Ab initio nonadiabatic molecular dynamics TDDFT for ultrafast electronic dynamics

Ivano Tavernelli and Basile Curchod

LCBC EPFL, Lausanne

TDDFT SCHOOL BENASQUE 2014



Outline

Ab initio molecular dynamics
 Why Quantum Dynamics?

2 Mixed quantum-classical dynamics

- Ehrenfest dynamics
- Adiabatic Born-Oppenheimer dynamics
- Nonadiabatic Bohmian dynamics
- Trajectory Surface Hopping

3 TDDFT-based trajectory surface hopping

- Nonadiabatic couplings in TDDFT
- 4 TDDFT-TSH: Applications
 - Photodissociation of Oxirane
 - Oxirane Crossing between S_1 and S_0

5 TSH with external time-dependent fields

Outline

Recent review on TDDFT-based nonadiabatic dynamics



ChemPhysChem, 14, 1314 (2013)

Ab initio molecular dynamicsWhy Quantum Dynamics?

Mixed quantum-classical dynamic

Ehrente, dynamics

• Trajec.

Adiabatic E on-Oppenheimer dynamics
 Adiabatic E onmian dynamics

TDDFT based trajectory surface hopping
 Non-clabatic combing and TDDFT

TDDFT-TSH: Applications

ane - Crossing between S_1 and S_2

with external time-dependent fields

Reminder from last lecture: potential energy surfaces



We have electronic structure methods for electronic ground and excited states... Now, we need to propagate the nuclei...

Reminder from last lecture: potential energy surfaces



We have electronic structure methods for electronic ground and excited states... Now, we need to propagate the nuclei...

Why Quantum dynamics?





GS adiabatic dynamics (BO vs. CP)

BO
$$M_l \ddot{\mathbf{R}}_l(t) = -\nabla \min_{\rho} E_{KS}(\{\phi_i[\rho]\})$$

CP $\mu_i |\ddot{\phi}_i(t)\rangle = -\frac{\delta}{\delta\langle\phi_i|} E_{KS}(\{\phi_i(\mathbf{r})\}) + \frac{\delta}{\delta\langle\phi_i|} \{\text{constr.}\}$
 $M_l \ddot{\mathbf{R}}_l(t) = -\nabla E_{KS}(\{\phi_i(t)\})$

ES nonadiabatic quantum dynamics

- Wavepacket dynamics (MCTDH)
- Trajectory-based approaches
 - Tully's trajectory surface hopping (TSH)
 - Bohmian dynamics (quantum hydrodyn.)
 - Semiclassical (WKB, DR)
 - Path integrals (Pechukas)
 - Mean-field solution (Ehrenfest dynamics)
- Density matrix, Liouvillian approaches, ...

Why Quantum dynamics?



GS adiabatic dynamics



Ab initio MD with WF methods Ab initio MD with DFT & TDDFT [CP] classical MD Coarse-grained MD

No principles World

ES nonadiabatic quantum dynamics





Why Quantum dynamics?



GS adiabatic dynamics

First principles Heaven

Ab initio MD with WF methods Ab initio MD with DFT & TDDFT [CP] classical MD Coarse-grained MD

No principles World



ES nonadiabatic quantum dynamics

- (-) We cannot get read of electrons
- (-) Nuclei keep some QM flavor
- (-) Accuracy is an issue
- (-) Size can be large (diffuse excitons)
- (+) Time scales are usually short (< ps)

Nonadiabatic effects requires quantum nuclear dynamics

The nuclear dynamics cannot be described by a single classical trajectory (like in the ground state -adiabatically separated- case)



Why trajectory-based approaches?

- W1 In "conventional" nuclear wavepacket propagation potential energy surfaces are needed.
- W2 Difficulty to obtain and fit potential energy surfaces for large molecules.
- W3 Nuclear wavepacket dynamics is very expensive for large systems (6 degrees of freedom, 30 for MCTDH). Bad scaling.
- T1 Trajectory based approaches can be run *on-the-fly* (no need to parametrize potential energy surfaces).
- T2 Can handle large molecules in the full (unconstraint) configuration space.
- T3 They offer a good compromise between accuracy and computational effort.



Starting point

The starting point is the molecular time-dependent Schrödinger equation :

$$\hat{H}\Psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t)$$

where \hat{H} is the molecular time-independent Hamiltonian and $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)$ the total wavefunction (nuclear + electronic) of our system.

In mixed quantum-classical dynamics the nuclear dynamics is described by a swarm of classical trajectories (taking a "partial" limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ for the nuclear wf).

In this lecture we will discuss two main approximate solutions based on the following Ansätze for the total wavefucntion

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t) \xrightarrow[\text{Huang}]{\text{Huang}} \sum_{j}^{\infty} \Phi_{j}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \Omega_{j}(\mathbf{R}, t)$$
$$(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t) \xrightarrow[\text{Ehrenfest}]{\text{Ehrenfest}} \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \Omega(\mathbf{R}, t) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{el}(t') dt'\right]$$

١IJ

Tarjectory-based quantum and mixed QM-CL solutions

We can "derive" the following trajectory-based solutions:

- Nonadiabatic Ehrenfest dynamics dynamics
 - I. Tavernelli et al., Mol. Phys., 103, 963981 (2005).

• Adiabatic Born-Oppenheimer MD equations

Nonadiabatic Bohmian Dynamics (NABDY)
 B. Curchod, IT, U. Rothlisberger, PCCP, 13, 32313236 (2011)

 Nonadiabatic Trajectory Surface Hopping (TSH) dynamics [ROKS: N. L. Doltsinis, D. Marx, *PRL*, 88, 166402 (2002)]
 C. F. Craig, W. R. Duncan, and O. V. Prezhdo, *PRL*, 95, 163001 (2005)
 E. Tapavicza, I. Tavernelli, U. Rothlisberger, *PRL*, 98, 023001 (2007)

Time dependent potential energy surface approach based on the exact decomposition: Ψ(**r**, **R**, t) = Ω(**R**, t)Φ(**r**, t).
 A. Abedi, N. T. Maitra, E. K. U. Gross, *PRL*, **105**, 123002 (2010)

Ehrenfest dynamics

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t) \xrightarrow{\text{Ehrenfest}} \Phi(\mathbf{r},t)\Omega(\mathbf{R},t) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_{t_0}^t E_{el}(t')dt'\right]$$

Inserting this representation of the total wavefunction into the molecular td Schrödinger equation and multiplying from the left-hand side by $\Omega^*(\mathbf{R}, t)$ and integrating over \mathbf{R} we get

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Phi(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e}\sum_{i}\nabla_i^2\Phi(\mathbf{r},t) + \left[\int\!d\mathbf{R}\ \Omega^*(\mathbf{R},t)\hat{V}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R})\Omega(\mathbf{R},t)\right]\Phi(\mathbf{r},t)$$

where $\hat{V}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i < j} \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} - \sum_{\gamma, i} \frac{e^2 Z_{\gamma}}{|\mathbf{R}_{\gamma} - \mathbf{r}_i|}$. In a similar way, multiplying by $\Phi^*(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and integrating over \mathbf{r} we obtain

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Omega(\mathbf{R},t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\sum_{\gamma}M_{\gamma}^{-1}\nabla_{\gamma}^2\Omega(\mathbf{R},t) + \left[\int d\mathbf{r} \ \Phi^*(\mathbf{r},t)\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}\Phi(\mathbf{r},t)\right]\Omega(\mathbf{R},t)$$

Conservation of energy has also to be imposed through the condition that $d\langle \hat{H} \rangle/dt \equiv 0$. Note that both the electronic and nuclear parts evolve according to an average potential generated by the other component (in square brakets). These average potentials are time-dependent and are responsible for the feedback interaction between the electronic and nuclear components.

We start from the polar representation of the nuclear wavefunction

$$\Omega(\mathbf{R},t) = A(\mathbf{R},t) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}S(\mathbf{R},t)\right]$$

where the amplitude $A(\mathbf{R}, t)$ and the phase $S(\mathbf{R}, t)/\hbar$ are real functions. Inserting this representation for $\Omega(\mathbf{R}, t)$ and separating the real and the imaginary parts one gets for the phase S in the classical limit $\hbar \to 0$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma} M_{\gamma}^{-1} (\nabla_{\gamma} S)^{2} - \left[\int d\mathbf{r} \, \Phi^{*}(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \right]$$

This has the form of the "Hamilton-Jacobi" (HJ) equation of classical mechanics, which establishes a relation between the partial differential equation for $S(\mathbf{R}, t)$ in configuration space and the trajectories of the corresponding (quantum) mechanical systems.

$$rac{\partial S}{\partial t} = -rac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma} M_{\gamma}^{-1} ig(
abla_{\gamma} S ig)^2 - ig[\int\!\!d{f r} \; \Phi^*({f r},t) \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{e\prime}({f r},{f R}) \Phi({f r},t) ig]$$



Instead of solving the field equation for $S(\mathbf{R}, t)$, find the equation of motion for the corresponding trajectories (*characteristics*).

The identification of $S(\mathbf{R}, t)$ with the "classical" action, defines a point-particle dynamics with Hamiltonian, H_{cl} and momenta

$$\mathbf{P} = \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} S(\mathbf{R}).$$

The solutions of this Hamiltonian system are curves (*characteristics*) in the (\mathbf{R}, t) -space, which are extrema of the action $S(\mathbf{R}, t)$ for given initial conditions $\mathbf{R}(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{P}(t_0) = \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} S(\mathbf{R})|_{\mathbf{R}(t_0)}$.

Newton-like equation for the nuclear trajectories corresponding to the HJ equation

$$rac{d\mathbf{P}_{\gamma}}{dt} = -
abla_{\gamma} \left[\int d\mathbf{r} \,\, \Phi^*(\mathbf{r},t) \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}) \Phi(\mathbf{r},t)
ight]$$

Ehrenfest dynamics

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, t)}{\partial t} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \Phi(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, t)$$
$$M_{l} \ddot{\mathbf{R}}_{l} = -\nabla_{l} \langle \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \rangle$$

The identification of $S(\mathbf{R}, t)$ with the "classical" action, defines a point-particle dynamics with Hamiltonian, H_{cl} and momenta

 $\mathbf{P} = \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} S(\mathbf{R}).$

The solutions of this Hamiltonian system are curves (*characteristics*) in the (\mathbf{R}, t) -space, which are extrema of the action $S(\mathbf{R}, t)$ for given initial conditions $\mathbf{R}(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{P}(t_0) = \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} S(\mathbf{R})|_{\mathbf{R}(t_0)}$.

Newton-like equation for the nuclear trajectories corresponding to the HJ equation

$$rac{d \mathbf{P}_{\gamma}}{dt} = -
abla_{\gamma} \left[\int d\mathbf{r} \, \, \Phi^*(\mathbf{r},t) \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}) \Phi(\mathbf{r},t)
ight]$$

Ehrenfest dynamics - Densityfunctionalization (ϕ_k : KS orbitals)

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_k(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\frac{1}{2m_e} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2 \phi_k(\mathbf{r}, t) + v_{\text{eff}}[\rho, \Phi_0](\mathbf{r}, t) \phi_k(\mathbf{r}, t)$$
$$M_I \ddot{\mathbf{R}}_I = -\nabla_I E[\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)]$$

Ehrenfest dynamics - Example

Ehrenfest dynamics

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_k(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\frac{1}{2m_e} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2 \phi_k(\mathbf{r}, t) + v_{\text{eff}}[\rho, \Phi_0](\mathbf{r}, t) \phi_k(\mathbf{r}, t)$$
$$M_I \ddot{\mathbf{R}}_I = -\nabla_I \langle \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \rangle$$



$$\left[
ho(\mathbf{r},t)-
ho_0^{(ext{no C}^{4+})}(\mathbf{r})
ight]$$

Ab initio nonadiabatic molecular dynamics

Ehrenfest dynamics and mixing of electronic states

Ehrenfest dynamics

$$\hbar \frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, t)}{\partial t} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \Phi(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, t)$$

 $M_l \ddot{\mathbf{R}}_l = -\nabla_l \langle \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \rangle$

Consider the following expansion of $\Phi(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, t)$ in the *static* basis of electronic wavefuctions $\{\Phi_k(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})\}$

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R},t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(t) \Phi_k(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R})$$

The time-dependency is now on the set of coefficients $\{c_k(t)\}$ $(|c_k(t)|^2$ is the population of state k). Inserting in the Ehrenfest's equations...

Ehrenfest dynamics and mixing of electronic states

Ehrenfest dynamics

$$egin{aligned} &\hbar\dot{c}_k(t)=c_k(t)E_k^{el}-i\hbar\sum_j c_j(t)D_{kj}\ &M_l\ddot{\mathbf{R}}_l=-
abla_l\sum_{k=0}^\infty |c_k(t)|^2E_k^{el} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_{kj} = \langle \Phi_k | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \Phi_j \rangle = \langle \Phi_k | \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{R}} | \Phi_j \rangle = \dot{\mathbf{R}} \langle \Phi_k | \nabla | \Phi_j \rangle = \dot{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{kj}$$

Thus we incorporate directly nonadiabatic effects.

Ehrenfest dynamics: the mean-field potential



$$ar{h}\dot{c}_k(t) = c_k(t)E_k^{el} - i\hbar\sum_j c_j(t)D_{kj}$$
 $M_I\ddot{\mathbf{R}}_I = -
abla_I\sum_{k=0}^\infty |c_k(t)|^2 E_k^{el}$

Ab initio nonadiabatic molecular dynamics

Tarjectory-based quantum and mixed QM-CL solutions

We can "derive" the following trajectory-based solutions:

- Nonadiabatic Ehrenfest dynamics dynamics
 - I. Tavernelli et al., Mol. Phys., 103, 963981 (2005).
- Adiabatic Born-Oppenheimer MD equations
- Nonadiabatic Bohmian Dynamics (NABDY)
 B. Curchod, IT, U. Rothlisberger, PCCP, 13, 32313236 (2011)
- Nonadiabatic Trajectory Surface Hopping (TSH) dynamics [ROKS: N. L. Doltsinis, D. Marx, *PRL*, 88, 166402 (2002)]
 C. F. Craig, W. R. Duncan, and O. V. Prezhdo, *PRL*, 95, 163001 (2005)
 E. Tapavicza, I. Tavernelli, U. Rothlisberger, *PRL*, 98, 023001 (2007)
- Time dependent potential energy surface approach based on the exact decomposition: Ψ(**r**, **R**, t) = Ω(**R**, t)Φ(**r**, t).
 A. Abedi, N. T. Maitra, E. K. U. Gross, *PRL*, **105**, 123002 (2010)

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t) \xrightarrow{\text{Born-}}_{\text{Huang}} \sum_{j}^{\infty} \Phi_{j}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \Omega_{j}(\mathbf{R}, t)$$

In this equation, $\{\Phi_j(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})\}$ describes a complete basis of electronic states solution of the time-independent Schrödinger equation:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R})\Phi_{j}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R})=E_{el,j}(\mathbf{R})\Phi_{j}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R})$$

R is taken as a parameter. Eigenfunctions of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})$ are considered to be orthonormal, i.e. $\langle \Phi_j | \Phi_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t) \xrightarrow[\text{Huang}]{\text{Huang}} \sum_{j}^{\infty} \Phi_{j}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R})\Omega_{j}(\mathbf{R},t)$$

Electrons are *static*. Use your favorite el. str. method.

For the nuclei, insert this *Ansatz* into the molecular time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{H}\Psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t)=i\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t)$$

After left multiplication by $\Phi_k^*(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})$ and integration over \mathbf{r} , we obtain the following equation (we used $\langle \Phi_j | \Phi_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$):

$$\left[-\sum_{I}\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2M_{I}}\nabla_{I}^{2}+E_{el,k}(\mathbf{R})\right]\Omega_{k}(\mathbf{R},t)+\sum_{j}^{\infty}D_{kj}\Omega_{j}(\mathbf{R},t)=i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Omega_{k}(\mathbf{R},t)$$

$$\left[-\sum_{I}\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2M_{I}}\nabla_{I}^{2}+E_{el,k}(\mathbf{R})\right]\Omega_{k}(\mathbf{R},t)+\sum_{j}D_{kj}\Omega_{j}(\mathbf{R},t)=i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Omega_{k}(\mathbf{R},t)$$

- Equation for the nuclear "wavepacket", $\Omega(\mathbf{R}, t)$, dynamics.
- $E_{el,k}(\mathbf{R})$ represents a potential energy surface for the nuclei.

Important additional term : D_{kj} ! NONADIABATIC COUPLING TERMS

$$D_{kj} = \int \Phi_k^*(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \left[\sum_I \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_I} \nabla_I^2 \right] \Phi_j(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{r} + \sum_I \frac{1}{M_I} \left\{ \int \Phi_k^*(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \left[-i\hbar \nabla_I \right] \Phi_j(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{r} \right\} \left[-i\hbar \nabla_I \right]$$

$$D_{kj} = \int \Phi_k^*(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \left[\sum_I \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_I} \nabla_I^2 \right] \Phi_j(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{r} + \sum_I \frac{1}{M_I} \left\{ \int \Phi_k^*(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \left[-i\hbar \nabla_I \right] \Phi_j(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{r} \right\} \left[-i\hbar \nabla_I \right]$$

If we neglect all the D_{kj} terms (diagonal and off-diagonal), we have the Born-Oppenheimer approximation.

$$\left[-\sum_{I}\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2M_{I}}\nabla_{I}^{2}+E_{el,k}(\mathbf{R})\right]\Omega_{k}(\mathbf{R},t)=i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Omega_{k}(\mathbf{R},t)$$

Mainly for ground state dynamics or for dynamics on states that do not couple with others.

(Back to nonadiabatic dynamics later).

$$\left[-\sum_{I}\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2M_{I}}\nabla_{I}^{2}+E_{el,k}(\mathbf{R})\right]\Omega_{k}(\mathbf{R},t)=i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Omega_{k}(\mathbf{R},t)$$

Using a polar expansion for $\Omega_k(\mathbf{R}, t)$, we may find a way to obtain classical equation of motions for the nuclei.

$$\Omega_k(\mathbf{R},t) = A_k(\mathbf{R},t) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}S_k(\mathbf{R},t)\right].$$

 $A_k(\mathbf{R}, t)$ represents an amplitude and $S_k(\mathbf{R}, t)/\hbar$ a phase.

Further: insert the polar representation into the equation above, do some algebra, and separate real and imaginary part, we obtain an interesting set of equations:

$$\frac{\partial S_k}{\partial t} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_I M_I^{-1} \frac{\nabla_I^2 A_k}{A_k} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_I M_I^{-1} (\nabla_I S_k)^2 - E_k$$
$$\frac{\partial A_k}{\partial t} = -\sum_I M_I^{-1} \nabla_I A_k \nabla_I S_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_I M_I^{-1} A_k \nabla_I^2 S_k$$

Dependences of the functions S and A are omitted for clarity (k is a index for the electronic state; in principle there is only one state in the adiabatic case).

We have now a time-dependent equation for both the amplitude and the phase. Since we are in the adiabatic case there is only one PES and the second equation becomes trivially a diffusion continuity equation.

The nuclear dynamics is derived from the real part $\left(\frac{\partial S_k}{\partial t}\right)$. This equation has again the form of a *classical* Hamilton-Jacobi equation.





Instead of solving the field equation for $S(\mathbf{R}, t)$, find the equation of motion for the corresponding trajectories (*characteristics*).

Ab initio nonadiabatic molecular dynamics

$$\frac{\partial S_k}{\partial t} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_I M_I^{-1} \frac{\nabla_I^2 A_k}{A_k} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_I M_I^{-1} (\nabla_I S_k)^2 - E_k$$

The classical limit is obtained by taking^1: $\hbar \to 0$

$$\frac{\partial S_k}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{I} M_{I}^{-1} (\nabla_{I} S_k)^2 - E_k$$

These are the classical Hamilton-Jacobi equation and S is the classical action related to a particle.

$$S(t) = \int_{t_0}^t L(t')dt' = \int_{t_0}^t \left[E_{kin}(t') - E_{pot}(t') \right] dt'$$

The momentum of a particle I is related to

$$\nabla_I S = \mathbf{p}_I = \frac{\mathbf{v}_I}{M_I}$$

¹Caution! This classical limit is subject to controversy...

Ab initio nonadiabatic molecular dynamics

Therefore, taking the gradient,

$$-\nabla_J \frac{\partial S_k}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_J \sum_I M_I^{-1} (\nabla_I S_k)^2 + \nabla_J E_k$$

and rearranging this equation using $\nabla_J S_k / M_J = \mathbf{v}_J^k$, we obtain the (familiar) Newton equation:

$$M_J \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{v}_J^k = -\nabla_J E_k$$

In Summary:

Adiabatic BO MD

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \Phi_k(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) = E_k^{el}(\mathbf{R}) \Phi_k(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})$$
$$M_I \ddot{\mathbf{R}}_I = -\nabla_I E_k^{el}(\mathbf{R}) = -\sum_{\substack{min\Phi_k}} \langle \Phi_k | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el} | \Phi_k \rangle$$

Mean-field vs. BO MD (adiabatic case)

Ehrenfest dynamics

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, t)}{\partial t} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \Phi(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}, t)$$
$$M_{l} \ddot{\mathbf{R}}_{l} = -\nabla_{l} \langle \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \rangle$$

Explicit time dependence of the electronic wavefunction.

Born-Oppenheimer dynamics

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R})\Phi_{k}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R}) = E_{k}^{el}(\mathbf{R})\Phi_{k}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R})$$
$$M_{I}\ddot{\mathbf{R}}_{I} = -\nabla_{I}E_{k}^{el}(\mathbf{R}) = -\sum_{\substack{min\Phi_{k}}}\langle\Phi_{k}|\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}|\Phi_{k}\rangle$$

The electronic wavefunction are static (only implicit time-dependence.

Mean-field vs. BO MD (adiabatic case)

Method	Born-Oppenheimer MD	Ehrenfest MD
	adiabatic MD (one PES)	nonadiabatic MD (mean-field)
	Efficient propagation of the nuclei	Get the "real" dynamics of the electrons
	Adiabatic nuclear propagation	Propagation of nuclei & electrons
	$\delta t\sim$ 10-20 a.u. (0.25-0.5 fs)	$\delta t\sim$ 0.01 a.u. (0.25 as)
	Simple algorithm	Common propagation of the nuclei
		and the electrons implies
		more sophisticated algorithms

Exact quantum dynamics?

Can we derive "exact" quantum equations of motion for the nuclei? (without taking the classical limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$?)

Tarjectory-based quantum and mixed QM-CL solutions

We can "derive" the following trajectory-based solutions:

- Nonadiabatic Ehrenfest dynamics dynamics
 - I. Tavernelli et al., Mol. Phys., 103, 963981 (2005).

• Adiabatic Born-Oppenheimer MD equations

• Nonadiabatic Bohmian Dynamics (NABDY)

B. Curchod, IT*, U. Rothlisberger, PCCP, 13, 32313236 (2011)

 Nonadiabatic Trajectory Surface Hopping (TSH) dynamics [ROKS: N. L. Doltsinis, D. Marx, *PRL*, 88, 166402 (2002)]
 C. F. Craig, W. R. Duncan, and O. V. Prezhdo, *PRL*, 95, 163001 (2005)
 E. Tapavicza, I. Tavernelli, U. Rothlisberger, *PRL*, 98, 023001 (2007)

Time dependent potential energy surface approach based on the exact decomposition: Ψ(**r**, **R**, t) = Ω(**R**, t)Φ(**r**, t).
 A. Abedi, N. T. Maitra, E. K. U. Gross, *PRL*, **105**, 123002 (2010)

Nonadiabatic dynamics: Multi-trajectory solutions


Nonadiabatic Bohmian dynamics



Pioneers in quantum hydrodynamics: D. Bohm, P. R. Holland, R. E. Wyatt, and many others.

NABDY: "exact" trajectory-based nonadiabatic dynamics

Using

•
$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{j}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \Omega_{j}(\mathbf{R}, t)$$

• $\Omega_j(\mathbf{R}, t) = A_j(\mathbf{R}, t) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}S_j(\mathbf{R}, t)\right]$

in the exact time-dependent Schrödinger equation for the nuclear wavefucntion we get

$$\begin{split} -\frac{\partial S_j(\mathbf{R},t)}{\partial t} &= \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{2M_{\gamma}} \left(\nabla_{\gamma} S_j(\mathbf{R},t) \right)^2 + E_j^{el}(\mathbf{R}) - \sum_{\gamma} \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_{\gamma}} \frac{\nabla_{\gamma}^2 A_j(\mathbf{R},t)}{A_j(\mathbf{R},t)} \\ &+ \sum_{\gamma i} \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_{\gamma}} D_{ji}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{R}) \frac{A_i(\mathbf{R},t)}{A_j(\mathbf{R},t)} \Re \left[e^{i\phi} \right] - \sum_{\gamma,i \neq j} \frac{\hbar^2}{M_{\gamma}} \mathbf{d}_{ji}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{R}) \frac{\nabla_{\gamma} A_i(\mathbf{R},t)}{A_j(\mathbf{R},t)} \Re \left[e^{i\phi} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{\gamma,i \neq j} \frac{\hbar}{M_{\gamma}} \mathbf{d}_{ji}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{R}) \frac{A_i(\mathbf{R},t)}{A_j(\mathbf{R},t)} \nabla_{\gamma} S_i(\mathbf{R},t) \Im \left[e^{i\phi} \right] \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial A_j(\mathbf{R},t)}{\partial t} &= -\sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{M_{\gamma}} \nabla_{\gamma} A_j(\mathbf{R},t) \nabla_{\gamma} S_j(\mathbf{R},t) - \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{2M_{\gamma}} A_j(\mathbf{R},t) \nabla_{\gamma}^2 S_j(\mathbf{R},t) \\ &+ \sum_{\gamma i} \frac{\hbar}{2M_{\gamma}} D_{ji}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{R}) A_i(\mathbf{R},t) \Im \left[e^{i\phi} \right] - \sum_{\gamma,i\neq j} \frac{\hbar}{M_{\gamma}} \mathbf{d}_{ji}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{R}) \nabla_{\gamma} A_i(\mathbf{R},t) \Im \left[e^{i\phi} \right] \\ &- \sum_{\gamma,i\neq j} \frac{1}{M_{\gamma}} \mathbf{d}_{ji}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{R}) A_i(\mathbf{R},t) \nabla_{\gamma} S_j(\mathbf{R},t) \Re \left[e^{i\phi} \right], \end{split}$$

where both $S_j(\mathbf{R}, t)$ and $A_j(\mathbf{R}, t)$ are real fields and $\phi = \frac{1}{\hbar}(S_i(\mathbf{R}, t) - S_j(\mathbf{R}, t))$.

Ab initio nonadiabatic molecular dynamics

NABDY: "exact" trajectory-based nonadiabatic dynamics

From the NABDY equations we can obtain a Newton-like equation of motion (using the HJ definition of the momenta $\nabla_{\beta}S_{j}(\mathbf{R},t) = \mathbf{P}_{\beta}^{j}$)

$$M_{eta}rac{d^2\mathbf{R}_{eta}}{\left(dt^j
ight)^2}=-
abla_{eta}\left[E^j_{el}(\mathbf{R})+\mathcal{Q}_j(\mathbf{R},t)+\sum_iD_{ij}(\mathbf{R},t)
ight]$$

where $Q_j(\mathbf{R}, t)$ is the quantum potential responsible for all coherence/decoherence "intrasurface" QM effects, and $D_j(\mathbf{R}, t)$ is the *nonadiabatic* potential responsible for the amlpitude transfer among the different PESs.

For more informations see:

```
B. Curchod, IT, U. Rothlisberger, PCCP, 13, 3231 - 3236 (2011)
```

NABDY limitations

- Mainly numerical challenges
- Instabilities induced by the quantum potential
- Compute derivatives in the 3N dimensional(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) configuration space

NABDY: "exact" trajectory-based nonadiabatic dynamics





Gaussian wavepacket on an Eckart potential ($E_k = 3/4V$)



Gaussian wavepacket on an Eckart potential ($E_k = 3/4V$)





Tarjectory-based quantum and mixed QM-CL solutions

We can "derive" the following trajectory-based solutions:

- Nonadiabatic Ehrenfest dynamics dynamics
 - I. Tavernelli et al., Mol. Phys., 103, 963981 (2005).

• Adiabatic Born-Oppenheimer MD equations

- Nonadiabatic Bohmian Dynamics (NABDY) B. Curchod, IT, U. Rothlisberger, *PCCP*, **13**, 32313236 (2011)
- Nonadiabatic Trajectory Surface Hopping (TSH) dynamics [ROKS: N. L. Doltsinis, D. Marx, PRL, 88, 166402 (2002)]
 C. F. Craig, W. R. Duncan, and O. V. Prezhdo, PRL, 95, 163001 (2005)
 E. Tapavicza, I. Tavernelli, U. Rothlisberger, PRL, 98, 023001 (2007)
- Time dependent potential energy surface approach based on the exact decomposition: Ψ(**r**, **R**, t) = Ω(**R**, t)Φ(**r**, t).
 A. Abedi, N. T. Maitra, E. K. U. Gross, *PRL*, **105**, 123002 (2010)

Applications in Photochemistry and Photophysics

Trajectory-based solutions of the "exact" nonadiabatic equations are still impractical.



Approximate solutions are available. Among the most popular is

Trajectory Surface Hopping (TSH)

TSH nonadiabatic MD

There is no derivation of TSH dynamics. The fundamental hypothesis beyond TSH is that it is possible to design a dynamics that consists of:

• propagation of a "quantum" amplitude, $C_k^{lpha}(t)$, associated to each PES, I

$$\Psi^{lpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t)=\sum_{k}^{\infty}C_{k}^{lpha}(t)\Phi_{k}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R})$$

(the label α is to recall that we have a different contribution from each different trajectory).

- classical (*adiabatic*) time evolution of the nuclear trajectories on adiabatic states solution of the Schrödinger equation for the electronic sub-system.
- transitions (hops) of the trajectories between electronic states according to a stochastic algorithm, which depends on the nonadiabatic couplings and the amplitudes $C_k^{\alpha}(t)$.

See also: J. Tully, Faraday discussion, 110, 407 (1998) and B. Curchod, U. Rothlisberger, IT, in preparation.

Tully's surface hopping - How does it work?

The main claim of TSH is that, the collection of a large enough set of independent trajectories gives an accurate representation of the nuclear wave packet

$$p_k^{\mathcal{CL}}(\mathbf{R}^lpha,t^lpha) = rac{N_k^lpha(\mathbf{R}^lpha,dV,t^lpha)}{N_{tot}}rac{1}{dV} \sim |\Omega_k(\mathbf{R}^lpha,t^lpha)|^2 \sim |\mathcal{C}_{k,\mathbf{R}^lpha,t^lpha}^lpha|^2$$

Inserting

$$\Psi^{lpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t) = \sum_{k}^{\infty} C_{k}^{lpha}(t) \Phi_{k}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R})$$

into the molecular time-dependent Schrödinger equation and after some rearrangement, we obtain:

$$i\hbar\dot{C}_{k}^{lpha}(t)=\sum_{j}C_{j}^{lpha}(t)(H_{kj}-i\hbar\dot{\mathbf{R}}^{lpha}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{kj}^{lpha})$$

with $H_{kj} = \langle \Phi_k(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \rangle |\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el}| \Phi_j(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \rangle$. In the adiabatic representation, we have $H_{kk} = E_k^{el}$ and $H_{kj} = 0$.

Tully's surface hopping - How does it work?

Equation of motion for the amplitudes:

$$i\hbar\dot{C}^{lpha}_k(t) = \sum_j C^{lpha}_j(t)(H_{kj} - i\hbar\dot{\mathbf{R}}^{lpha}\cdot\mathbf{d}^{lpha}_{kj})$$

Switching algorithm:

In the fewest switches algorithm, the transition probability from state j to state k in the time interval $[t,\,t\,+\,dt]$ is given by:

$$g_{jk}^{\alpha}(t,t+dt) \approx 2 \int_{t}^{t+dt} d\tau \frac{\Im[C_{k}^{\alpha}(\tau)C_{j}^{\alpha*}H_{kj}(\tau)] - \Re[C_{k}^{\alpha}(\tau)C_{j}^{\alpha*}(\tau)\Xi_{kj}^{\alpha}(\tau)]}{C_{j}^{\alpha}(\tau)C_{j}^{\alpha*}(\tau)}$$

where $\Xi_{kj}^{\alpha}(\tau) = \dot{\mathbf{R}}^{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{kj}^{\alpha}(\tau)$. A hop occurs between j and k if

$$\sum_{\leq k-1} g_{jl}^{\alpha} < \zeta < \sum_{l \leq k} g_{jl}^{\alpha} ,$$

where ζ is generated randomly in the interval [0, 1].

Tully's surface hopping - Summary

Tully's surface hopping

$$egin{aligned} &i\hbar\dot{C}^lpha_k(t) = \sum_j C^lpha_j(t)(H_{kj} - i\hbar\dot{\mathbf{R}}^lpha\cdot \mathbf{d}^lpha_{kj}) \ &M_I\ddot{\mathbf{R}}_I = -
abla_I E^{el}_k(\mathbf{R}) \ &\sum_{l\leq k-1} g^lpha_{jl} < \zeta < \sum_{l\leq k} g^lpha_{jl} \ , \end{aligned}$$

Some warnings:

- Evolution of classical trajectories (no QM effects such as tunneling are possible).
- Rescaling of the nuclei velocities after a surface hop (to ensure energy conservation) is still a matter of debate.
- Depending on the system studied, many trajectories could be needed to obtain a complete statistical description of the non-radiative channels.

For more details (and warnings) about Tully's surface hopping, see G. Granucci and M. Persico, J Chem Phys 126, 134114 (2007).

Tully's surface hopping - The algorithm



Trajectory Surface Hopping

Tully's surface hopping - Examples

1D systems



J.C. Tully, J. Chem. Phys. (1990), 93, 1061



Trajectory Surface Hopping

Tully's surface hopping - Examples

1D systems



Trajectory Surface Hopping

Tully's surface hopping - Examples

1D systems



J.C. Tully, J. Chem. Phys. (1990), 93, 1061



Tully's surface hopping - Examples





Butatriene molecule: dynamics of the radical cation in the first excited state.



JPCA,107,621 (2003)

Butatriene molecule: dynamics of the radical cation in the first excited state.



JPCA,107,621 (2003)

CASSCF PESs for the radical cation (Q_{14} : symmetric stretch, θ : torsional angle).



Nuclear wavepacket dynamics on fitted potential energy surfaces (using MCTDH with 5 modes) . Reappearing of the wavepacket in S_1 after ~ 40 fs.

JPCA,107,621 (2003)

Ab initio nonadiabatic molecular dynamics



On-the-fly dynamics with 80 trajectories (crosses).

Trajectories are not coming back close to the conical intersection.

What is the reason for this discrepancy? The independent trajectory approximation?, i.e. the fact that trajectories are not correlated? (Or it has to do with differences

(Or it has to do with differences in the PESs?)

JPCA,107,621 (2003)



On-the-fly dynamics with 80 trajectories.

Trajectories are not coming back close to the conical intersection.

What is the reason for this discrepancy? The independent trajectory approximation?, i.e. the fact that trajectories are not correlated?

(Or it has to do with differences in the PESs?)

JPCA,107,621 (2003)

Ab initio molecular dynamics Quantum Dynamics?

2 Mixed quantum-classical dynamic

- Ehrente, dynamics
- Adiobatic Lorn-Oppenheimer dynamics
- Trajec.

TDDFT-based trajectory surface hopping
 Nonadiabatic couplings in TDDFT

ane - Crossing between S_1 and S_2

with external time-dependent fields

Tully's surface hopping - On-the-fly dynamics

Tully's surface hopping

$$egin{aligned} &i\hbar\dot{C}^{lpha}_k(t) = \sum_j C^{lpha}_j(t)(\pmb{H}_{kj} - i\hbar\dot{\pmb{\mathsf{R}}}^{lpha} \cdot \pmb{\mathsf{d}}^{lpha}_{kj}) \ &M_I\ddot{\pmb{\mathsf{R}}}_I = -
abla_I \pmb{E}^{el}_k(\pmb{\mathsf{R}}) \ &\sum_{l\leq k-1} g^{lpha}_{jl} < \zeta < \sum_{l\leq k} g^{lpha}_{jl}\,, \end{aligned}$$

What about the electronic structure method for on-the-fly dynamics? We need:

- \bullet Potential energy surfaces \rightarrow MR-CISD, LR-TDDFT, semiempirical, ...
- Forces on the nuclei \rightarrow MR-CISD, LR-TDDFT, semiempirical methods,
- Nonadiabatic coupling terms → MR-CISD, LR-TDDFT (?), semiempirical methods,

Tully's surface hopping - On-the-fly dynamics

Tully's surface hopping

$$egin{aligned} &i\hbar\dot{C}^{lpha}_k(t) = \sum_j C^{lpha}_j(t)(\pmb{H}_{kj} - i\hbar\dot{\pmb{\mathsf{R}}}^{lpha} \cdot \pmb{\mathsf{d}}_{kj}^{lpha}) \ &M_I\ddot{\pmb{\mathsf{R}}}_I = -
abla_I E^{el}_k(\pmb{\mathsf{R}}) \ &\sum_{l\leq k-1} g^{lpha}_{jl} < \zeta < \sum_{l\leq k} g^{lpha}_{jl}\,, \end{aligned}$$

What about the electronic structure method for on-the-fly dynamics? We need:

- Potential energy surfaces \rightarrow MR-CISD, LR-TDDFT, semiempirical, ...
- $\bullet~$ Forces on the nuclei $\rightarrow~$ MR-CISD, LR-TDDFT, semiempirical methods, $\ldots~$.
- Nonadiabatic coupling terms → MR-CISD, LR-TDDFT (?), semiempirical methods,

Tully's surface hopping - On-the-fly dynamics

Tully's surface hopping

$$\begin{split} i\hbar\dot{C}_{k}^{\alpha}(t) &= \sum_{j}C_{j}^{\alpha}(t)(\boldsymbol{H}_{kj} - i\hbar\dot{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{R}}}^{\alpha}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathsf{d}}_{kj}^{\alpha})\\ M_{I}\ddot{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{R}}}_{I} &= -\nabla_{I}\boldsymbol{E}_{k}^{eI}(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{R}})\\ &\sum_{I\leq k-1}\boldsymbol{g}_{JI}^{\alpha} < \zeta < \sum_{I\leq k}\boldsymbol{g}_{JI}^{\alpha}\,, \end{split}$$

What about the electronic structure method for on-the-fly dynamics? We need:

- Potential energy surfaces \rightarrow MR-CISD, LR-TDDFT, semiempirical, ...
- $\bullet~$ Forces on the nuclei $\rightarrow~$ MR-CISD, LR-TDDFT, semiempirical methods, $\ldots~$.
- Nonadiabatic coupling terms \rightarrow MR-CISD, LR-TDDFT (?), semiempirical methods,

Nonadiabatic couplings with LR-TDDFT?

Nonadiabatic coupling vectors are defined in terms of electronic wavefunctions:

$$\mathbf{d}_{kj} = \langle \Phi_k(\mathbf{R}) | \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} | \Phi_j(\mathbf{R}) \rangle = \frac{\langle \Phi_k(\mathbf{R}) | \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el} | \Phi_j(\mathbf{R}) \rangle}{E_j(\mathbf{R}) - E_k(\mathbf{R})}$$

The main challenge is to compute all these quantities as a functional of the ground state electronic density (or equivalently, of the occupied Kohn-Sham orbitals).

$$\mathbf{d}_{kj} \to \mathbf{d}_{kj}[\rho]$$

Different approaches for the calculation of $\mathbf{d}_{0j}[\rho]$ are available ². Here we will use the method based on the auxiliary many-electron wavefunctions.

²V. Chernyak and S. Mukamel, J. Chem. Phys. 112, 3572 (2000); R. Baer, Chem. Phys. Lett. 364, 75 (2002); E. Tapavicza, I. Tavernelli, and U. Rothlisberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 023001 (2007); C. P. Hu, H. Hirai, and O. Sugino, J. Chem. Phys. 127, 064103 (2007).

The density response SOS formula

In TDDFT the density response $\chi(\omega)$ is

$$\chi(\omega) = \mathbb{S}^{-1/2} (\omega^2 \mathbb{I} - \Omega(\omega))^{-1} \mathbb{S}^{-1/2}$$

where \mathbf{Z}_n are the TDDFT eigenvectors of the pseudoeigenvalue equation, $(S_{ij\sigma,kl\tau} = \frac{\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}\delta_{\sigma\tau}}{(f_{k\sigma} - f_{l\sigma})(\epsilon_{l\sigma} - \epsilon_{k\sigma})})$

$$\mathbf{\Omega}\mathbf{Z}_n = \omega_{0n}^2 \mathbf{Z}_n \,,$$

with

$$\Omega_{ij\sigma,kl\tau} = \delta_{\sigma\tau} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} (\epsilon_{l\tau} - \epsilon_{k\sigma})^2 + 2\sqrt{(f_{i\sigma} - f_{j\sigma})(\epsilon_{j\sigma} - \epsilon_{i\sigma})} K_{ij\sigma,kl\tau} \sqrt{(f_{k\tau} - f_{l\tau})(\epsilon_{l\tau} - \epsilon_{k\tau})}$$

Using the spectral representation of the $(\omega^2\mathbb{I}-\Omega(\omega))^{-1}$, we can write

$$\left(\omega^2\mathbb{I}-\Omega(\omega)
ight)^{-1}=\sum_nrac{\mathsf{Z}_n\mathsf{Z}_n^\dagger}{\omega_n^2-\omega^2}$$

Therefore ³

$$\chi(\omega) = \sum_{n} \frac{\mathbb{S}^{-1/2} \mathbf{Z}_{n} \mathbf{Z}_{n}^{\dagger} \mathbb{S}^{-1/2}}{\omega_{n}^{2} - \omega^{2}}$$

and finally the perturbation of any observable $(\delta O(\omega) = \sum_{ij\sigma} o_{ij\sigma} \delta P_{ij\sigma})$

$$\delta \mathcal{O}^{\text{TDDFT}}(\omega) = \sum_{n} \sum_{ij\sigma,kl\tau} o_{ij\sigma} \frac{(\mathbb{S}^{-1/2} \mathsf{Z}_n)_{ik\sigma} (\mathsf{Z}_n^{\dagger} \mathbb{S}^{-1/2})_{kl\tau}}{\omega_n^2 - \omega^2} v'_{kl\tau} \mathsf{E}(\omega) \,.$$

³M. E. Casida, in Recent Advances in Density Functional Methods, edited by D. P. Chong, World Scientific, Singapore (1995), JCP, 130, 124107

(2007)

In MBPT the density response $\chi(\omega)$ is

$$\delta \mathcal{O}^{MBPT}(\omega) = \sum_{n} \frac{2\omega_{i0} \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \Psi_n \rangle \langle \Psi_n | \hat{v}' E(\omega) | \Psi_0 \rangle}{\omega_{n0}^2 - \omega^2}$$

Equating $\delta \mathcal{O}^{TDDFT}(\omega)$ with $\delta \mathcal{O}^{MBPT}(\omega)$ residue-by-residue,

$$\langle \Psi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \Psi_n
angle = \sum_{ij\sigma}^{(f_{i\sigma} - f_{j\sigma}) > 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_n}} o_{ij\sigma} (\mathbb{S}^{-1/2} \mathbf{Z}_n)_{ij\sigma}$$

For any one-body operator, \hat{O} , a mapping between MBPT and TDDFT quantities gives (for the moment, we only consider transitions from the ground state Ψ_0)

$$\mathbf{O}^{\dagger}\mathbb{S}^{-1/2}\mathbf{Z}_{n} = \omega_{0n}^{1/2} \langle \Psi_{0} | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \Psi_{n}
angle$$

where the operator $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \sum_{ia\sigma} o_{ia\sigma} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} \hat{a}_{a\sigma}$ has components $o_{ia\sigma} = \langle \phi_{i\sigma} | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \psi_{a\sigma} \rangle^4$ with $\omega_{0n} = E_n - E_0$. All matrices and vectors are given in the basis of KS orbitals $\{\phi_{i\sigma}\}$ with corresponding occupations $f_{i\sigma}$ and orbital energies $\epsilon_{i\sigma}$.

⁴
$$\sum_{ia\sigma}$$
 stands for $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in \{\alpha,\beta\}}$.

Ab initio nonadiabatic molecular dynamics

The auxiliary wavefunction

For practical purposes we introduce the auxiliary linear-response many-electron wavefunctions ⁵ as a linear combination of singly excited Slater determinants

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{k}[\{\phi_{\cdot}\}] = \sum_{ia\sigma} c_{ia\sigma}^{k} \, \hat{a}_{a\sigma}^{\dagger} \, \hat{a}_{i\sigma} \tilde{\Phi}_{0}[\{\phi_{\cdot}\}] \,,$$

with

$$c_{ia\sigma}^{k}\equiv\sqrt{rac{S_{ia\sigma}^{-1}}{\omega_{0k}}}e_{ia\sigma}^{k}$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_0[\{\phi_i\}]$ is the Slater determinant of all occupied KS orbitals $\{\phi_{i\sigma}\}_{i=1}^N$, which, at a turn, are promoted into a virtual (unoccupied) orbitals, $\psi_{a\sigma}$.

We therefore have (in linear response!)

$$\langle \Psi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \Psi_n \rangle = \langle \tilde{\Phi}_0 | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \tilde{\Phi}_n \rangle$$

⁵ JCP, 130, 124107 (2007), JCP, 131, 196101 (2009).

Nonadiabatic couplings

• The nonadiabatic coupling elements at the mid step $t+\delta t/2$ of a LR-TDDFT AIMD can therefore be calculated as

$$\begin{split} \dot{\mathsf{R}} \cdot \mathsf{d}_{0k}|_{t+\delta t/2}[\{\phi_{\cdot}\}] &= \left\langle \tilde{\Phi}_{0}(\mathsf{r};\mathsf{R}(t)) \left| \nabla_{\mathsf{R}} \right| \tilde{\Phi}_{k}(\mathsf{r};\mathsf{R}(t)) \right\rangle \cdot \dot{\mathsf{R}} &= \left\langle \tilde{\Phi}_{0}(\mathsf{r};\mathsf{R}(t)) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right| \tilde{\Phi}_{k}(\mathsf{r};\mathsf{R}(t)) \right\rangle \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{2\delta t} \left[\left\langle \tilde{\Phi}_{0}(\mathsf{r};\mathsf{R}(t)) \right| \tilde{\Phi}_{k}(\mathsf{r};\mathsf{R}(t+\delta t)) \right\rangle - \left\langle \tilde{\Phi}_{0}(\mathsf{r};\mathsf{R}(t+\delta t)) \right| \tilde{\Phi}_{k}(\mathsf{r};\mathsf{R}(t)) \right\rangle \right] \end{split}$$

• The *nonadiabatic coupling vectors* between **pairs of excites states** (second order response)

$$\mathbf{d}_{kj}[\{\phi_{\cdot}\}] = \frac{\langle \tilde{\Phi}_{k}(\mathbf{R}) | \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{el} | \tilde{\Phi}_{j}(\mathbf{R}) \rangle}{E_{j}(\mathbf{R}) - E_{k}(\mathbf{R})}$$

- Auxiliary many-electron wavefunctions give exact couplings between ground state and any (singly) excited state.
- Auxiliary many-electron wavefunctions give *high quality* couplings between pairs of (singly) excited states ("exact" in the TDA and up to $\mathcal{O}(\delta\rho^3)$ in full response).

Nonadiabatic couplings - examples

As always, the quality of the nonadiabatic couplings will depend on the xc-functional used...

 $\mathbf{d}_{kj}[\{\phi_{\cdot}\}] = \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{k}(\mathbf{R}) | \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} | \tilde{\Phi}_{j}(\mathbf{R}) \rangle$



Nonadiabatic couplings - examples

As always, the quality of the nonadiabatic couplings will depend on the $xc\mbox{-}functional\ used\hdots$

 $\mathbf{d}_{kj}[\{\phi_{\cdot}\}] = \langle \tilde{\Phi}_k(\mathbf{R}) | \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} | \tilde{\Phi}_j(\mathbf{R}) \rangle$



Ab initio molecular dynamics Quantum Dynamics?

2 Mixed quantum-classical dynamic

Ehrente, dynamics

• Trajec.

Adiobatic Lorn-Oppenheimer dynamics

ETDDFT-based trajectory surface hopput

4 TDDFT-TSH: Applications

- Photodissociation of Oxirane
- Oxirane Crossing between S_1 and S_0

with external time-dependent fields

Protonated formaldimine

The protonated formaldimine is a model compound for the study of isomerization in rhodopsin chromophore retinal.

In addition to the ground state (GS), two excited electronic states are of interest:

- $S_1: \sigma \to \pi^*$ (low oscillator strength)
- **2** S_2 : $\pi \to \pi^*$ (high oscillator strength)


Computational details

- Isolated system
- LR-TDDFT/PBE/TDA
- SH-AIMD
- 50 trajectories (NVT) each of ${\sim}100$ fs.



PRL, 98, 023001 (2007); THEOCHEM, 914, 22 (2009).

Protonated formaldimine as a model compound for the study of the isomerization of retinal.

Photo-excitation promotes the system mainly into S_2 .

Relaxation involves at least 3 states: S_0 (GS), S_1 and S_2 .

[E. Tapavicza, I. T., U. Rothlisberger, PRL, 98, 023001 (2007); THEOCHEM, 914, 22 (2009)]



Typical trajectory



Nonadiabatic couplings $\sigma_{kj} = \dot{\mathbf{R}}^{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{kj}^{\alpha}$



States population



PRL, 98, 023001 (2007); THEOCHEM, 914, 22 (2009).

States population - **Average over many trajectories**. Dashed line = CASSCF result.



Geometrical modifications



Comparison with experiment and model calculations

- In addition to the isomerization channel, intra-molecular proton transfer reactions was observed (formation of $\rm CH_3NH^+$).
- $\bullet~{\rm H_2}$ abstraction is also observed in some cases.
- Structures and life times are in good agreement with reference calculations performed using high level wavefunction based methods.



Oxirane

Oxirane has interesting non-radiative decay channels, during which ring opening and dissociation can occur.



Figure: Mechanism proposed by Gomer and Noyes

Oxirane

Oxirane has interesting non-radiative decay channels, during which ring opening and dissociation can occur.

Computational details

- Isolated system
- LR-TDDFT/PBE/TDA
- SH-AIMD
- 30 trajectories (NVT) each of \sim 100 fs.

JCP, 129, 124108 (2009).

Oxirane

Oxirane has interesting non-radiative decay channels, during which ring opening and dissociation can occur.



JCP, 129, 124108 (2009).

The photophysics of solvated Ruthenium(II) tris-bipyridine

 $[Ru(bpy)_3)]^{2+}$ dye: photophysics



[M.E. Moret, I.T., U. Rothlisberger, JPC B, 113, 7737 (2009); IT, B.

Curchod, U. Rothlisberger, Chem. Phys., 391, 101 (2011)]

 $[Ru(bpy)_3)]^{2+}$ dye: Singlet state dynamics



 $[Ru(bpy)_3)]^{2+}$ dye: triplet state dynamics



Ab initio molecular dynamics Quantum Dynamics?

2 Mixed quantum-classical dynamic

Ehrente, dynamics

Adiobatic Lon-Oppenheimer dynamics

• Traject

TDDFT based trajectory surface hopping
 Operation of the surface hopping
 Operation of the surface hopping

TODET TSH: Applications

ane - Crossing between S_1 and S_2

5 TSH with external time-dependent fields

Ab initio nonadiabatic molecular dynamics

TSH with external time-dependent fields

Addition of an external field within the equations of motion of TSH:



Startegy

The idea is to induce electronic excitations through the direct interaction with the time-dependent (td) electric field instead of "artificially" promote the system into one of its excited states.

Method: extended TSH nonadiabatic dynamics.

IT, B. Curchod, U. Rothlisberger, Phys. Rec. A 81, 052508 (2010)

TSH with external time-dependent fields

Short summary of the theory

The interaction Hamiltonian between the electrons and the td electric field is

$$\hat{H}_{int} = -rac{e}{2m_ec}\sum_i \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i, t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i$$

where $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the (classical) vector potential of the electromagnetic field, $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i$ is the momentum operator of electron *i*, *e* is the electron charge, m_e is the electron mass, and *c* is the speed of light.

Remark

We are in the *dipole approximation* and therefore we do not need TDCDFT.

IT, B. Curchod, U. Rothlisberger, Phys. Rec. A 81, 052508 (2010)

External field within TSH

It can be shown (Phys. Rev. A $\bf 81$ 052508 (2010)) that through the coupling with the td electric field, Tully's propagation equations acquire an additional term

$$i\hbar\dot{C}^{\alpha}_{J}(t) = \sum_{I} C^{\alpha}_{I}(t)(H_{JI} - i\hbar\dot{\mathbf{R}}^{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{d}^{\alpha}_{JI} + i\omega_{JI}\frac{A_{0}}{c}\epsilon^{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\alpha}_{JI}e^{-i\omega t})$$

with

$$i\omega_{JI}rac{\mathbf{A}_{0}(t)}{c}\cdotoldsymbol{\mu}_{JI}=\langle\Phi_{J}|\hat{H}_{int}|\Phi_{I}
angle$$

and where $\mathbf{A}_0(t) = A_0 \epsilon^{\lambda} e^{-i\omega t}$ is the vector potential of the external td electric field,

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{JI} = -e \langle \Phi_J | \sum_i \hat{\mathbf{r}}_i | \Phi_I \rangle$$

is the transition dipole vector, and $\omega_{JI} = (E_J - E_I)/\hbar$.

Note that Tully's hops probability should be modified accordingly. IT, B. Curchod, U. Rothlisberger, Phys. Rec. A **81**, 052508 (2010)

Different excitations can be obtained, depending on the polarization vector of the laser pulse.

Electronic structure of LiF

- Ground state Σ symmetry (GS) .
- First excited state (doubly degenerate) -Π symmetry (S₁).
- Second excited state Σ symmetry (S_2).
- Avoided crossing between GS and S₂



Pulse: $\mathbf{A}(t) = -A_0 \epsilon^{\lambda} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-t_0)^2}{T^2}\right) \sin(\omega t)$



x-polarized pulse: $\epsilon^{\lambda} = (1, 0, 0)$



 $\epsilon^{\lambda} = (1,0,0)$



IT, B. Curchod, U. Rothlisberger, Phys. Rec. A 81, 052508 (2010)

 $\epsilon^{\lambda} = rac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1,1,1)$



IT, B. Curchod, U. Rothlisberger, Phys. Rec. A 81, 052508 (2010)