

## Many-Body effects in the Near-Field Optics of Graphene

#### James P.F. LeBlanc Max-Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems Dresden, Germany

Elisabeth Nicol, University of Guelph (Guelph-Waterloo Physics Institute) Jules Carbotte, Phillip Ashby Mcmaster University





#### Overview

#### Many-Body Interactions

- Electron-electron interaction (EEI)
  - Plasmarons in spectral function and DOS
- Electron-phonon interaction (EPI)
  - Impact on energy and DOS
- Near-Field Optics Current-Current Correlation Function
  - Finite Momentum Optical Conductivity (Longitudinal)
    - Removal of Pauli Blocking
    - Correspondence to Quasiparticle Peaks
  - Many-Body, EEI and EPI

<u>Strain</u> (arXiv:1303.0131)

- EEI Correlations
  - Single Particle Spectral Density
  - Plamaron Ring Structure

### **Observation of Plasmarons**



Plasmaron 'Ring' used to extract effective fine structure



How do plasmaron features manifest in the electronic density of states?

How does one separate EEI from other interactions?

3/30

### **Electron-Phonon Interactions (EPI)**



Nicol et al. PRB 80, 081415(R) (2009) Nicol et al. PRB 81, 045419 (2010)

4/30

#### **Modified Collective Modes**



5/30

 $E_k = \epsilon_k + \operatorname{Re}\Sigma(k,\omega)$ 

 $G_0 W-RPA$  $\Sigma(k,\omega) = \Sigma^{EEI}(k,\omega) + \Sigma^{EPI}(\omega)$ 

Real part of phonon self energy can shift spectral peaks. Particularly evident on the lower Dirac cone.

G<sub>0</sub>W-RPA -

Phys. Rev. B **77**, 081411(R) (2008) <u>Hwang and Das Sarma</u> Phys. Rev. B **75**, 205418 (2007) Phys. Rev. B **77**, 081412(R) (2008)

<u>Polini et al.</u>

J.P.F. LeBlanc et al. Phys. Rev. B 84, 165448 (2011) Benasque 2013

### **Electronic Density of States**

#### Electron-electron interactions (EEI)

- -Splitting of Dirac points into two
- -Each has parabolic signature in  $N(\boldsymbol{\varpi})$
- -Slope modified with increasing  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$
- -Features scale with chemical potential,  $\mu_0$

-Verified, Principi et al S.S. Comm. 152, 1456 (2012)





#### EEI+EPI

- N(0) can be significantly depressed from bare case due to EEI
- EPI does not change N(0)
- EPI increases the slope, opposite to EEI

#### J.P.F. LeBlanc et al. Phys. Rev. B 84, 165448 (2011) Benasque 2013

#### **Density-Density vs Current-Current**

Generally Exploited in Standard Optics  

$$\Pi(q \to 0, \omega) = \frac{gq^2}{8\pi\hbar\omega} \left[ \frac{2\mu}{\hbar\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{2\mu - \hbar\omega}{2\mu + \hbar\omega} \right| - i\frac{\pi}{2} \Theta(\hbar\omega - 2\mu) \right]$$

$$\sigma(\omega) = \lim_{q \to 0} ie^2 \frac{\omega \Pi(\mathbf{q}, \omega)}{\mathbf{q}^2}$$

#### Non-Interacting Theory

7/30





Basov et al. Nature Phys. 4, 532 (2008) Benasque 2013

### Key Difference in Band Overlap

Interacting Self-Energy in  $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ 

0

$$A(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\left| \operatorname{Im} \Sigma_{s}(\mathbf{k},\omega) \right|}{\left[ \omega - \epsilon_{k}^{s} - \operatorname{Re} \Sigma_{s}(\mathbf{k},\omega) \right]^{2} + \left[ \operatorname{Im} \Sigma_{s}(\mathbf{k},\omega) \right]^{2}}$$



$$\frac{\sigma(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)}{\sigma_0} = \frac{8}{\omega} \int_{-\omega}^{\bullet} d\omega' \int \frac{d^2\boldsymbol{k}}{2\pi} \sum_{s,s'=\pm} F_{ss'}(\phi) A^s(\boldsymbol{k},\omega') A^{s'}(\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{q},\omega'+\omega)$$



Never Equivalent for finite q unless no-scattering

A. Scholz et al. Phys. Rev. B 83, 235409 (2011) Benasque 2013

### Longitudinal-Transverse

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}}\sigma^{L}(\mathbf{q},\omega) + \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}}\right)\sigma^{T}(\mathbf{q},\omega)$$

#### Convenient Basis

Longitudinal - Conductivity in direction of q-scattering  $\sigma_{xx}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \frac{q_x q_x}{\mathbf{q}^2} \sigma^L(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \sigma^L(\mathbf{q},\omega)$   $k_{y} \underbrace{\vec{q}}_{\vec{k}, \dots, \vec{k} + \vec{q}}_{\vec{k}, \dots, \vec{k} + \vec{q}} (\text{Jinder the second sec$ 

Transverse - Conductivity perpendicular to direction of q-scattering

$$\sigma_{yy}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \sigma^{T}(\mathbf{q},\omega)$$

Experiment May Average Over Directions of q

Focus on Strong Features (Longitudinal)

### Pauli Blocking



#### **Vector Nesting**



#### In limit of *q* to zero, this is Drude Response



#### Non-Interacting

#### Drude-Like Response is now shifted to

 $\omega = q$ 



#### **Relation to Spectral Peaks**

 $\sigma(q,\omega) \Leftrightarrow A(k,\omega) \to A(k_F - q,\omega)$ 

Simple Correspondence Between Spectral Peaks and Optical Peaks



#### **EEI Interactions**

Poles in Green's Function – Peaks in  $A(k, \omega)$ 

0 -0.5  $\pi/m$ -1.5 -2 -2.5 -1 0  $k/k_F$ 



#### **EEI Interactions**

# Poles in Green's Function – Peaks in A(k,ω)



### **EPI - Renormalized Spectral Peaks**

#### Electron-Phonon Interaction:

- Broadening for  $\omega > \omega_E$
- Holstein sideband vanishes for  $q > \omega_E$





All optical peaks gain EPI renormalization factor

#### Analytic Result

Intraband:

$$\frac{\sigma^L(q,\omega)}{\sigma_0} = \frac{8\mu_0}{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega}{\bar{q}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{q}^2 - \omega^2}} \frac{1}{1+\lambda}$$

$$1000 - - q/k_{F}=0.0 - 0.1 - 0.5 - 0.9$$

$$\overline{q} = q \,/\, (1 + \lambda)$$

Simple Renormalization Factors Allow for Approximate Result for EPI Interaction

Holstein Side Band Does Not Interfere With EEI Region for Larger q

17/30

Phillip E.C. Ashby et al. Phys. Rev. B 86, 165405 (2012) Benasque 2013

#### Recap

#### Interactions on $\sigma(q, \omega)$

- In the presence of scattering, the densitydensity and current-current correlation functions for finite momentum are not simply related
- Shown correspondence between quasiparticle spectral peaks and Intraband piece of finite q conductivity
- All Features scale with Chemical Potential
- EPI adds renormalization, λ, factors

$$\frac{\sigma^L(q,\omega)}{\sigma_0} = \frac{8\mu_0}{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega}{\bar{q}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{q}^2 - \omega^2}} \frac{1}{1+\omega}$$



18/30

5 10 *a* (10<sup>5</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)

### The Heart of Screening - RPA

$$\mathcal{E}^{-1}(q,\omega) = \frac{1}{1 - V_q \Pi(q,\omega)} = \frac{q}{q - \alpha \Pi(q,\omega)}$$



### Scaling with $\boldsymbol{\mu}$



### Strain

<u>Non-Interacting</u> Purely Geometric Modification To Bare Bands



 $\bar{k} = A(\gamma)k$  $A(\gamma) = R(\gamma)S(\varepsilon)R(-\gamma)$  $S(\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\parallel} & 0\\ 0 & c_{\perp} \end{pmatrix}$ 

Transformation to Maintain Linear Dispersion

 $H = \hbar v_F \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}$ 

### Strain on the Plasmaron Ring

What is the simplest thing you can do?



Not good for interacting system We can do better than this

G<sub>0</sub>W-RPA

Geometrically Strain the noninteracting dispersion

 $\overline{\mathbf{q}} = A(\gamma)\mathbf{q}$ 

 $\Pi(q,\omega) = \left[\det S(\epsilon)\right]^{-1} \Pi^0(\overline{q},\omega)$ 

Recalculate the G<sub>0</sub>W-RPA Self Energies

 $\Sigma = \Sigma^{line} + \Sigma^{Res}$ 

$$\Sigma_{s}^{RES}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \sum_{s'=\pm 1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{dq d\theta_{\boldsymbol{q}}}{2\pi} \frac{\alpha}{g} \varepsilon^{-1}(q,\omega-\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{k+q}}^{s'}) F_{ss'}(\beta_{\boldsymbol{\bar{k}}\boldsymbol{\bar{k}'}}) \left[\Theta(\omega-\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{k+q}}^{s'}) - \Theta(-\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{k+q}}^{s'})\right]$$

Renormalizations Depend on Direction in k-space (short vs long axis)



### **Spectral Function**



24/30

### $\delta E$ shift with correlations



### $\delta k$ shift



How does  $\delta k$  in the long axis deviate from the purely geometric straining which one expects from noninteracting strain?

### Long Axis – Variation in Coupling



Start with unstrained EEI Result (Bostwick et al. Science 382, 999 2010)

**Purely Geometric Strain** 

Strained G<sub>0</sub>W-RPA EEI Enhances This Effect

Width of Plasmaron Ring Has Increased Without Changing Substrate (α)

### Simple Picture – Low vs High Frequency



28/30

#### Recap - Strain



Geometrically Strained Low Frequency

- k<sub>F</sub> points don't move due to correlations
- Dirac point at  $E_0$  independent of strain

New Correlations at High Frequencies

- Modified Electron-Plasmon Scattering Peak ('Plasmaron ring')
  - Effectively larger  $\alpha$  without changing substrate



Use strain to tune features of plasmons in ARPES or optics.

Be prepared for extra correlations.

### Conclusions

Shown correspondence between quasiparticle spectral peaks and Intraband piece of finite q conductivity

- All Features scale with Chemical Potential
- EPI adds renormalization,  $\lambda$ , factors

Application of Strain modifies G<sub>0</sub>W-RPA

- Additional non-geometric features
- Possibility to use strain to tune electronplasmon scattering structures.

