introduced by losses neglected
- Equipartition at all time

Differential equation for $y_{\nu} = \langle H_{\nu} \rangle / (mc_p^2)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}y_{\nu} = \kappa_j n_p^{j-1} \left[-(j\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{C})y_{\nu} + j\mathcal{B} \right]$$

 $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$: integrals involving $n_0(\mathbf{r})$ and $g_{\nu}(\mathbf{r})$.

- \mathcal{A} : reduction of density fluctuations due to loss process
- C: time evolution of $mc_p^2/(\hbar\omega_\nu)$
- \mathcal{B} : density fluctuations due to stocastic nature of losses

See I. Bouchoule et al., arXiv :1806.08759

Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling Non thermal states produced

Application of formalism : Asymptotic temperature for 1D gas in a harmonic potential

Functions g_{ν} : Legendre polynomials



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 のへで

Outline

Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes

2 Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling

- 3 Non thermal states produced by losses
- Cooling to ground state using quantum feedback

5 Conclusion

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲□ ● ●

Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling Non thermal states produced

Experimental setup : trapping atoms with an atom-chip

- Magnetic confinement of ⁸⁷Rb atoms : $V = \mu_B |\mathbf{B}|$
- Cu micro-wires deposited on an AlN substrate



 Planarisation and insulation with resist, covered with Au miror Interferometric image





< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Fabrication : LPN, CNRS, help of S. Bouchoule

Experimental setup : realising and imaging 1D gases





•
$$N_{\rm at} = 3 - 10 \times 10^3$$

- $\omega_z = 8 15 \text{ Hz}$
- $\omega_{\perp} = 1.5 3 \text{ kHz}$
- $\mu \simeq T = 50 100 \text{ nK}$
- $l_c/\xi \simeq 10$: deep into quasi-BEC

A typical in-situ absoprtion image



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

Regimes of 1D Bose gas with repulsive contact interaction

Contact repulsive interaction : $g\delta(z_i - z_j)$ Thermodynamic : Yang-Yang (60') Dimensionless parameters : $t = \hbar k_B T / (mg^2)$, $\gamma = mg/\hbar^2 n$



◆□▶ ◆帰▶ ◆ヨ▶ ◆ヨ▶ = ● ののの

Decay of atom number under 3-body loss process

- $\omega_{\rm RF}$ of radio-frequency field increased \Rightarrow no 1-body losses
- Losses dominated by 3-body process



Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling Non thermal states produced

Thermometry in qBEC regime via density ripples analysis

 Trapping potential suddenly turned off transverse expansion → instantaneous switching off of interactions
 8 ms time of flight →

phase fluctuation transform into density fluctuations \Rightarrow density ripples



Single shot image



Statistical analysis on $\simeq 50$ images \Rightarrow extract power spectrum $\langle |\rho_q|^2 \rangle$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Power spectrum of density ripples and thermometry

We fit the power spectrum to obtain the temperature.



イロト 不得 とうほう イヨン

3

Sensitive to phononic modes

Evolution of temperature during the loss process



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

Decrease of the temperature up to a factor 4.

 \Rightarrow Losses associated to cooling

Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling Non thermal states produced

Ratio $k_B T/(mc^2)$



Quasi-1D : transverse swelling non negligible. mc² non linear in n
Stationnary ratio attained as soon as the gas is deep into the quasi-bec regime and reach the 1D regime.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Zone explored in the phase diagram

We generalise the 1D parameters to quasi-1D : $\tilde{t} = \hbar^2 k_B T n^2 / (m^3 c^4)$ and $\tilde{\gamma} = m^2 c^2 / (\hbar^2 n^2)$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Outline

1 Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes

- Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling
- 3 Non thermal states produced by losses
- 4 Cooling to ground state using quantum feedback

5 Conclusion

14

ж

Beyond phonons. 1-body losses, homogeneous gases

Bogoliubov Hamiltonian :
$$H_k = A_k \delta n_k^2 + B_k \theta_k^2$$

 $B_k = \hbar^2 k^2 / (2mn_0), A_k = g/2 + \hbar^2 k^2 / (8mn_0)$
Phonons : $k \ll \sqrt{mgn_0}/\hbar, \omega_k \simeq k \sqrt{gn_0/m}$
Particles : $k \gg \sqrt{mgn_0}/\hbar, \omega_k \simeq \hbar k^2 / (2m)$

• Small loss rate : adiabatic invariant
$$\tilde{E}_k = \langle H_k \rangle / (\hbar \omega_k)$$

 $\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{E}_k = \Gamma \left(-\tilde{E}_k + \left(\sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2 k^2 / 2m + 2gn_0}{\hbar^2 k^2 / 2m}} + \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2 k^2 / 2m}{\hbar^2 k^2 / 2m + 2gn_0}} \right) / 4 \right)$

Different modes acquiere different temperature

Phonons :
$$k_B T_{\text{phonon}} \simeq \rho_0(t)g$$

Particles : $k_B T_{\text{part}} \simeq \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \frac{1}{\Gamma t}$
Large $t : T_{\text{part}} \gg T_{\text{phonon}}$
 \Rightarrow Generalised Gibbs ensemble

t = 0

 $t = 2.5/\Gamma$ $t = 5.3/\Gamma$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Robustness versus non-linear couplings for 1D gases

Beyond Bogoliubov : truncated Wigner approximation

Wigner function evolves according to trajectories :

$$i\hbar d\psi = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\psi + g|\psi|^2\psi - i\frac{\Gamma}{2}\psi\right)dt + d\xi$$

$$\langle d\xi^*(z)d\xi(z')\rangle = \Gamma dt\delta(z-z')/2$$



Long-lived non-thermal states and link with integrability



top curves : $k = 6.0 \sqrt{mg\rho_i}/\hbar$ bottom curbes : $k = 6.0 \sqrt{mg\rho_i}/\hbar$ $\tilde{g} = 0.4g (m'/m = 3)$ $\tilde{g} = 0$

Breaking integrability

Two-1D Bose gases :

$$\begin{split} i\hbar\partial\psi/\partial t &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial z} + (\tilde{g}|\varphi|^2 + g|\psi|^2)\psi,\\ i\hbar\partial\varphi/\partial t &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m'}\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial z} + (g|\varphi|^2 + \tilde{g}|\psi|^2)\varphi. \end{split}$$

 $\tilde{g} = 0 \Rightarrow 2$ -independant 1D Bose gases $\tilde{g} \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ non-integrable system

Outline

Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes

- Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling
- 3 Non thermal states produced by losses
- 4 Cooling to ground state using quantum feedback

5 Conclusion

Learning from losses and quantum feedback cooling

• Time and position-resolved detector : information on density fluctuations present in the gas

• Backaction condition on the recorded losses

 \Rightarrow Cooling collective modes of the gas

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで



Learning from losses and quantum feedback cooling

• Time and position-resolved detector : information on density fluctuations present in the gas

• Backaction condition on the recorded losses

 \Rightarrow Cooling collective modes of the gas



Quantum Monte Carlo wave-function analysis

Wave-function evolution during Δt for a single "cell" of the gas

Fock state expansion : $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n} c_{n} |n\rangle$ Monte-Carlo evolution : M recorded lost atoms : $c_{n} \rightarrow f_{M}(n)c_{n}$ \Rightarrow (i) shift of center (depends on *M*) \Rightarrow (ii) narrowing of the distribution



For a given Bogoliubov mode of a homogeneous gas (wave-vector k)

Expansion in n_k basis : $|\psi_k\rangle = \int dn_k c(n_k) |n_k\rangle$ Monte-Carlo evolution : M_k Fourier transform of the *M*'s : $c(n_k) \rightarrow f_{M_k}(n_k)c(n_k)$





Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling Non thermal states produced

Evolution of Wigner function for a single Bogoliubov mode and for a given quantum trajectory

$$H_k = A_k n_k^2 + B_k \theta_k^2$$

Assume $g(t) = g_0 e^{\Gamma t}$
 $\Rightarrow g n_0 = \text{cste}$
Loss rate : $\Gamma = \omega_k / 400$

Evolution of center : trajectory dependent

Width of the distribution : goes to ground state width



Quantum feedback : cooling to groud state

Feedback : periodic potential of amplitude $V \Rightarrow \hat{H}_{fb} = V(t)\hat{n}_k$ $V(t) = -\hbar\nu \langle \theta_k \rangle$: computed using the acquired losses information

and integrating equation of motion

Average over trajectories



Outline

- Effect of uniform j-body losses on hydrodynamic collective modes
- Experimental evidence for 3-body losses cooling
- 3 Non thermal states produced by losses
- Cooling to ground state using quantum feedback



▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

Conclusion and prospects

Conclusion

- First observation of 3-body losses cooling
- Extension of previous theoretical work
- Non-thermal nature of the state resulting from losses
- Proposal for quantum feedback to ground state

Prospects

- Elucidating the effect of 1-body losses (stationnary ratio $k_BT/(mc^2)$ not observed experimentally)
- Extend work on non-thermal states to the case of trapped systems
- Extend this work to strongly interacting regime of 1D Bose gases
- Take into account an eventual position-dependent loss term : link with evaporative cooling