Beyond the Standard Model

Alex Pomarol (Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona & IFAE/BIST)

Outline:

- The Standard Model: symmetries, consistency, and reasons for improvement
- Grand Unified Theories
- The strong CP-problem and axions
- The hierarchy problem and possible solutions: Supersymmetry, Composite Higgs, Extra Dimensions, ...

What you must know:

There is a relatively simple QFT that explains "almost" all data:



Weinberg's Book I, page 246:

To have 2 DOF of a spin=1 object properly transforming under the Lorentz Group **Gauge Symmetry**

To have 2 DOF of a spin=2 object properly transforming under the Lorentz Group **Invariance under x-transformations**

Apart from Spacetime Symmetries, in the SM symmetries are not imposed

Relatively simple Lagrangian for the SM:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} &= -\frac{1}{4g'^2} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4g^2} W^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4g_s^2} G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ i \bar{Q}_L^i \mathcal{D} Q_L^i + i \bar{u}_R^i \mathcal{D} u_R^i + i \bar{d}_R^i \mathcal{D} d_R^i + i \bar{l}_L^i \mathcal{D} l_L^i + i \bar{e}_R^i \mathcal{D} e_R^i \\ &+ |D_\mu H|^2 \\ &+ Y_u^{ij} \bar{Q}_L^i \tilde{H} u_R^j + Y_d^{ij} \bar{Q}_L^i H d_R^j + Y_e^i \bar{l}_L^i H e_R^i + h.c. \\ &+ V(H) \end{aligned}$$

Only terms whose dimensions are ≤ 4

in fact, not all of them!!

Using dimensional analysis with $\hbar = c = 1$

Using dimensional analysis with $\hbar = c = 1$

$$[S = \int \mathcal{L} d^4 x] = M^0$$
$$[\mathcal{L}] = M^4$$
$$[\partial_\mu] = M$$
$$[H] = [A_\mu] = M$$
$$[\psi] = M^{3/2}$$

<u>Apart from kin. terms + masses, it gives interactions:</u>



g,Yf, λ= dimensionless couplings





Only one unknown parameter: The Higgs mass (or λ)



4th of July of 2012 LHC marked a milestone in particle physics

A Higgs-like state discovered:



LHC most relevant piece of data so far: $m_H pprox 125~GeV$

Really shook the theory community



All data quite compatible with the SM Higgs predictions







A better perspective to understand how close experimental data is to the SM Higgs predictions:



$$=k_{F}\frac{m_{F}}{v}$$

$$\sqrt{k_{V}}\frac{m_{V}}{v}$$

A better perspective to understand how close experimental data is to the SM Higgs predictions:





Like Ulysses that revealed himself by shooting an arrow through twelve axe rings



Accidental global symmetries of the SM (symmetries of the dimension-4 operators)

We didn't ask for them, but they are there!

NO EXTRA COST

1) Baryon number B: $\psi \rightarrow e^{iB\theta}\psi$

B=1/3 (quarks), B=0 (leptons, Higgs)

Proto

Proton B=I: Cannot decay to leptons



2) Lepton number Le, L μ , L τ :

 $L_e = I$ (for e), $L_\mu = I$, (for μ), $L_\tau = I$, (for τ) (zero for the rest)

µ cannot decay to e+photon

Some accidental symmetries are approximate (broken by small couplings)

I) Custodial symmetry:



• In the limit $Y_f = 0$ and g' = 0Extra global SU(2): $(H, i\sigma_2 H^*)$ being a doublet when H gets a VEV: $SU(2)_L \times SU(2) \rightarrow SU(2)_{custodial}$

 (W^+,W^-,Z) are a triplet of SU(2)_{custodial} $\longrightarrow m_W = m_Z$

• For Y_f≠0 and g'≠0: $\frac{m_W^2}{m_Z^2 c_{\theta_W}^2} \equiv \rho \simeq 1.0$

2) Family symmetry:

In the limit all $Y_f = 0$:

 $U(3)_Q \times U(3)_u \times U(3)_d \times U(3)_L \times U(3)_e$

In the limit $Y_f = 0$ for 1st + 2nd family:

 $U(2)_Q \times U(2)_u \times U(2)_d \times U(2)_L \times U(2)_e$

Reason for small K-K mixing

Higher-dimensional operators Why not?

Why we don't include terms like e.g. $(W^{\mu\nu}W_{\mu\nu})^2$? They are allowed by symmetries! It has dim=8, so in the Lagrangian should be written as $\frac{1}{\Lambda^4}(W^{\mu\nu}W_{\mu\nu})^2$

 Λ = some scale suppressing the higher-dim terms

These new terms spoil the predictivity of the SM:

We have infinite of them!

 $\Lambda =$ "Cut-off scale" \blacksquare Calculability lost at $E > \Lambda$

<u>Fortunately</u>, they are **irrelevant** at energies smaller than Λ :

For example, in WW-scattering:



Then, we can think of **The SM** as an **Effective Field Theory** (EFT), valid below some scale that suppresses all higher-dimensional operators

This demystifies the SM!

How large can we take Λ ?

can we take it to be infinity?

Related (but deeper) question:

Is there any need to go beyond the SM $(\Lambda \neq \infty)$?

Theoretical: Consistency of the theory?

Experimental: Data that cannot be explained?

Could it be the SM the final theory?

We must use Einstein "Gedankenexperiment" (thought experiments):



TH

At the age of sixteen:

"If I pursue a beam of light with the velocity c (velocity of light in a vacuum), I should observe such a beam of light as an electromagnetic field at rest though spatially oscillating. There seems to be no such thing..."



If λ(Q) grows, as we increase Q, it can become too large at some scale Λ:

 $\lambda(Q=\Lambda) \sim 16\pi^2$ \blacktriangleright Perturbation theory not valid anymore

• If $\lambda(Q)$ decreases, it can become negative at some scale Λ :





I cannot trust my theory at $Q > \Lambda$ $rackin \Lambda = Cut-off scale$

Since $M_h^2 = 2\lambda (Q = M_H)v^2$ for each Higgs mass value we can find a Λ



 $\Lambda = 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$

taking $\Lambda = 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$



... but as $Q \sim 10^{19}$ GeV, gravitons are also important:



~ quantum loops of gravitons

suppressed by M_P are important

 G_N = Newton's constant M_P = Planck's mass ~ 1.2 x 10¹⁹ GeV

> Not known a consistent quantum treatment of SM+GR at $Q>M_P!$

New physics expected (at least) at energies ~ 10^{19} GeV !

Very similar to Fermi's theory:

$$f \longrightarrow f f \sim G_F Q^2$$

 G_F = Fermi's constant



The strength of the interaction is larger than one for $Q > I/\sqrt{G_F} \implies \Lambda_F \sim I/\sqrt{G_F}$

Now, we know what happened below $1/\sqrt{G_F} \sim 300$ GeV:

There was "New physics" (beyond Fermi's theory):

We discovered the W/Z particles, the SM!

What could we find around $M_P \sim 10^{19}$ GeV ?

A possibility (the only one?): STRINGS



Particles are the lowest-energy modes of a string



The interactions are not anymore in a space-time point, but smears out over the string world-sheet



gravitons, gauge bosons and matter appear as massless excitations of the strings

theory of unification

Generic Predictions:

I) The space must be I+9 dimensional

2) There are string excitations of higher-energy:



Expected very heavy to be detected at present colliders

Indirect effects? Virtual effects of the strings generate higher-dimensional operators for the SM

TH End of understanding at $\Lambda \sim M_P \sim 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$

Most conservative approach:



SM = Effective field Theory below M_P

•

$$\mu^{2}|\mathbf{H}|^{2} \qquad \mu \sim m_{w} \qquad \text{Dim } O_{i} = 2$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM} = -\frac{1}{4g^{2}} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + i \bar{f}_{L} \mathcal{D} f_{L} + i \bar{f}_{R} \mathcal{D} f_{R}$$

$$+|D_{\mu}\mathbf{H}|^{2} - \lambda|\mathbf{H}|^{4} + Y_{f} \bar{f}_{L} \mathbf{H} f_{R} + h.c \qquad \text{Dim } O_{i} = 4$$

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{I}_{L}^{c} \mathbf{I}_{L} \mathbf{H} \qquad \Lambda \sim M_{P} \qquad \text{Dim } O_{i} = 5$$

$$\frac{c_{1}}{\Lambda^{2}} |\mathbf{H}|^{2} |D_{\mu}\mathbf{H}|^{2} + \frac{c_{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} (\bar{f}_{L} \gamma^{u} f_{L})^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{c_{3}}{\Lambda^{2}} (D_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu})^{2} + \cdots \qquad \text{Dim } O_{i} = 6$$

•

Important consequences:

Higher-dimensional operators do not preserve $B \& L_{e,\mu,\tau}$

L violation: $\frac{1}{\Lambda} \bar{l}_L^{c\,i} H_i \, H_j l_L^j$ Neutrino masses **B** violation: $\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\Lambda^2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} [\bar{Q}_{L\alpha}^{c\,i} \gamma^{\mu} u_{R\beta}] [\bar{d}_{R\gamma}^c \gamma_{\mu} l_{L\,i}] \qquad \mathbf{U} \mathbf{N}$ e+ **Proton decay:** $p \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+$ proton pion Exp. $T_P > 10^{34}$ years $\longrightarrow \Lambda > 10^{15}$ GeV


SM+GR

Can explain "almost" everything from the biggest to the smallest...



Data unexplained by the SM

- I) Neutrino masses
- 2) Dark matter

EX

- 3) Cosmological Inflationary epoch
- 4) Matter/Antimatter asymmetry in the universe



It could well be related to new-physics near $\sim M_P$

If so, very difficult to detect this physics (only indirectly by astro/cosmo experiments)

e.g. Neutrino Masses:

Mandatory as Lepton Number L not respected by higher-dimensional operators



It was just an **accident** of the SM!

Leading one: Dimension-5 operators:



But there are other important reason to go beyond the SM



Searching for a "natural" explanation of the SM parameters

Search for a "natural" explanation of SM coupling-constants and masses:

Cosmological constant: ∫ Λ_{cosmo} √g d⁴x
Λ_{cosmo} ~ 10⁻⁴⁷ GeV⁴ << M_P⁴ ~ 10⁷⁶ GeV⁴
Higgs mass term: V(H) = - μ²|H|²+...
μ² ~ v² ~ 10⁴ GeV² << M_P² ~ 10³⁸ GeV²
Charge quantization:

 $Q_e + Q_P < |0^{-21}$

4) Strong CP problem: $\int \Theta F \widetilde{F} d^4 x$ $\Theta < 10^{-13}$



Search for a "natural" explanation

Scale of New physics

Cosmological constant	?
Higgs potential	~TeV
Charge quantization	~ 10 ¹⁵ GeV
Strong CP problem	~ 10 ¹² GeV
Fermion masses/mixing angles	TeV - M _P
Gauge couplings	~ 10 ¹⁵ GeV
Number of families	?

Search for a "natural" explanation

To be discussed here

Scale of New physics



Grand Unified Theories (GUT)

We want to explain:

 $|q_p + q_e|/e$

See DYLLA 73 for a summary of experiments on the neutrality of matter. See also "n CHARGE" in the neutron Listings.

VALUE	OCUMENT ID		COMMENT
<1.0 × 10 ⁻²¹ ⁸ C	YLLA	73	Neutrality of SF ₆
\bullet \bullet We do not use the following dat	a for averages,	fits,	limits, etc. • • •
$<3.2 \times 10^{-20}$ 9 S	ENGUPTA	00	binary pulsar
$< 0.8 \times 10^{-21}$ N	IARINELLI	84	Magnetic levitation

⁸ Assumes that $q_n = q_p + q_e$.

 $O=Y/2+T_{3}$

⁹SENGUPTA 00 uses the difference between the observed rate of of rotational energy loss by the binary pulsar PSR B1913+16 and the rate predicted by general relativity to set this limit. See the paper for assumptions.

 \Rightarrow suggest that the charge is quantized: $Q_P = -Q_e$

 $u_{R}, d_{R}, Q_{L}, e_{L}, e_{R}$: Y = (4/3, -2/3, 1/3, -1, -2)





Matter embedding: 15 fields \subset 5+10



Fit like a glove!

Not the same simplicity for the Higgs (Doublet-triplet splitting problem)

The GUT-gauge symmetry must be broken (not seen in nature the X,Y bosons):

$SU(5) \rightarrow SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$

Extra "Higgs" in 24 getting VEV

Give mass only to X,Y bosons: $M_{X,Y} = M_{GUT}$

SU(5) predictions:

- I) Charge quantization
- 2) Gauge-coupling unification
- 3) Proton decay

2) Gauge-coupling unification: $g_5 = g_s = g = \sqrt{5/3} g'$ at $Q \ge M_{GUT}$

What are the values of the SM gauge-couplings at high-energies?





b-coefficients depend on the particle spectrum



	SM	MSSM
P _i	$ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{41}{10} \\ -\frac{19}{6} \\ -7 \end{bmatrix} $	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{66}{10} \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$



SM+SUSY partners (to be discussed later):



Too good to be true?

3) Proton decay:



Leads to $p \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+$:



The absence of observing the proton decaying gives a lower-bound on M_{GUT}

In supersymmetric GUT there are other decay modes more important:



arXiv:hep-ph/0608183

Search for proton decay

The Super-Kamiokande detector

- Stainless-steel tank
- 39m diameter and 42m tall
- Filled with 50,000 tons of ultra pure water.
- About 13,000 photo-multipliers on the tank wall
- At 1000 meter underground in the Kamioka-mine, Hida-city, Gifu, Japan.







Present experimental limits: $\tau_P(p \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+) > 10^{34}$ years $ightarrow M_{GUT} > 3 \times 10^{15}$ GeV

 $T_{P}(p \rightarrow K^{+}U) > 2.3 \ 10^{33} \ years$

Already ruling out some SU(5) models

Other GUT's beauties:

• Bottom-tau unification: $M_b = M_{\tau}$ at $Q \ge M_{GUT}$

works reasonably well in the Supersymmetric SM ...but don't work for other fermions



Implications: Majorana masses for neutrino Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay:



Implications: Majorana masses for neutrino Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay:



The strong CP Problem

Dimension-four operator allowed in QCD:

$$\theta \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu} \cdot G_{\rho\sigma}$$

by a phase rotation of the quarks, it can be removed (due to anomalies) and put into the quark masses. The only physical parameter is

 $\theta + \operatorname{Arg} \operatorname{Det} M_a$

Violates CP and induce a large EDM for the neutron. **Experimental limits give:**

 $d_n < 2.9 \cdot 10^{-26} e \, cm$ \blacktriangleright $\theta \lesssim 10^{-10}$ Why this parameter is so small in the SM?

This is the strong CP Problem

Are we getting more symmetries in the SM if $\theta \rightarrow 0$? CP?

No, as CP is also violated by the CKM phase ($\sim O(I)$)

Peccei-Quinn axion

Promote θ to a scalar-field a(x) = axion:



The value of θ is now dynamical:

Determined by minimizing the energy

One finds:
$$\theta = 0$$

Simple argument to see that the energy minimizes for $\theta=0$

$$e^{-V_4 E(\theta)} = \int \delta[\phi] e^{-S_0 + i\theta Q}$$
$$= \left| \int \delta[\phi] e^{-S_0 + i\theta Q} \right|$$
$$\leq \int \delta[\phi] \left| e^{-S_0 + i\theta Q} \right|$$
$$= e^{-V_4 E(0)}$$



Vafa Witten '84



the QCD axion: as dark matter



Energy store in oscillations around the minimum Looks like cold dark matter Strong constraints from limits on energy looses in stars, SN,...



If a exists, the sun will loose energy by emitting it

a


CAST (IAXO) Experiment

Detecting axions coming from the sun





CAST

CAST (IAXO) Experiment

Detecting axions coming from the sun







ADMX Experiment

If axions are DM:



- Halo axions enter cavity
- Axions scatter off B field
- Resonantly convert to microwave photons
- Excess photons observed above thermal noise



Cosmic Axion Spin Precession Experiment (CASPEr)

- Oscillating DM axion induces an oscillating nuclear EDM
- This produces a precession of the nuclear spin in a nucleon spin polarized sample in a E-field



• Transverse magnetization can be searched by magnetic resonance



$$M(t) \approx np\mu E^* \epsilon_S d_n \frac{\sin\left[\left(\frac{2\mu B_{\rm ext} - m_a c^2}{\hbar}\right)t\right]}{\frac{2\mu B_{\rm ext} - m_a c^2}{\hbar}} \sin\left(2\mu B_{\rm ext}t\right)$$

The hierarchy problem

(On the origin of the Higgs potential)

$$V(h) = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^{2}h^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\lambda h^{4}$$

The only dimension-full parameter of the SM

Where would you expect the Higgs mass (μ^2) to be?











Is it a special point? More symmetrical?

Gaining symmetries in the massless limit

Dirac fermion mass: $\mathbf{m}_{\Psi} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ Chiral-symmetry recovered: $\mathbf{m}_{\Psi} \overline{\Psi}_{L} \Psi_{R} \qquad \qquad \Psi_{R} \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \Psi_{R}$

Higgs mass:	$\mu^2 \rightarrow 0$
-------------	-----------------------

No extra symmetry in the SM $\mu^2=0$ not a special point!

Problems for massless scalars:

	Massless	Massive	
Vector	2 dof	3 dof	2≠3 ✓ Massless vectors
\mathbf{A}_{μ}	(+,-)	(+,0,-)	are save
Fermion (charged)	2 dof Ψ∟	4 dof Ψ⊾,ΨR	2≠4 ✓ Massless fermions are save
Scalar	l dof	l dof	I=I Problem!



can give mass to scalars Quantum corrections to masses from heavy particles



Not the same for fermions:



"OLD" possibilities that theorists envisage to tackle the Hierarchy Problem:

I) Supersymmetry:

Making $\mu^2 \rightarrow 0$ a special point! Gaining a symmetry!

2) Composite Higgs: The Higgs is not elementary: As pions in QCD ~ qq Made of fermions!

3) Large extra dimensions: M_P not a fundamental scale! G_N small because gravity propagates in extra dimensions

In all cases New Physics at ~TeV
Best motivation for the LHC program!

Supersymmetry



Why is the EW scale "almost" zero? Is it a special point? More symmetrical? Yes, it is supersymmetric!



It exists, it is a Supersymmetry:

Simplest case:

$$\mathcal{L} = |\partial_{\mu}\Phi|^2 + i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\Psi}\partial\!\!\!/\Psi$$

-1

Invariant under:

- Ψ = Majorana fermion
- Φ = Complex scalar

$$\begin{split} \Phi &\to \Phi + \delta \Phi & \delta \Phi \to \widehat{\xi} (1 - \gamma_5) \Psi \\ \Psi &\to \Psi + \delta \Psi & \delta \Psi \to -i(1 - \gamma_5) \gamma^{\mu} \widehat{\xi} \partial_{\mu} \Phi \end{split}$$

The scalar must be massless!!

Parameter of the trans. being a Majorana fermion

Supersymmetry Algebra (Maximal extension of Poincare in a QFT) Minimal SUSY (N=I): One extra generator Q $Q|\text{Boson}\rangle = |\text{Fermion}\rangle, \qquad \qquad Q|\text{Fermion}\rangle = |\text{Boson}\rangle$ Schematic form: $[Q, M_{\mu\nu}] = Q$ $\{Q, Q^{\dagger}\} = P^{\mu},$ $\{Q, Q\} = \{Q^{\dagger}, Q^{\dagger}\} = 0,$ $[P^{\mu}, Q] = [P^{\mu}, Q^{\dagger}] = 0,$

Q commutes with P² and any generator of the gauge symmetries: The Fermion and Boson have <u>equal</u> masses and charges Minimal Supersymmetric SM (MSSM)

Imposing supersymmetry to the SM → MSSM The spectrum is doubled:

> SM fermion ➡ New scalar (s-"...") SM boson ➡ New majorana fermion (" ..."-ino)

Standard particles SUSY particles U V Η H d b d S S b g g Higgsino Higgs NT NT Z Ζ Vu Ve W W е μ τ Leptons Force particles Squarks Sleptons SUSY force Quarks particles

... but not yet realistic:

The model has a quantum anomaly (due to the Higgsino) and the down-quarks and leptons are massless

Extra Higgs needed Two Higgs doublets:

 $\begin{array}{ll} H_u: \ (1,2,1) & \rightarrow \text{ give mass to the up quarks} \\ H_d: \ (1,2,-1) & \rightarrow \text{ give mass to the down quarks} \end{array}$

and leptons

+ two Higgsino doublets:

$$\widetilde{H}_u: (1,2,1)$$
$$\widetilde{H}_d: (1,2,-1)$$

Type of interactions

Getting them from "supersymmetrization":



Up to scalar trilinear and quartics:





How supersymmetry works?



Fermion loop



Boson loop

 $\mu^{2} = + A$

$$\mu^2 = -A$$



+

But if supersymmetry is exact: $M_F = M_B \implies e.g. M_e = M_{\tilde{e}}$

It must be broken (as weak interactions) to give masses to the superpartners



How supersymmetry works? (including soft-masses)



 h^0

Fermion loop

Boson loop

GeV

$$\mu^{2} = + A \qquad \mu^{2} = -A + m_{stop}^{2} B$$

$$\mu^{2}_{total} \sim m_{stop}^{2}$$

Superpartners expected
around v ~ 246 GeV







There is a nice prediction from supersymmetry: The Higgs quartic is related to gauge couplings!

$$\mathbf{M_h^2} \leq \mathbf{M_Z^2}$$

There is a nice prediction from supersymmetry: The Higgs quartic is related to gauge couplings!

$${
m M_h^2} \leq {
m M_Z^2} + \Delta {
m m^2}$$
 $>$ susy breaking term (at one-loop)

There is a nice prediction from supersymmetry: The Higgs quartic is related to gauge couplings!



both have similar size: Non-small Susy breaking effects

Higgs mass in particular models of susy breaking:



This implies that most superpartners are beyond present LHC searches!

The MSSM in the aftermath of MH~125 GeV

- Big chunks of the parameter space are excluded
- Main simple models: GMSB, Gravity/String mediated SB, in trouble as are forced to have a high scalar susy-spectrum



Other MSSM goodies:

- Gauge coupling unification
- The lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) can be Dark matter
- Local supersymmetry must incorporate gravity:



Composite Higgs



"dead dogs don't bite":

If no elementary Higgs, μ^2 not anymore a fundamental parameter

Indeed, in QCD we see light scalars without problems of naturalness:

$$m_{\pi}, m_K, m_{a_0}, \dots << M_P$$

Reason: they are composite states at $\Lambda_{\rm QCD} << M_P$,



defined by the scale at which the strong gauge-coupling becomes large:


Furthermore,

the lightest states in QCD are the (pseudo) scalars

(spin=0 particles like the Higgs)



Pseudo-Goldstone bosons (PGB) in QCD

QCD, considering only two quarks in the massless limit,

$$\left(egin{array}{c} u_L \ d_L \end{array}
ight)$$
 , $\left(egin{array}{c} u_R \ d_R \end{array}
ight)$

has an accidental global symmetry:

$SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$

It is broken by the quark condensate: $\langle q\bar{q} \rangle \neq 0$

$SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \rightarrow SU(2)_V$ Isospin

3 Goldtones:

 π^+, π^-, π^0 Massless!!

In reality, they are not massless since quark masses break explicitly SU(2)_L x SU(2)_R giving the pions a mass: $m_{\pi}^2 \propto m_a$ Lets try the same for the Higgs Assume that there is a New Strong sector (QCD-like) at around the TeV-scale:



The Higgs, the lightest of the new strong resonances, as pions in QCD: they are Pseudo-Goldstone Bosons (PGB)



E.g.: $SO(6) \rightarrow SO(4)$ 5 Goldstones = Higgs doublet + Singlet

Example: Just take QCD (with two flavors) replace SU(3)_c by SU(2)_c



Main difference with QCD pions \rightarrow Higgs must get a VEV

The **Higgs** is a **Pseudo-Goldstone** as the global symmetry is broken due to the SM couplings:



How to unravel the composite nature of the Higgs?



How to unravel the composite nature of the Higgs?



Measuring its couplings!

The higher the energy, the better

ATLAS+CMS:



arXiv:1303.1812

No indication of such deviations!

As in QCD, many other resonances expected:



As in QCD, many other resonances expected:



Extra dimensions

In 1998 Arkani-Hamed, Dimopoulos and Dvali (ADD) proposed the following scenario:



Gauss's law in higher-dimensions:



At large distances, the strength of a force becomes smaller in higher dimensions

BUT:

 I) Only gravity could propagate in these extra dimensions (otherwise all forces will be weak)

Possible in "Brane Worlds" (String constructions):





Predictions:

- I) For d=2, we expect deviations from Newtonian gravity at distances smaller than ~ 0.1mm
- 2) String theory at the reach of the LHC

Two generic predictions:

a) The space must be I +9 dimensional

b) There are string excitations of higher-energy

3) Gravitons at the reach of the LHC

Model-independent signals from gravity at ~TeV



Model-independent signals from gravity at ~TeV



Present situation on New-Physics at the TeV

Pros

Could explain the origin of the EW scale

Cons

No new particles seen, No new flavor-violations seen, No deviations on Higgs couplings seen, No deviations on Z/W couplings seen, No WIMP detected, No EDMs seen,

Present situation on New-Physics at the TeV

Pros

Could explain the origin of the EW scale

Cons

No new particles seen, No new flavor-violations seen, No deviations on Higgs couplings seen, No deviations on Z/W couplings seen, No WIMP detected, No EDMs seen,





One will hit the center!



Our Universe is very delicate: Change the SM parameters and could be uninhabitable

> "Natural", since only we can "live" in a **Universe** with these "fine-tuned" parameters No new physics at the TeV! (new physics in another universes)



At present, the only scenario that could "explain" the present smallness of the cosmological constant!

Our Universe is very delicate: Change the SM parameters and could be uninhabitable

> "Natural", since only we can "live" in a **Universe** with these "fine-tuned" parameters No new physics at the TeV! (new physics in another universes)



Breakthrough:

An axion-like ϕ can have the following (natural) potential:

$$V(\phi, h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left(1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda} \right) h^2 + \epsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left(\frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$

P.W. Graham, D.E. Kaplan, S.Rajendran arXiv: 1504.07551





$$V(\phi,h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left(1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda}\right) h^2 + \epsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left(\frac{h}{\Lambda_c}\right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$







$$V(\phi, h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left(1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda} \right) h^2 + \epsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left(\frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$

P.W. Graham, D.E. Kaplan, S.Rajendran arXiv:1504.07551





Conclusion

Big achievement at LHC run I
 the SM has been completed

No need for anything else (at least) up to around the Planck scale



End of <u>no-lose theorems</u> for discovery at the nearby energy frontier

• We start a very different phase in particle physics:

Discovery is not anymore guaranteed!

It was the best of times, It was the worst of times,

We could discover plenty, we could discover nothing...

It was the spring of hope, It was the winter of despair **A Tale of Two Cities**

BSM scenarios from pessimistic to optimistic

Nightmare scenario

Dreamed scenario



Not much to learn in the near future proton decay?

We learn on the origin of the EW scale in the near future We learn on everything in the near future

BSM scenarios from pessimistic to optimistic

Nightmare scenario

Dreamed scenario



proton decay?