

Existence of weak solutions of doubly nonlinear parabolic equations

Stefan Sturm*

*Fachbereich Mathematik
Paris-Lodron-Universität Salzburg, Austria

“Partial Differential Equations, Optimal Design and Numerics”
Centro de Ciencias de Benasque Pedro Pascual
2015, September 01

Special cases of the equation

- Prototype for the equations under consideration
($E_T := E \times (0, T)$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, $p > \frac{2n}{n+2}$, $m > 1$):

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (|u|^{m-1} |Du|^{p-2} Du) = f \quad \text{in } E_T$$

Special cases of the equation

- Prototype for the equations under consideration
($E_T := E \times (0, T)$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, $p > \frac{2n}{n+2}$, $m > 1$):

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (|u|^{m-1} |Du|^{p-2} Du) = f \quad \text{in } E_T$$

- Special cases:

$$p = 2: \quad \partial_t u - \Delta u^m = f \quad (\text{porous medium equation})$$

$$m = 1: \quad \partial_t u - \Delta_p u = f \quad (p\text{-Laplace equation})$$

$$p = 2, m = 1: \quad \partial_t u - \Delta u = f \quad (\text{heat equation})$$

Special cases of the equation

- Prototype for the equations under consideration
($E_T := E \times (0, T)$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, $p > \frac{2n}{n+2}$, $m > 1$):

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (|u|^{m-1} |Du|^{p-2} Du) = f \quad \text{in } E_T$$

- Special cases:

$$p = 2: \quad \partial_t u - \Delta u^m = f \quad (\text{porous medium equation})$$

$$m = 1: \quad \partial_t u - \Delta_p u = f \quad (p\text{-Laplace equation})$$

$$p = 2, m = 1: \quad \partial_t u - \Delta u = f \quad (\text{heat equation})$$

- Classification:

	$0 < m < 1$	$m > 1$
$1 < p < 2$	doubly singular	singular-degenerate
$p > 2$	degenerate-singular	doubly degenerate

Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

- Model equation ($p > \frac{2n}{n+2}$, $m > 1$):

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (|u|^{m-1} |Du|^{p-2} Du) = f \quad \text{in } E_T,$$

where $f \geq 0$, $f \in L^\gamma(E_T)$; γ as small as possible?

- General Cauchy-Dirichlet problem in operator notation:

$$(CP) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du)) = f & \text{in } E_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{\text{par}} E_T, \end{cases}$$

where the vector-field \mathbf{A} satisfies the following conditions:

Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

- Model equation ($p > \frac{2n}{n+2}$, $m > 1$):

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (|u|^{m-1} |Du|^{p-2} Du) = f \quad \text{in } E_T,$$

where $f \geq 0$, $f \in L^\gamma(E_T)$; γ as small as possible?

- General Cauchy-Dirichlet problem in operator notation:

$$(CP) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du)) = f & \text{in } E_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{\text{par}} E_T, \end{cases}$$

where the vector-field \mathbf{A} satisfies the following conditions:

- $\mathbf{A}: E_T \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ meas. in (x, t) & cont. in (u, ξ)

Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

- Model equation ($p > \frac{2n}{n+2}$, $m > 1$):

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (|u|^{m-1} |Du|^{p-2} Du) = f \quad \text{in } E_T,$$

where $f \geq 0$, $f \in L^\gamma(E_T)$; γ as small as possible?

- General Cauchy-Dirichlet problem in operator notation:

$$(\text{CP}) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du)) = f & \text{in } E_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{\text{par}} E_T, \end{cases}$$

where the vector-field \mathbf{A} satisfies the following conditions:

- $\mathbf{A}: E_T \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ meas. in (x, t) & cont. in (u, ξ)
- $\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq C_0 |u|^{m-1} |\xi|^p$ for a. e. $(x, t) \forall u, \xi$; $C_0 > 0$

Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

- Model equation ($p > \frac{2n}{n+2}$, $m > 1$):

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (|u|^{m-1} |Du|^{p-2} Du) = f \quad \text{in } E_T,$$

where $f \geq 0$, $f \in L^\gamma(E_T)$; γ as small as possible?

- General Cauchy-Dirichlet problem in operator notation:

$$(\text{CP}) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du)) = f & \text{in } E_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{\text{par}} E_T, \end{cases}$$

where the vector-field \mathbf{A} satisfies the following conditions:

- $\mathbf{A}: E_T \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ meas. in (x, t) & cont. in (u, ξ)
- $\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq C_0 |u|^{m-1} |\xi|^p$ for a. e. $(x, t) \forall u, \xi$; $C_0 > 0$
- $|\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, \xi)| \leq C_1 |u|^{m-1} |\xi|^{p-1}$ for a. e. $(x, t) \forall u, \xi$; $C_1 > 0$

Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

- Model equation ($p > \frac{2n}{n+2}$, $m > 1$):

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (|u|^{m-1} |Du|^{p-2} Du) = f \quad \text{in } E_T,$$

where $f \geq 0$, $f \in L^\gamma(E_T)$; γ as small as possible?

- General Cauchy-Dirichlet problem in operator notation:

$$(\text{CP}) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du)) = f & \text{in } E_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{\text{par}} E_T, \end{cases}$$

where the vector-field \mathbf{A} satisfies the following conditions:

- $\mathbf{A}: E_T \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ meas. in (x, t) & cont. in (u, ξ)
- $\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq C_0 |u|^{m-1} |\xi|^p$ for a. e. $(x, t) \forall u, \xi$; $C_0 > 0$
- $|\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, \xi)| \leq C_1 |u|^{m-1} |\xi|^{p-1}$ for a. e. $(x, t) \forall u, \xi$; $C_1 > 0$
- certain monotonicity and Lipschitz conditions for \mathbf{A}

Some previous results for DNPE

- Hölder regularity for bounded weak solutions (Ivanov, Porzio/Vespri)
- Harnack type inequalities for bounded weak solutions (Kinnunen/Kuusi, Vespri)
- Results regarding the asymptotic behavior of weak solutions (Manfredi/Vespri, Savaré/Vespri, Tedeev/Vespri)
- Local boundedness of the gradient for locally bounded, strictly positive weak solutions (Siljander)
- Uniqueness of bounded weak sol. “having some appr. scheme” (Ivanov)
- Existence of **bounded** weak solutions **with $f \in L^\gamma(E_T), \gamma = \infty$** (Ivanov)

Definition of a weak solution

Definition

A non-negative function $u: E_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $u = 0$ on $\partial_{\text{par}} E_T$, $u \in C^0([0, T]; L^2(E))$ and $u^{\alpha+1} \in L^p((0, T); W^{1,p}(E))$ is termed a weak solution of (CP) if and only if the identity

$$\iint_{E_T} [-u \partial_t \varphi + \mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du) \cdot D\varphi] dz = \iint_{E_T} f \varphi dz$$

holds true for any testing function $\varphi \in C_0^1(E_T)$.

- $\alpha := \frac{m-1}{p}$ is one of two common exponents in the definition of a solution in the context of the porous medium equation (alternative: $\beta := \frac{m-1}{p-1}$). The usage of α in our definition admits a smaller value for γ !

Existence of a weak solution: The statement

Theorem

Let $f \in L^\gamma(E_T, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ for $\gamma := 1 + \frac{n}{n(p+m-2)+2p}$ and assume that the previous structure conditions for \mathbf{A} hold. Then, there exists at least one weak solution of (CP).

Existence of a weak solution: The statement

Theorem

Let $f \in L^\gamma(E_T, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ for $\gamma := 1 + \frac{n}{n(p+m-2)+2p}$ and assume that the previous structure conditions for \mathbf{A} hold. Then, there exists at least one weak solution of (CP).

- Our exponent is natural in the sense that it coincides for $p = 2$ with an earlier result for the porous medium equation by Bögelein, Duzaar, Gianazza: $\gamma = 1 + \frac{n}{nm+4}$.

Existence of a weak solution: The statement

Theorem

Let $f \in L^\gamma(E_T, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ for $\gamma := 1 + \frac{n}{n(p+m-2)+2p}$ and assume that the previous structure conditions for \mathbf{A} hold. Then, there exists at least one weak solution of (CP).

- Our exponent is natural in the sense that it coincides for $p = 2$ with an earlier result for the porous medium equation by Bögelein, Duzaar, Gianazza: $\gamma = 1 + \frac{n}{nm+4}$.
- For $m = 1$ (i. e. the case of the p -Laplacian equation), it coincides with the Hölder conjugate of $p \frac{n+2}{n}$.

Basic ideas of the proof

1) Regularization of (CP)

Let $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^\infty(E_T)$ be such that $f_k \nearrow f$ in $L^\gamma(E_T)$ and define the truncation operators $S_j^{(N)}(u) := \min\{\max\{u, \frac{1}{j}\}, N\}$ for $j, N \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the following regularized Cauchy-Dirichlet problems

$$(RCP) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u_{j,k}^{(N)} - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(x, t, S_j^{(N)}(u_{j,k}^{(N)}), Du_{j,k}^{(N)})) = f_k & \text{in } E_T, \\ u_{j,k}^{(N)} = \frac{1}{j} & \text{on } \partial_{\text{par}} E_T. \end{cases}$$

Then, thanks to the truncation, the usual existence theorem for p -Laplacian equations from Lions (or Ladyženskaja/Solonnikov/Ural'ceva) is applicable and admits a solution

$$u_{j,k}^{(N)} \in C^0([0, T]; L^2(E)) \cap L^p((0, T); W^{1,p}(E)).$$

Basic ideas of the proof

2) Properties of $u_{j,k}^{(N)}$: Part I

- $\sup_{E_T} u_{j,k}^{(N)} \leq C^*$, where $C^* \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (via the Moser iteration method).
- $\inf_{E_T} u_{j,k}^{(N)} \geq \frac{1}{j}$ (via a comparison principle).

Hence, by choosing $N > C^*$, we can rewrite (RCP) in the following way:

$$(RCP) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u_{j,k} - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(x, t, u_{j,k}, Du_{j,k})) = f_k & \text{in } E_T, \\ u_{j,k} = \frac{1}{j} & \text{on } \partial_{\text{par}} E_T. \end{cases}$$

Basic ideas of the proof

2) Properties of $u_{j,k}$: Part II (remember: $\alpha = \frac{m-1}{p}$)

- $u_{j,k}$ is in particular a weak solution since $|Du_{j,k}^{\alpha+1}| = cu_{j,k}^\alpha |Du_{j,k}| \leq c|Du_{j,k}| \in L^p(E_T)$.
- Energy estimate:

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T)} \int_{E \times \{t\}} u_{j,k}^2 dx + \iint_{E_T} |Du_{j,k}^{\alpha+1}|^p dz \leq c,$$

uniformly in j, k . (Here, the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality determines the value of γ !)

Basic ideas of the proof

3) The limiting process

Due to the uniform energy estimate, there exist a (non-relabeled) subsequence $(u_{j,k})_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and functions $u \in L^2(E_T)$ and $v \in L^p(E_T, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

- $u_{j,k} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $L^2(E_T)$ as $(j, k) \rightarrow \infty$,
- $Du_{j,k}^{\alpha+1} \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $L^p(E_T, \mathbb{R}^n)$ as $(j, k) \rightarrow \infty$.

It has to be elucidated that v is indeed the weak derivative of $u^{\alpha+1}$.

Basic ideas of the proof

4) Passage to the limit in the equation

Since $u_{j,k}$ is a weak solution of (RCP), we know

$$-\iint_{E_T} u_{j,k} \partial_t \varphi \, dz + \iint_{E_T} \mathbf{A}(x, t, u_{j,k}, Du_{j,k}) \cdot D\varphi \, dz = \iint_{E_T} f_k \varphi \, dz,$$

where the first and third integrals converge due to the convergence properties of $u_{j,k}$ and f_k . The convergence of the diffusion term follows from the convergence

$$Du_{j,k}^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow Du^{\alpha+1} \quad \text{a. e. as } j, k \rightarrow \infty,$$

whose proof is quite intricate.

Next aim: Existence of solutions for measure data problem

- Previous Cauchy-Dirichlet problem:

$$(CP) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du)) = f & \text{in } E_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{\text{par}} E_T \end{cases}$$

with $f \geq 0$, $f \in L^\gamma(E_T)$.

Next aim: Existence of solutions for measure data problem

- Previous Cauchy-Dirichlet problem:

$$(CP) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du)) = f & \text{in } E_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{\text{par}} E_T \end{cases}$$

with $f \geq 0$, $f \in L^\gamma(E_T)$.

- Target: replace f by a non-negative Radon measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(E_T)$ and prove the existence of a very weak solution for the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$(MDP) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du)) = \mu & \text{in } E_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{\text{par}} E_T. \end{cases}$$

Thank you for your attention!