

Taller de Altas Energías (TAE 2016) 2016, 04 -17 September, Benasque, Spain



LHCb Physics



Arantza Oyanguren (IFIC – CSIC/UV)



Outline

- Introduction
- The LHCb experiment
- The CKM matrix
- B mixing and CP violation
- Rare decays of B mesons
- Pentaquarks
- Future plans

Our Standard Model of Particle Physics:



+ antiparticles

Particle Data Book (PDG):





1675 pages!!

Hadrons:

_

K*

ρ

ĸ

π

Ň

0

experiment

- width

0

input

QCD

2000

1500

000

500

0

M[MeV]



p MASS (MeV)

The problems of our Standard Model ...

- Quantum Theory of Gravity
- Inflation
- Quark/lepton generation masses: compositeness?

Substructure? Strings?

Common sub-elements quarks and leptons?

Why three families?

- Matter-Antimatter asymmetry

CPV in SM (K, B) + Big Bang ?

- Cosmological constant (dark energy ...)
- Dark matter
- Higgs & EW symmetry breaking? Forces Unification?
- Neutrinos (mass?, hierarchy?...)



Looking for New Physics...

Direct searches:





High energy

→ particles created *on-shell:* Evidence in mass plots



Looking for New Physics...

Indirect searches: **High precision** \rightarrow particles created off-Shell:¹ Evidence in quantum effects (loops) (BR's, asymmetries...) Before the Higgs Discovery... = 152 Ge\ March 2012 6 H,A 5 0.02750+0.00033 0.02749±0.00010 incl. low Q² data 4 $\Delta\chi^2$ 3 2 1 LHC LEP excluded excluded 0 100 200 40 m_н [GeV] Predicted from electroweak measurements





* ¡Oh!, Josse Goffin

• The GIM mechanism:

In 1970's Glashow, Iliopoulos and Maini describe the mechanism by which flavour-changing neutral currents (FCNCs) are suppressed, and predicted the existence of the *c* quark

• Gaillard, Lee and Rosner : $m_c \sim 1.5$ GeV from kaon mixing



$$\Delta m_{K} = \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{4\pi} m_{K} f_{K}^{2} m_{c}^{2} \cos^{2} \theta_{c} \sin^{2} \theta_{c}$$



 1974 c quark discovered
(B. Richter at SLAC and S. Ting at BNL)





7IG. 2. Mass spectrum showing the existence of J. sults from two spectrometer settings are plotted wing that the peak is independent of spectrometer rents. The run at reduced current was taken two uths later than the normal run.

• The CKM mechanism:





Example: β -decay (very well known)

$${}^{14}_{6}C \rightarrow {}^{14}_{7}N + {}^{0}_{-1}\beta + \overline{\nu_{e}}$$







- Some of them are very rare (ex: V_{ub})
- Need to change charge: FCNC not allowed at tree level, need to proceed via loop diagrams (CKM suppressed)
- If a transition occurs with larger probability than expected
 - → **New Particle** (i.e. New Physics)





In summary:

• We understand that the Standard Model cannot be the ultimate theory

It should be a low-energy effective theory of a more fundamental theory at a higher energy scale (TeV range)

Hierarchy problem: New Physics required to cancel radiative corrections to the Higgs mass but leave the electroweak predictions from the SM unaffected

• Flavour structure:

- \rightarrow provide the suppression mechanism for FCNC processes already observed. \rightarrow need to measure the flavour structure to distinguish between the NP models.
- The physics performed at LHCb (flavour physics) goes hand-in-hand with direct searches (ATLAS and CMS).

The b of LHCb...

- Heaviest quark that forms hadronic bound states (m~4.7 GeV)
- Must decay outside the 3rd family
 - All decays are CKM suppressed
 - Long lifetime (~1.6 ps)
- High mass: many accessible final states (all Br's are small)
- Dominant decay process: "tree" b→c transition
- Very suppressed "tree" b→u transition
- FCNC: "penguin" $b \rightarrow s, d$ transition
- Flavour oscillations (b→t "box" diagram)
- CP violation expect large CP asymmetries in some B decays





Dominant tree decays:





Rare hadronic decays



Radiative and leptonic decays



(1999 - 2008 / 2010)

* First measurement of CPV in the B system

- * High precision CKM matrix
- * Discovery of η_{b}

The precesors of LHCb, key in flavour physics:

The b-factories: Belle at KEK (Japan) and BaBar at PEP-II (California) Asymmetric e+e- colliders working at the Y(4S) energy.



The precesors of LHCb, key in flavour physics The Tevatron at Fermilab (Illinois): CDF and D0 pp collider working at cm of mass energy of 1.96 TeV.







TEVATRON

Superconducting pp ring Energy : 1 TeV/beam Detectors: CDF, D0 Luminosity: 10³² cm⁻²s⁻¹ Physics: W, Z,Top Production Higgs searches B physics

(1987-2011)

- * Discovery of the top quark
- * First measurement of B_s oscillations
- * Discovery of the $\Xi_{\rm b}$ baryon





The bb cross section in pp collisions is large, mainly from gluon fusion
~250 μb @ Vs=7 TeV
~500 μb @ Vs=14 TeV



The b quarks hadronize in B, B_s , $B_{(s)}^*$, b-baryons... \rightarrow average B meson momentum ~ 80 GeV

The LHCb idea: to build a single-arm forward spectrometer:
~ 4% of the solid angle (2 < η < 5),
~30% of the *b* hadron production







 Very good performance: 3 fb⁻¹ accumulated in Run1 at 7 TeV, working well for Run2 at 13TeV, expected 5 fb⁻¹

LHCb Integrated Luminosity in pp collisions 2010-2016



In terms of b-hadrons: $N=\int \mathcal{L}\sigma$

 $\rightarrow \sigma \sim 500 \ \mu b$ at 13TeV, x 30% (due to the acceptance) = 150 μb $\rightarrow b \overline{b}$ pairs produced in *1 inverse femtobarn* (N/fb⁻¹) = 10¹⁵ * 150 x 10⁻⁶

~ 1.5 x 10¹¹







What do we need?

- To reconstruct production and decay vertices
 - \rightarrow Good decay vertex resolution
 - \rightarrow Good impact parameter resolution
- To reconstruct the particle trajectory
 - \rightarrow Good momentum resolution

Vertex detector (VELO)





 \mathcal{Q} : How long will a $\Lambda_{\rm b}$ baryon be travelling in the detector before decaying? ($\beta\gamma \sim 100$)²⁴



The LHCb magnet:



Magnetic Parameters		
Bending power	B dl = 4 Tm (10 m track length)	
Non-uniformity of B dl	$\leq \pm 5\%$ in acceptance	
-	(hor.: ±300 mrad, vert.: ±250 mrad)	
Excitation current	NI = 2 x 1.3 MA	
Electric power dissipation	$P_e = 4.2 \text{ MW}$	
Stored magnetic energy	$W_m \approx 32 MJ$	
Inductance	$L \approx 2 H$	





 \rightarrow Inversion of polarity to study detector asymmetries

To recognize the type of particles
→ Good particle identification systems (PID)

Cherenkov detectors (RICH)



Calorimeters (ECAL, HCAL)



Muon chambers







- B mesons oscillates between particle and antiparticle $B^0 \rightarrow \overline{B}^0$
- We need to know the flavour of the particle at the production point

Flavour tagging





• Comparison between facilities:

	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S) \to B\bar{B}$	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow b\bar{b}X$	$pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}X$
	PEP-II, KEKB	$(\sqrt{s} = 2 \text{ Tev})$ Tevatron	$(\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ lev})$ LHC
Production cross-section	1 nb	$\sim 100\mu b$	$\sim 500\mu b$
Typical bb rate	10 Hz	$\sim 100\mathrm{kHz}$	$\sim 500\mathrm{kHz}$
Pile-up	0	1.7	0.5 - 20
b hadron mixture	B^+B^- (50%), $B^0\overline{B}^0$ (50%)	B^+ (40%), B^0 (40%), B^0_s (10%),	
		Λ_{h}^{0} (10%),	others $(< 1\%)$
b hadron boost	small ($\beta \gamma \sim 0.5$)	large ($\beta \gamma \sim 100$)	
Underlying event	BB pair alone	Many additional particles	
Production vertex	Not reconstructed	Reconstructed from many tracks	
$B^0 - \overline{B}^0$ pair production	Coherent (from $\Upsilon(4S)$ decay)	Incoherent	
Flavour tagging power	$arepsilon D^2 \sim 30\%$	$arepsilon D^2 \sim 5\%$	

: Which is the maximum momentum of the pion in the B $\rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ decay in the lab frame in BaBar at PEP-II and LHCb at LHC experiments ?

What do we measure?

Examples of observables:

- Invariant masses: from momentum and PID hypothesis of the detected particles
- Decay times: from distance between the origin and decay vertices (and using information of the particle momentum)
- Angular distributions: from directions of the decay products (momentum, vertices)
- Branching fractions: from the mass distributions, counting the number of events
- Time dependent asymmetries (needed flavour tagging!)
- Ratios of observables: cancellation of systematic uncertainties



Including experimental effects:



- One can use MC simulations to study the acceptance and resolution functions

- Better: Use control samples from data (similar to the signal channel) to extract them

The CKM matrix

The CKM matrix V_{CKM} describes rotation for quarks between the weak eigenstates (d',s',b') and mass eigenstates (d,s,b)



CP violation due to complex phases of CKM matrix elements

The CKM matrix

• The CKM matrix is complex and unitary

$$\hat{V}_{CKM}^{+}\hat{V}_{CKM}=1$$

- 4 independent parameters
 - \rightarrow Fundamental constants of the Standard Model
 - \rightarrow Must be determined from experiment
- <u>Standard parametrization (PDG):</u>

• 3 angles:
$$heta_{12}, heta_{23}, heta_{13}$$
 and 1 phase $\,\delta$

$$V_{CKM} = R_{23} \times R_{13} \times R_{12}$$

$$R_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad R_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \quad R_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$$
 $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$

The CKM matrix

- <u>Wolfenstein parameterization:</u> $s_{12} \sim 0.2$, $s_{23} \sim 0.04$, $s_{23} \sim 0.004$
- Perturbative, reflects the hierarchy of the matrix elements in terms of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

 $\lambda = \sin \theta_{12} \approx 0.23$

- The four parameters are defined as:
Wolfenstein parameterization to $O(\lambda^3)$:

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + O(\lambda^4)$$

(but next-to leading order corrections in λ may be important at LHC)

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda^4}{8} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda + A^2 \lambda^5 (\frac{1}{2} - \rho - i\eta) & 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda^4}{8} (1 + 4A^2) & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3 (1 - \overline{\rho} - i\overline{\eta}) & -A\lambda^2 + A\lambda^4 (1/2 - \rho - i\eta) & 1 - \frac{A^2 \lambda^4}{2} \end{pmatrix} + O(\lambda^6)$$

 $\left(\overline{\rho},\overline{\eta}\right) \equiv \left(1-\lambda^2/2\right)\left(\rho,\eta\right)$

• CP Violation in the Standard Model:

- Requirements for CP violation

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_t^2 - m_c^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_t^2 - m_u^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_c^2 - m_u^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} m_b^2 - m_s^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_b^2 - m_d^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_s^2 - m_d^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \times J_{CP} \neq 0$$

$$J_{CP} = \left| \operatorname{Im} \left\{ V_{i\alpha} V_{j\beta} V_{i\beta}^* V_{j\alpha}^* \right\} \right| \quad (i \neq j, \alpha \neq \beta)$$

- Jarlskog invariant:

$$J_{CP} = s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}c_{12}c_{23}c_{13}\sin\delta = \lambda^6 A^2 \eta = O(10^{-5})$$

 \rightarrow <u>CP violation is small in the Standard Model</u>

(and cannot explain the observed baryon asymmetry of the Universe)

• PDG 2014:

0.97425 ± 0.00022

superallowed $0^{+}\!\!\rightarrow\!\!0^{+}$ β decays

 0.225 ± 0.008

semileptonic charm decays charm production in neutrino beams

 0.2253 ± 0.0008

semileptonic / leptonic kaon decays hadronic tau decays

 0.986 ± 0.016

semileptonic / leptonic charm decays

 $(4.13 \pm 0.49) \times 1$

semileptonic / leptonic B decays

 $(41.1\pm1.3)\times10^{-3}$

semileptonic B decays

 $(8.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$

B_d oscillations

 $(40.0\pm2.7)\times10^{-3}$

B_s oscillations

 1.021 ± 0.032

single top production

In theory:



 \rightarrow Need theory to describe QCD effects (lattice QCD)

: How well is satisfied unitarity from the measured CKMs?



The Unitarity Triangle

The idea: try to measure as many flavour observables as possible overconstraint the unitarity triangle

Ex: Measuring the b \to u $\ell \nu$ vs the b \to c $\ell \nu$ transition



41

ō

-0.5

0

0.5

(0,0)

The Unitarity Triangle

The idea: try to measure as many flavour observables as possible **overconstraint the unitarity triangle**



- If all measurements meet in the same apex→ good understanding of the flavour structure of the SM
- If not \rightarrow New Physics



The Unitarity Triangle

<u>1995</u>



2006

- Precision measurements of CKM elements (fundamental parameters!)
- Measure all angles and sides in many different ways and look for inconsistencies → quantum effects from new particles
- Compare tree level processes (new physics is not expected) with loop processes sensitive to new particles
- With more precision the new physics scale has to be higher.

2015



- Good agreement between the experimental measurements
- Validation of Standard Model in the flavour sector
- Few discrepancies ("tensions")
- Understanding from QCD is crucial
- Still room for New Physics, need more precision!

http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr/ http://www.utfit.org/@Tfit/

• Measuring the CKM matrix element V_{ub} at LHCb:

Key constraint in the flavour picture since it is extracted from decays at tree-level (No loops \rightarrow no New Physics expected, it's a reference to be compared with)

1.5 r



Discrepancy between ways of measuring this element during years:



At LHCb very challenging due to the missing neutrino: Using semileptonic decays of b-baryons:



[Nature Physics 10 (2015) 1038]



Mixing of neutral B mesons governed by

Mass eigenstates:

$$\left|B_{L,H}\right\rangle = p\left|B^{0}\right\rangle \pm q\left|\overline{B^{0}}\right\rangle$$

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix}a\\b\end{pmatrix} = H\begin{pmatrix}a\\b\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}M_{11} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{11} & M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}\\M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^* & M_{22} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{22}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}a\\b\end{pmatrix}$$

p and q represent the amount of state mixing

$$\left|p\right|^{2} + \left|q\right|^{2} = 1$$
$$\left|q/p\right| = 1$$

$$\frac{q}{p} = \sqrt{\frac{M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^*}{M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}}}$$

$$\Delta m = m_H - m_L = 2|M_{12}|$$

$$\Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_L - \Gamma_H = 2|\Gamma_{12}|$$

$$\Delta m_d \approx 0.505 \text{ ps}$$

$$\Delta \Gamma_d \approx 0$$



48

Decay amplitudes of flavour states decaying to the same final state f

$$A_{f} = \langle f | H | B^{0} \rangle \quad \overline{A_{f}} = \langle f | H | \overline{B^{0}} \rangle$$
One can define
$$\lambda_{f} = \frac{q}{p} \frac{\overline{A_{f}}}{A_{f}}$$

$$\tau \equiv 1/\Gamma$$

$$x \equiv \Delta m/\Gamma$$
Time dependence of decay rate for initially pure flavour states:
$$y \equiv \Delta \Gamma/2\Gamma$$

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_{f} \equiv \left| \left\langle f \left| H \right| B^{0}(t) \right\rangle \right|^{2} = \frac{1 + \left| \lambda_{f} \right|^{2}}{2} \left| A_{f} \right|^{2} e^{-t/\tau} \left[\cosh y t/\tau + A_{\Delta f} \sinh y t/\tau + C_{f} \cos x t/\tau - S_{f} \sin x t/\tau \right] \\ &\overline{\Gamma}_{f} \equiv \left| \left\langle f \left| H \right| \overline{B}^{0}(t) \right\rangle \right|^{2} = \frac{1 + \left| \lambda_{f} \right|^{2}}{2} \left| \frac{p}{q} A_{f} \right|^{2} e^{-t/\tau} \left[\cosh y t/\tau + A_{\Delta f} \sinh y t/\tau - C_{f} \cos x t/\tau + S_{f} \sin x t/\tau \right] \end{split}$$

$$S_{f} \equiv \frac{2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{f}}{1 + |\lambda_{f}|^{2}} \qquad C_{f} = \frac{1 - |\lambda_{f}|^{2}}{1 + |\lambda_{f}|^{2}} \qquad A_{\Delta f}^{2} + S_{f}^{2} + C_{f}^{2} = 1$$

CP Violation $\rightarrow \Gamma_f \neq \overline{\Gamma}_f$

Three types:

- CPV in Decay: $B^0 \to f \neq \overline{B^0} \to \overline{f} \quad \left\| \frac{A_{\overline{f}}}{A_f} \right| \neq 1$
- CPV in Mixing: $B^0 \rightarrow \overline{B^0} \neq \overline{B^0} \rightarrow B^0$



 $\left|\frac{q}{d}\right| \neq 1$

$$\operatorname{Im}\left\{\Gamma_{12}^{*}M_{12}\right\}\neq 0$$

• CPV in Interference between mixing and decay:

$$\left|\lambda_{f}\right| = 1, \quad \operatorname{Im}\left\{\lambda_{f}\right\} \neq 0$$

$$A_{f}^{CP}(t) = \frac{\Gamma_{f}(t) - \overline{\Gamma}_{f}(t)}{\Gamma_{f}(t) + \overline{\Gamma}_{f}(t)} = \frac{-C_{f}\cos(\Delta mt) + S_{f}\sin(\Delta mt)}{\cosh(\Delta\Gamma t/2) + A_{\Delta f}\sinh(\Delta\Gamma t/2)}$$

$$B^{0} \qquad \lambda_{f} = \frac{q}{p} \frac{\overline{A_{f}}}{A_{f}} \qquad f$$

$$q/p \qquad \overline{B^{0}} \qquad \overline{A_{f}}$$



Count number of tagged signal events reconstructed as function of time



52





$$A_{mix}(t)\frac{N^{unmix}(t) - N^{mix}(t)}{N^{unmix}(t) + N^{mix}(t)} = \cos \Delta mt$$

with experimental effects:

 \overline{b}

đ

 B^0

$$=\{(1-2\omega)\times\cos\Delta m\Delta t\}\otimes R(\Delta t)$$





 \mathcal{Q} : Could you infer the top mass from the measured Δm_d ?



Rare decays:

- Processes very suppressed, go through loop diagrams
- Branching fractions $10^{-5} 10^{-10}$
- Highly sensitive to New Physics: if one finds more events than expected → new particles in the loop
- If leptons or photons in the final states, very clear experimental signatures





Candidates / (50 MeV/ c^2)

One of the most relevant channels:



- \rightarrow FCNC + helicity supressed \rightarrow Very Rare decay:
- → <u>Standard Model prediction:</u> [PRL 112 101801 (2014)]

$$\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to \mu\mu) = (3.65 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-9}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu\mu) = (1.06 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-10}$$

- \rightarrow Enhanced by New Physics models (e.g. SUSY ~ tg⁶ β /m⁴_A)
- → First evidence by LHCb in 2012! [LHCb, PRL110 (2013)021801] (2fb⁻¹)







Probing the spin structure of the photon: $B_s \rightarrow \phi \gamma$

- In the Standard Model photons emitted in $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ transitions are left-handed polarized

- New particles in the loop could add right-handed contributions



- The polarization of the photon can be inferred from the time evolution of the B_s decay

$$\Gamma_{B_{s}^{0}}(t) = |A|^{2} e^{-\Gamma_{s}t} \left(\cosh \frac{\Delta\Gamma_{s}t}{2} - \mathcal{A}^{\Delta} \sinh \frac{\Delta\Gamma_{s}t}{2}\right)$$

Related to the ratio of right to left handed amplitudes

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm SM}^{\Delta} = 0.047 \,{}^{+\,0.029}_{-\,0.025} \tag{61}$$

This sensitivity comes from the effect of the mixing of $B_s \rightarrow \phi \gamma$ and $\overline{B}_s \rightarrow \phi \gamma$ with $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$

Use a control channel with no sensitivity to the photon polarization (ex: a flavor specific channel $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$, with $K^* \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$)





 H^{\pm}

W

b t q

 Z^0

SM

NP

Some tensions / anomalies with the SM:

• **P'**₅: an angular observable in the $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay channel





• $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$: in the SM all leptons are expected to behave in the same way. For instance,







R_{D*}: Other test with leptons, theory precise (V_{cb} and form factors cancel)



[PRL 115 (2015) 111803]

The Quark Model

Pentaquarks

Meson multiplets:



Baryon multiplets:





Decuplet (spin=3/2)



• There are several possibilities for combining quarks with color into colorless hadrons, as predicted from the origin of the Quark Model [M. Gell-Mann, PL8 (1964) 214]



Several of these states have been announced since 1970, but have disappeared with time and new data analysis...



$\Lambda_b{}^0 \rightarrow J/\psi p K^-$ candidate

1



vent 251784647 un 125013 hu, 09 Aug 2012 05:53:58



Considering a pentaquark P_c^+ , and two interfering processes:



5 angles: fit $\theta_{\Lambda b}$, ϕ_{K} , $\theta_{J/\psi}$, θ_{Λ^*} , ϕ_{μ} distributions

Angular analysis:



Fit in different Λ^* regions:





PRL 115, 072001

State	Mass (MeV)	Width (MeV)	JP
P _c (4380)+	4380 ± 8 ± 29	205 ± 18 ± 86	3/2-
P _c (4450)+	4449.8 ± 1.7 ± 2.5	39 ± 5 ± 19	5/2+
<u>Pentaquarks</u>

Is it a resonance? (change phase over the mass distribution?)



250 citations!, many models trying to explain it:



Future plans

The LHCb Upgrade:

- At present we did not find evidence for New Phyiscs, but a few anomalies: Standard Model deviations are expected to be small
- Most of the measurements are limited by the statisticall precision
- ▶ Increase the luminosity from 4x10³² up to 2×10³³cm⁻²s⁻¹
- Fully flexible & efficient software trigger up to 40 MHz input
- Record 20 –100 kHz data
- Upgrade VELO and Tracker (adapt to higher occupancy and radiation load)

	LHC era		High-lumi LHC era		
	2010-2012	2015-2018	2020-2022	2025-2028	2030+
ATLAS & CMS	25 fb ⁻¹	100 fb ⁻¹	300 fb ⁻¹	\rightarrow	3000 fb ⁻¹
LHCb	3 fb ⁻¹	8 fb ⁻¹	23 fb ⁻¹	46 fb ⁻¹	100 fb ⁻¹
Belle II		0.5 ab ⁻¹	25 ab ⁻¹	50 ab⁻¹	-

```
Remember that we have 10^{11} bb pairs/fb !
(At Belle II: 10^9 BB pairs/ab)
```

Future plans

LHCb



ATLAS & CMS