





Nonequilibrium Quantum Dynamics of Ultracold Bosonic and Fermionic Mixtures: from Few- to Many-Body Systems

Peter Schmelcher Zentrum für Optische Quantentechnologien Universität Hamburg

Workshop on 'Small and Medium Sized Cold Atom Systems'

Centro de Ciencias de Benasque Pedro Pascual, July 29 - August 3 2018

The Centre for Optical Quantum Technologies



in collaboration with

- Methodology: L. Cao, S. Krönke, O. Vendrell (ML-MCTDHB)
- Applications: L. Cao, S. Krönke, R. Schmitz, J.
 Knörzer, S. Mistakidis, L. Katsimiga, G. Koutentakis, J. Schurer, A. Negretti, J. Chen

funded by the German Science Foundation (DFG)

- Excellence Cluster 'Hamburg Center for Ultrafast Imaging'
- Collaborative Research Center SFB925





SFB 925: Light induced dynamics and control of strongly correlated quantum systems

Contents

- 1. Introduction and motivation
- 2. Methodology: The ML-MCTDHB approach
- 3. Tunneling mechanisms in double and triple wells
- 4. Multi-mode quench dynamics in optical lattices
- 5. Many-body processes in black and grey matter-wave solitons
- 6. Correlated dynamics of a single atom coupling to an ensemble
- 7. Structure of mesoscopic molecular ions
- 8. Entanglement induced interactions in binary mixtures
- 9. Concluding remarks

1. Introduction and Motivation

An exquisite control over the external and internal degrees of freedom of atoms developed over decades lead to the realization of **Bose-Einstein Condensation** in dilute alkali gases at nK temperatures.

Key tools available:

- Laser and evaporative cooling
- Magnetic, electric and optical dipole traps
- Optical lattices and atom chips
- Feshbach resonances (mag-opt-conf) for tuning of interaction

Introduction and Motivation

Enormous degree of control concerning preparation, processing and detection of ultracold atoms !

Weak to strongly correlated many-body systems:

- BEC nonlinear mean-field physics (solitons, vortices, collective modes,...)
- Strongly correlated many-body physics (quantum phases, Kondo- and impurity physics, disorder, Hubbard model physics, high T_c superconductors,...)

Few-body regime:

- Novel mechanisms of transport and tunneling
- Atomtronics (Switches, diodes, transistors,)
- Quantum information processing

Introduction: Some facts

Hamiltonian:
$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_{i}^{2}}{2m_{i}} + V(\mathbf{r}_{i}) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} W(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j})$$

V is the trap potential: harmonic, optical lattice, etc.

W describes interactions: contact $g\delta(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$, dipolar, etc.

Dynamics is governed by TDSE: $i\hbar\partial_t\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,...,\mathbf{r}_N,t) = \mathcal{H}\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,...,\mathbf{r}_N,t)$

Ideal Bose-Einstein condensate: no interaction $g = 0 \Rightarrow$ Macroscopic matter wave.

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1, ..., \mathbf{r}_N) = \prod_{i=1}^N \phi(\mathbf{r}_i)$$

Hartree product: bosonic exchange symmetry.

Interaction $g \neq 0$: Mean-field description leads to Gross-Pitaevskii equation with cubic nonlinearity, exact for $N \rightarrow \infty, g \rightarrow 0$.

Introduction: Some facts

Finite, and in particular 'stronger' interactions:

- Correlations are ubiquitous
- A multiconfigurational ansatz is necessary

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, ..., \mathbf{r}_N, t) = \sum_i c_i \Phi_i(\mathbf{r}_1, ..., \mathbf{r}_N, t)$$

 \Rightarrow Ideal laboratory for exploring the dynamics of correlations (beyond mean-field):

- Preparation of correlated initial states
- Spreading of localized/delocalized correlations ?
- Time-dependent 'management' and control of correlations ?
- Is there universality in correlation dynamics ?

Calls for a versatile tool to explore the (nonequilibrium) quantum dynamics of ultracold bosons: Wish list

- Take account of all correlations (numerically exact)
- Applies to different dimensionality
- Time-dependent Hamiltonian: Driving
- Weak to strong interactions (short and long-range)
- Few- to many-body systems
- Mixed systems: different species, mixed dimensionality
- Efficient and fast

Multi-Layer Multi-Configuration Time-Dependent Hartree for Bosons (ML-MCTDHB) is a significant step in this direction !

In the following: A brief account of the methodology and then some selected diverse applications to ultracold bosonic systems.

2. Methodology: The ML-MCTDHB Approach

The ML-MCTDHB Method

- aim: numerically exact solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for a quite general class of interacting many-body systems
- history: [H-D Meyer. WIREs Comp. Mol. Sci. 2, 351 (2012).]
 MCTDH (1990): few distinguishable DOFs, quantum molecular dynamics
 ML-MCTDH (2003): more distinguishable DOFs, distinct subsystems
 MCTDHF (2003): indistinguishable fermions
 MCTDHB (2007): indistinguishable bosons

• idea:

use a time-dependent, optimally moving basis in the many-body Hilbert space



Hierarchy within ML-MCTDHB

We make an ansatz for the state of the total system $|\Psi_t\rangle$ with time-dependencies on different *layers*:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{top layer } |\Psi_t\rangle = \sum_{i_1=1}^{M_1} \dots \sum_{i_S=1}^{M_S} A_{i_1,\dots,i_S}(t) \bigotimes_{\sigma=1}^{S} |\psi_{i_\sigma}^{(\sigma)}(t)\rangle \\ \text{species layer } |\psi_k^{(\sigma)}(t)\rangle = \sum_{\vec{n}|N_\sigma} C_{k;\vec{n}}^{\sigma}(t) |\vec{n}\rangle(t) \\ \text{particle layer } |\phi_k^{(\sigma)}(t)\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n_\sigma} B_{k;i}^{\sigma}(t) |u_i\rangle \\ \end{array}$$

- Mc Lachlan variational principle: Propagate the ansatz $|\Psi_t\rangle \equiv |\Psi(\{\lambda_t^i\})\rangle$, $\lambda_t^i \in \mathbb{C}$ according to $i\partial_t |\Psi_t\rangle = |\Theta_t\rangle$ with $|\Theta_t\rangle \in \text{span}\{\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_t^k}|\Psi(\{\lambda_t^i\})\rangle\}$ minimizing the error functional $|||\Theta_t\rangle \hat{H}|\Psi_t\rangle||^2$ [AD McLachlan. *Mol. Phys.* **8**, 39 (1963).]
- In this sense, we obtain a *variationally* optimally moving basis!
- Dynamical truncation of Hilbert space on all layers
- Single species, single orbital on particle layer → Gross-Pitaevskii equation ! (Nonlinear excitations: Solitons, vortices,...)

The ML-MCTDHB equations of motion

L top layer EOM:

$$\begin{split} i\partial_t A_{i_1,...,i_S} &= \sum_{j_1=1}^{M_1} \dots \sum_{j_S=1}^{M_S} \langle \psi_{i_1}^{(1)} \dots \psi_{i_S}^{(S)} | \ \hat{H} \ |\psi_{j_1}^{(1)} \dots \psi_{j_S}^{(S)} \rangle A_{j_1,...,j_S} \\ \text{with} \quad |\psi_{j_1}^{(1)} \dots \psi_{j_S}^{(S)} \rangle \equiv |\psi_{j_1}^{(1)} \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |\psi_{j_S}^{(S)} \rangle \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow system of coupled linear ODEs with time-dependent coefficients due to the time-dependence in $|\psi_j^{(\sigma)}(t)\rangle$ and $|\phi_j^{(\sigma)}(t)\rangle$

 \Rightarrow reminiscent of the Schrödinger equation in matrix representation

species layer EOM:

$$i\partial_t C^{\sigma}_{i;\vec{n}} = \langle \vec{n} | (\mathbb{1} - \hat{P}^{spec}_{\sigma}) \sum_{j,k=1}^{M_{\sigma}} \sum_{\vec{m} | N_{\sigma}} [(\rho^{spec}_{\sigma})^{-1}]_{ij} \langle \hat{H} \rangle^{\sigma,spec}_{jk} | \vec{m} \rangle C^{\sigma}_{k;\vec{m}}$$

 \Rightarrow system of coupled non-linear ODEs with time-dependent coefficients due to the time-dependence of the $|\phi_i^{(\sigma)}(t)\rangle$ and of the top layer coefficients

The ML-MCTDHB equations of motion

• particle layer EOM:

$$i\partial_t |\phi_i^{(\sigma)}\rangle = (\mathbb{1} - \hat{P}_{\sigma}^{part}) \sum_{j,k=1}^{m_{\sigma}} [(\rho_{\sigma}^{part})^{-1}]_{ij} \langle \hat{H} \rangle_{jk}^{\sigma,part} |\phi_k^{(\sigma)}\rangle$$

 \Rightarrow system of coupled non-linear partial integro-differential equations (ODEs, if projected on $|u_k^{(\sigma)}\rangle$, respectively) with time-dependent coefficients due to time-dependence of the $C_{i:\vec{n}}^{\sigma}$ and $A_{i_1,...,i_S}$

Lowest layer representations:

- Discrete Variable Representation (DVR): implemented DVRs: harmonic, sine (hardwall b.c.), exponential (periodic b.c.), radial harmonic, Laguerre
- Fast Fourier Transform

Stationary states via improved relaxation involving imaginary time propagation !

S Krönke, L Cao, O Vendrell, P S, New J. Phys. 15, 063018 (2013).

L Cao, S Krönke, O Vendrell, P S, J. Chem. Phys. 139, 134103 (2013). L Cao, V Bolsinger, SI Mistakidis, GM Koutentakis, S Krönke, J Schurer

and P S, J. Chem. Phys. 147, 044106 (2017).

3. Tunneling mechanisms in the double and triple well

- Extensive experimental control of few-boson systems possible: Loading, processing and detection
 [I. Bloch *et al*, Nature 448, 1029 (2007)]
- Bottom-up understanding of tunneling processes and mechanisms
- Atomtronics perspective providing us with controllable atom transport on individual atom level:
 - Diodes, transistors, capacitors, sources and drains
- Double well, triple well, waveguides, etc.

Few-boson systems: Double Well

- No interactions: Rabi oscillations.
- Weak interactions: Delayed tunneling.
- Intermediate interactions:
 - Tunneling comes almost to a hold in spite of repulsive interactions.
 - Pair tunneling takes over !
- Very strong interactions: Fragmented pair tunneling.

$\triangleright N = 2$ atoms

- K. Winkler et al., Nature 441, 853 (2006); S. Fölling et al., Nature 448, 1029 (2007)
- S. ZÖLLNER, H.D. MEYER AND P.S., PRL 100, 040401 (2008); PRA 78, 013621 (2008)



Here: Bottom-up approach of understanding the tunneling mechanisms !

- Triple well is minimal system analog of a source-gate-drain junction for atomtronics
- Triple well shows novel tunneling scenarios on transport
- Strong correlation effects beyond single band approximation !
- Beyond the well-known suppression of tunneling: Multiple windows of enhanced tunneling i.e. revivals of tunneling: Interband tunneling involving higher bands !

Interband Tunneling: Analysis Tool

- Methodology: Multi-Layer Multi-Configuration
 Time-Dependent Hartree for Bosons
- Novel number-state representation including interaction effects for analysis



Three bosons: Single, pair and triple modes.

Interband Tunneling: Single boson tunneling

Three bosons initially in the left well: $\Psi \approx |3,0,0\rangle_0$



Single boson tunneling to middle and right well via $|3,0,0\rangle_0 \Leftrightarrow |2,1,0\rangle_1 \Leftrightarrow$ $|2,0,1\rangle_1$ i.e. via first-excited states !



Interband Tunneling: Single boson tunneling

Three bosons initially in the middle well: $\Psi \approx |0,3,0\rangle_0$

(a) g = 9.853-(a) Population ᢔᠺᡯᢊ᠈ᢣ᠕᠆ᠵ᠙ᢑᡐᡁᠺᢣᡯᢊ᠈ᠿᡗ᠕ᢧ᠉ᡗ᠕ᢧ᠈ᡗᡶ᠋ᡐᡁᢉᠺᡯᢑᡐᢑ᠊ᡐᠱᢣᡞᠱ᠕ᠱ᠕ᡬ 0-(b) 1.0 Probability 0.0 50 1<u>0</u>0 150 Ó time 0.6 t=0 (a) t=11 t=28 density $\rho(x)$ 0.4 0.2 0.0 0 X 2 2

Single boson tunneling to left and right well via $|0,3,0\rangle_0 \Leftrightarrow |1,2,0\rangle_3 \Leftrightarrow$ $|0,2,1\rangle_3$ i.e. via second-excited states



Interband Tunneling: Two boson tunneling

Three bosons initially in the middle well: $\Psi \approx |0, 3, 0\rangle_0$

(a) g = 5.8



Two boson tunneling to the left and right well via $|0,3,0\rangle_0 \Leftrightarrow |1,1,1\rangle_6$ i.e. two first-excited states !

Cao et al, NJP 13, 033032 (2011)





4. Multi-mode quench dynamics in optical lattices

Focus: Correlated non-equilibrium dynamics of in one-dimensional finite lattices following a sudden interaction quench from weak (SF) to strong interactions!

Phenomenology: Emergence of density-wave tunneling, breathing and cradle-like processes.

Mechanisms: Interplay of intrawell and interwell dynamics involving higher excited bands.

Resonance phenomena: Coupling of density-wave and cradle modes leads to a corresponding beating phenomenon !

 \Rightarrow Effective Hamiltonian description and tunability.

Incommensurate filling factor $\nu > 1(\nu < 1)$

Post quench dynamics....



- Density tunneling mode: Global 'envelope' breathing
 - Identification of relevant tunneling branches (number state analysis)
 - Fidelity analysis shows 3 relevant frequencies: pair and triple mode processes
 - Transport of correlations and dynamical bunching antibunching transitions
- On-site breathing and craddle mode: Similar analysis possible involving now higher excitations

Craddle and tunneling mode interaction



Fourier spectrum of the intrawell-asymmetry $\Delta \rho_L(\omega)$:

Avoided crossing of tunneling and craddle mode !

⇒ Beating of the craddle mode - resonant enhancement. S.I. Mistakidis, L. Cao and P. S., JPB 47, 225303 (2014), PRA 91, 033611 (2015)

5. Many-body processes in black and grey matter-wave solitons

- N weakly interacting bosons in a one-dimensional box
- Initial many-body state: Little depletion, density and phase as close as possible to dark soliton in the dominant natural orbital
- Preparation: Robust phase and density engineering scheme.

CARR ET AL, PRL 103, 140403 (2009); PRA 80, 053612 (2009); PRA 63, 051601 (2001); Ruostekoski et al, PRL 104, 194192 (2010)

Density dynamics



• Reduced one-body density $\rho_1(x,t)$

•
$$N=100$$
, $\gamma=0.04$

- Black (top) and grey (bottom) soliton
- M = 4 optimized orbitals
- Inset: Mean-field theory (GPE)
- Slower filling process of density dip for moving soliton

Evolution of contrast and depletion



• Relative contrast c(t)/c(0) of dark solitons for various $\beta = \frac{u}{s}$ $(c(t) = \frac{\max \rho_1(x,0) - \rho_1(x_t^s,t)}{\max \rho_1(x,0) + \rho_1(x_t^s,t)})$



• Dynamics of quantum depletion $d(t) = 1 - \max_i \lambda_i(t) \in [0, 1]$ and evolution of the natural populations $\lambda_i(t)$ for $\beta = 0.0$ (solid black lines) and $\beta = 0.5$ (dashed dotted red lines). $\hat{\rho}_1(t) = \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i(t) |\varphi_i(t)\rangle\langle\varphi_i(t)|$

Natural orbital dynamics



• Density and phase (inset) evolution of the dominant and second dominant natural orbital. (a,b) black soliton (c,d) grey soliton $\beta = 0.5$.

Localized two-body correlations

• Two-body correlation function $g_2(x_1, x_2; t)$ for a black soliton (first row) and a grey soliton $\beta = 0.5$ (second) at times t = 0.0 (first column), $t = 2.5\tau$ (second) and $t = 5\tau$ (third).

S. Krönke and P.S., PRA 91, 053614 (2015)



6. Correlated dynamics of a single atom coupling to an ensemble

Setup and preparation



- Bipartite system: impurity atom plus ensemble of e.g. bosons of different $m_F = \pm 1$ trapped in optical dipole trap
- Application of external magnetic field gradient separates species
- Initialization in a displaced ground ie. coherent state via RF pulse to $m_F = 0$ for impurity atom.
- Single atom collisionally coupled to an atomic reservoir: Energy and correlation transfer - entanglement evolution.

J. KNÖRZER, S. KRÖNKE AND P.S., NJP 17, 053001 (2015)



- Spatiotemporally localized inter-species coupling: Focus on long-time behaviour over many cycles.
- Energy transfer cycles with varying particle number
 of the ensemble

One-body densities



- Time-evolution of densities for the two species (ensemble-top, impurity-bottom) for first eight impurity oscillations.
- Impurity atom initiates oscillatory density modulations in ensemble atoms.
- Backaction on impurity atom.





Time-evolution of normalized excess energy Δ_t^B with Husimi distribution $Q_t^B(z, z^*) = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle z | \hat{\rho}_t^B | z \rangle$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ of reduced density $\hat{\rho}_t^B$ at certain time instants.

Coherence measure



Distance (operator norm) to closest coherent state, as a function of time for different atom numbers in the ensemble.

Correlation analysis



- (a) Short-time evolution of the von Neumann entanglement entropy $S_{vN}(t)$ and inter-species interaction energy $E_{int}^{AB}(t) = \langle \hat{H}_{AB} \rangle_t$.
- (b) Long-time evolution of $\bar{S}_{vN}(t)$. for $N_A = 2$ (blue solid line), $N_A = 4$ (red, dashed) and $N_A = 10$ (black, dotted).

J. KNÖRZER, S. KRÖNKE AND P.S., NJP 17, 053001 (2015)

Other projects...

- Correlation effects in the quench-induced phase separation dynamics of a two species ultracold quantum gas
 S.I. Mistakidis, G.C. Katsimiga, P.G. Kevrekidis and P. S., New J. Phys. 20, 043052 (2018)
- Correlation induced localization of lattice trapped bosons coupled to a Bose-Einstein condensate
 K. Keiler, S. Krönke and P. S., New J. Phys. 20, 033030 (2018)
- Spectral properties and breathing dynamics of a few-body Bose-Bose mixture in a 1D harmonic trap
 M. Pyzh, S. Krönke, C. Weitenberg and P.S., New J. Phys. 20, 015006 (2018)
- Dark-bright soliton dynamics beyond the mean-field approximation
 G. C. Katsimiga, G.M. Koutentakis, S.I. Mistakidis, P. G. Kevrekidis and P.S., New
 J. Phys. 19, 073004 (2017)

- Probing Ferromagnetic Order in Few-Fermion Correlated Spin-Flip Dynamics G. M. Koutentakis, S. I. Mistakidis, P. S., arxiv 1804.07199
- Repulsive Fermi Polarons and Their Induced Interactions S.I. Mistakidis, G.C. Katsimiga, G.M. Koutentakis and P.S., in preparation
- Quantum point spread function for imaging trapped few-body systems with a quantum gas microscope S. Krönke, M. Pyzh, C.
 Weitenberg, P.S., arxiv 1806.08982

7. Structure of mesoscopic molecular ions

Motivation

Focusing on the physics of ions in a gas of trapped ultracold atoms: Hybrid atom-ion systems.

- Controlled state-dependent atom-ion scattering
- Ultracold chemical reactions and charge transport
- Novel tunneling and state-dependent transport processes
- Spin-dependent interactions
- Emulate condensed matter systems on a finite scale, including dynamics: polarons, charge-phonon coupling, ... PRL 111, 080501 (2013)
- Mesoscopic molecular ions and ion-induced density bubbles - PRL 89, 093001 (2002); PRA 81, 041601 (2010)

Setup and Methodology

- Atom-ion interaction introduces an additional length scale $R^* = \sqrt{\frac{2C_4\mu}{\hbar^2}}$ which has to be resolved
- Modelling of ultracold atom-ion collisions
 - QD-theory links defect parameters to asymptotic scattering properties

• Model potential:
$$V(z) = V_0 e^{-\gamma z^2} - \frac{1}{z^4 + \frac{1}{\omega}}$$

- 'Molecular' bound states: Only the weakest bound states are of relevance. Maximum is at positions of order R*.
- Methodology: Multi-Layer Multi-Configuration Time-Dependent Hartree for Bosons (Fermions)

S. Krönke, L. Cao, O. Vendrell, P.S., *New J. Phys.* **15**, 063018 (2013)

L. Cao, S. Krönke, O. Vendrell, P.S., J. Chem. Phys. 139, 134103 (2013)

Overview of possible structures

Challenges:

- Include Motion of Ion
- Many-Body Bound States

Main Observations:

- Formation of Ionic Molecule: Massive quantum object
- Phase diagram of compound system
- Stabilization by shell-structure formation
- Dynamical response
- Dissociation
- Strong self-localization of ion
- Formation of Thomas-Fermi bath
 - J. Schurer, A. Negretti and P.S., PRL 119, 063001 (2017)



Phase diagram



- Two distinct phases:
 - $\mu < 0$: Mesoscopic charged molecule
 - $\mu > 0$: Unbound, but trapped, atomic fraction
 - Dissociation around $\mu = 0$ N_c for bound atoms

• Energetic considerations:

$$g_c \approx (\omega - \epsilon_1)/(N_c - 1)$$

• Near linear decrease of E(N)

Nature of the many-body mesoscopic ion state

Possible Ansatzes

Mean-field: $\Psi_{\rm MF}(z_{\rm I}, z_1, \cdots, z_N) = \varphi(z_{\rm I}) \prod_{i=1}^N \chi(z_i)$

Product form in ion frame (Gross): $Z_{I} = z_{I}, Z_{i} = z_{i} - z_{I}$ $\Psi_{G}(Z_{I}, Z_{1}, \dots, Z_{N}) = \varphi(Z_{I}) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \chi(Z_{i})$

Fully correlated ML-MCTDHB approach: Imaginary time-propagation (relaxation).



 $\frac{E}{E^*}$ as a function of N

Molecular structure: Densities and correlations

Inspect the atomic and ionic density profiles $\rho_{I(A)}(z) = \langle \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}_{I(A)}(z) \hat{\Psi}_{I(A)}(z) \rangle$



- Localization of ion for larger N
- Density wings of atoms around ion: Atom-ion induced density hole
- TF asymptotics masks strong correlations

Atom-ion correlation function

$$g_2(z) = \frac{\langle \hat{\Psi}_{\rm I}^{\dagger}(z) \hat{\Psi}_{\rm A}^{\dagger}(-z) \hat{\Psi}_{\rm A}(-z) \hat{\Psi}_{\rm I}(z) \rangle}{N \rho_{\rm I}(z) \rho_{\rm A}(-z)}$$

- Bunching and binding distance d for $N < N_c$
- MF yields no binding
- Broadening with increasing $N < N_c$
- $\hfill \hfill \hfill$

Molecular structure: Densities and correlations

Inspect the atomic and ionic density profiles $\rho_{I(A)}(z) = \langle \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}_{I(A)}(z) \hat{\Psi}_{I(A)}(z) \rangle$



- Population of bound states $f_j = \langle \hat{a}_j^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j \rangle$
- Population of second bound state stabilizes molecule
- Increased N_c in correlated ML-MCTDHB approach \Leftrightarrow 1D analogue of shell structure formation

Self-localization



- Atomic and ionic variance $\sigma_{\rm A}^2 = \langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N z_i^2 \rangle$, $\sigma_{\rm I}^2 = \langle z_{\rm I}^2 \rangle$
- g = 0: N + 1-body cluster: Self localization by increase of total mass and localization of CM
- Under- (MF) and overestimation
 (Gross) of variance
- g > 0: σ_A exhibits a minimum: increases already for $N < N_c$ due to spatial widening and population of second bound state
- Molecule under pressure:
 Bound state scale reaches trap length

Dynamical response of the strongly correlated molecular ion



- Effective single particle picture m^*, ω^*
- Gross ansatz: m and $\omega\sqrt{1+N}$
- Effective force via many-body wave function: Partial trace of $F_{\rm I} = -[\partial_{Z_{\rm I}}, H]$
- $N < N_c$: Effective mass increases linearly with N, ω^* varies little
- Approaching N_c : m^* becomes sublinear
- For $N > N_c m^*$ approaches M and ω^* decreases strongly: slow response
- \bullet \Rightarrow Single particle picture breaks down

• Future:

- Explore further properties of molecular ions: excited molecular states
- Moving ions: Energy and correlation transfer
- Multiple ions: Crystals in a sea of atoms

••••

8. Entanglement induced interactions in binary mixtures

Exchange of bosonic (quasi-)particles provided by one species leads to induced interactions in the other species:

Electrons in a solid acquire an attractive interaction by exchange of phonons \rightarrow Fröhlich Hamiltonian (system-bath regime)

Ultracold atomic systems: ideal platform for the investigation of atomic mixtures

Beside the efforts on macroscopic ensembles: recent experiments focus on few-body physics

A. WENZ *et al*, SCIENCE **342**, 457 (2013); G. ZÜRN *et al*, PRL **111**, 175302 (2013); S. MURMANN *et al*, PRL **114**, 080402 (2015); F. SERWANE *et al*, SCIENCE **332**, 336 (2011); G. ZÜRN *et al*, PRL **108**, 075303 (2012).

including fermionic pairing via effective interactions.

- Conceptual framework for identification and characterization of induced interactions in binary mixtures
- Reveal intricate relation of entanglement an induced interactions
- Deduce an effective single-species description based on weak entanglement
- Incorporates few-body character and trap (beyond bosonic bath-type approach)
- Applications to ultracold Bose-Fermi mixture: induced Bose-Bose and Fermi-Fermi interactions
- J. CHEN, J. SCHURER AND P.S., PRL 121, 043401 (2018)

Theoretical approach....

Hamiltonian for binary mixture $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_B + \hat{H}_{AB}$

Employing Schmidt decomposition of exact eigenstate of the mixture

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_i} \; |\psi_i^A\rangle |\psi_i^B\rangle,$$

- λ_i real positive Schmidt numbers $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots \leftrightarrow$ strength of the interspecies entanglement
- $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_{i \neq 1} = 0$ mixture is non-entangled
- Species mean-field (SMF) approximation: product form $|\Psi\rangle = |\psi^A_{SMF}\rangle |\psi^B_{SMF}\rangle \rightarrow mutual impact of the species is merely an additional potential$

Note: Large intraspecies correlations can still be present.

Theoretical approach....

Projecting onto the *q*-th Schmidt state $\langle \psi_q^{\bar{\sigma}} |$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_q} \sqrt{\lambda_i} \langle \psi_q^{\bar{\sigma}} | \hat{H} | \psi_i^{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle | \psi_i^{\sigma} \rangle = \mu_q | \psi_q^{\sigma} \rangle,$$

with $\mu_q = \lambda_q E$, *E*: eigenenergy of $|\Psi\rangle$. Some algebra yields:

$$\lambda_1 H_{11}^{\bar{\sigma}} |\psi_1^{\sigma}\rangle + \sum_{i \neq 1} \sum_{j \neq i} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \lambda_i \sqrt{\lambda_j} H_{1i}^{\bar{\sigma}} M_i H_{ij}^{\bar{\sigma}} |\psi_j^{\sigma}\rangle = \mu_1 |\psi_1^{\sigma}\rangle$$

with $H_{ij}^{\bar{\sigma}} = \langle \psi_i^{\bar{\sigma}} | \hat{H} | \psi_j^{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle M_q = \left[\mu_q - \lambda_q H_{qq}^{\bar{\sigma}} \right]^{-1}$ So far general. Now: species are weakly entangled

$$\sqrt{\lambda_1} \approx 1$$
 and $\sqrt{\lambda_{i \neq 1}} \ll 1$,

 \Rightarrow First Schmidt state carries the dominant weight.

Theoretical approach....

Side remarks:

- Validity of the weak-entanglement regime extends far beyond the perturbative regime
- It is also permissible to mitigate the interspecies entanglement by using a unitary transformation of the Hamiltonian, such as the Fröhlich-Nakajima transformation or the Lee-Low-Pines transformation for polarons

Next: Taylor expansion: $\sqrt{\lambda_{i\neq 1}}$ are of order $\delta \ll 1$ Effective Hamiltonian for species σ

$$\hat{H}_{\mathsf{eff}}^{\sigma} = \mathcal{H}_{11}^{\bar{\sigma}} + \sum_{i \neq 1} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i} \mathcal{H}_{1i}^{\bar{\sigma}} \mathcal{H}_{i1}^{\bar{\sigma}}}{t_{1i}} \qquad t_{qj} = \langle \psi_q^{\sigma} | \langle \psi_q^{\bar{\sigma}} | \hat{H} | \psi_j^{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle | \psi_j^{\sigma} \rangle$$

with the associated effective Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{H}_{\rm eff}^{\sigma}|\psi_{\rm eff}^{\sigma}\rangle = E_{\rm eff}^{\sigma}|\psi_{\rm eff}^{\sigma}\rangle$$

Discussion of effective Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma} = \mathcal{H}_{11}^{\bar{\sigma}} + \sum_{i \neq 1} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i} \mathcal{H}_{1i}^{\bar{\sigma}} \mathcal{H}_{i1}^{\bar{\sigma}}}{t_{1i}} \qquad \qquad \hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma} |\psi_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma}\rangle = E_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma} |\psi_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma}\rangle$$

- Effective state $|\psi_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma}\rangle$ is eigenstate of $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma}$ whose eigenvalue is closest to $E_1^{\sigma} = \langle \psi_1^{\sigma} | \hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma} | \psi_1^{\sigma} \rangle$ Approximation to $|\psi_1^{\sigma}\rangle$ which contains the dominant physics.
- Effective Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma}$ depends on the many-body state of the mixture
- Applicable for ground and excited states of the mixture.
- Excellent starting-point for: Gaining deep insights and extract relevant mechanisms in coupled binary mixtures
- Analytical and interpretational power

Discussion of effective Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma} = \mathcal{H}_{11}^{\bar{\sigma}} + \sum_{i \neq 1} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i} \mathcal{H}_{1i}^{\bar{\sigma}} \mathcal{H}_{i1}^{\bar{\sigma}}}{t_{1i}} \qquad \qquad \hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma} |\psi_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma}\rangle = E_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma} |\psi_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma}\rangle$$

- Mixture nonentangled: SMF case. Effective Hamiltonian becomes $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma} = \hat{H}_{\sigma} + \hat{V}_{\text{SMF}}^{\sigma}$ with $\hat{V}_{\text{SMF}}^{\sigma}$ being an additional SMF induced potential: Partial trace with respect to the species $\bar{\sigma}$ over the interspecies interaction \hat{H}_{AB} .
- Weak-entanglement regime i.e. beyond SMF approximation: $\sqrt{\lambda_i} \ll 1; i > 1$ $H_{11}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ is dominant; Second term $\sum_{i \neq 1} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i} H_{1i}^{\bar{\sigma}} H_{i1}^{\bar{\sigma}}}{t_{1i}}$ solely originates from interspecies entanglement: contains additional potential term and induced interaction $[\propto (H_{1i}^{\bar{\sigma}})^2]$.

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma} = \mathcal{H}_{11}^{\bar{\sigma}} + \sum_{i \neq 1} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i} \mathcal{H}_{1i}^{\bar{\sigma}} \mathcal{H}_{i1}^{\bar{\sigma}}}{t_{1i}} \qquad \qquad \hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma} |\psi_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma}\rangle = E_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma} |\psi_{\text{eff}}^{\sigma}\rangle$$

- Series with monotonously decreasing pre-factors $\sqrt{\lambda_i}$
- $N_{\sigma} \gg N_{\bar{\sigma}}$, system-bath regime, the induced interaction in the bath-species σ becomes negligible. Induced interaction in the $\bar{\sigma}$ species becomes increasingly important.

Application: Bose-Fermi mixture

Induced interactions and induced potentials for a few-body ensemble of 1D ultracold Bose-Fermi mixture ($g_{bf} = 1, N_f = N_b = 2$).

Obtained from ML-MCTDHX simulations (Cao et al, JCP 147, 044106 (2017)).



Effective potentials and reduced one-body density. Fermionic (a) and bosonic (b) species. NI (green solid), SMF (blue dashed), $V_1^{\sigma}(x)$] (red solid), beyond SMF (black solid). Reduced one-body densities fermionic (a) and bosonic (b) species (brown dashed).

Application: Bose-Fermi mixture



Induced interactions and paircorrelation functions. Upper panels: pair-correlation functions $g_2^{\sigma}(x_1, x_2)$ for fermionic (a) and bosonic (d) species. Middle $I^b_{ind}(x_1, x_2)$ and lower panels: induced interactions among fermions (b,c) and bosons (e,f) together with its diagonals [red dashed lines, $x_1 = x_2$ and $R = (x_1 +$ $(x_2)/2$] and off-diagonals (blue solid lines, $x_1 = -x_2$ and r = $x_1 - x_2$).

Application: Bose-Fermi mixture



Comparisons of pair-correlation functions. $g_2^{\sigma}(x_1, x_2)$ via effective Hamiltonian for fermionic (a,c) and bosonic (b,d) species. Off-diagonals (blue dashed) and diagonals (brown dashed). Offdiagonal of g_2^f for SMF (green dash-dot) and ML-MCTDHX results (solid).

- Effective entanglement based theory accounts for
 - induced potentials
 - induced interactions
 - interpretative power and gain of insights
 - manipulation of induced interactions: pairing, etc.
 - •

9. Concluding remarks

Conclusions

- ML-MCTDHB is a versatile efficient tool for the nonequilibrium dynamics of ultracold bosons.
- Few- to many-body systems can be covered: Shown here for the emergence of collective behaviour.
- Tunneling mechanisms
- Many-mode correlation dynamics: From quench to driving.
- Beyond mean-field effects in nonlinear excitations.
- Open systems dynamics, impurity and polaron dynamics, etc.
- Mixtures !

Thank you for your attention !