Models for Time-Dependent Phenomena

I. *Phenomena in laser-matter interaction: atoms*

II. *Phenomena in laser-matter interaction: molecules*

III. *Model systems and TDDFT*

Manfred Lein

Leibniz Universität Hannover

quest

Outline

Model systems and TDDFT

- Double ionization of Helium

- Adiabatic approximations

- Multicomponent TDDFT

Nonsequential double ionization of Helium

Walker et al., PRL **73**, 1227 (1994)

Experimental observations:

- double ionization orders of magnitude larger than expected from sequential ionization

"sequential" ionization probability means:
$$p_{\mathrm{seq}} = p(\mathrm{He} \to \mathrm{He}^+)\, p(\mathrm{He}^+ \to \mathrm{He}^{++})$$

- He^{2+} knee at He^+ saturation intensity

Nonsequential double ionization of Helium

Walker et al., PRL **73**, 1227 (1994)

Experimental observations:

- double ionization orders of magnitude larger than expected from sequential ionization

  "sequential" ionization probability means:
  $$p_{\mathrm{seq}} = p(\mathrm{He} \to \mathrm{He}^+)\, p(\mathrm{He}^+ \to \mathrm{He}^{++})$$

- He^{2+} knee at He^+ saturation intensity

Theory: Knee is reproduced by

- exact solution of the two-body TDSE
- S-matrix theory (Becker and Faisal)
- later: TDDFT with derivative discontinuity

A one-dimensional two-electron model atom

Two electrons along a line parallel to the laser polarization axis:

$$H(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2^2} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{z_1^2+1}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{z_2^2+1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(z_1-z_2)^2+1}} + E(t)(z_1 + z_2)$$

[Grobe and Eberly, PRA **48**, 4664 (1993)]

All particle-particle interactions are soft-core potentials with Coulomb tail (gives Rydberg series).

The TDSE can be solved numerically exactly, so the model is useful to obtain

- qualitative insight into ionization mechanisms,
- exact results for comparison with DFT approaches.

Calculation of ionization probabilities

Box integration: consider electron as free when it is farther from the nucleus than some given distance, e.g. $a = 5$ a.u.:

$$p(\text{He}) = \int\limits_{-a}^{a} dz_1 \int\limits_{-a}^{a} dz_2 |\Psi(z_1, z_2)|^2$$

$$p(\text{He}^+) = 2 \int\limits_{-a}^{a} dz_1 \int\limits_{|z_2|>a} dz_2 |\Psi(z_1, z_2)|^2$$

$$p(\text{He}^{++}) = \int\limits_{|z_1|>a} dz_1 \int\limits_{|z_2|>a} dz_2 |\Psi(z_1, z_2)|^2$$

Only approximate, but useful for comparison with DFT results.

Calculation of ionization probabilities

1D Model yields knee structure for double ionization.

[Dahlen and van Leeuwen, PRA **64**, 023405 (2001)]

# *Mechanism of nonsequential double ionization*

Mechanisms under discussion were

- rescattering

- shake-off (relevant mechanism for high photon energies)

- collective tunneling

TDSE contains all information, but difficult to extract.

Mechanism of nonsequential double ionization

Evolution in two-electron momentum space ($\lambda = 780$ nm, $I = 10^{15}$ W/cm^2)

Mechanism of nonsequential double ionization

Numerical experiment to test ionization mechanism

[M.L., E.K.U. Gross, V. Engel, J. Phys. B **33**, 433 (2000)]

$\rightarrow$ excludes shake-off or collective tunneling.

Mechanism of nonsequential double ionization

Wigner function evolution for el. center of mass provides classical picture:

[M.L., E.K.U. Gross, V. Engel, PRL **85**, 4707 (2000)]

Time-dependent density functional theory

- **Runge-Gross theorem:**

The density $n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ determines the external potential uniquely (up to a time dependent constant).

- **Time-dependent Kohn-Sham (KS) scheme:**

Ficticious system of non-interacting particles described by orbitals φ_k

$$n(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\varphi_k(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2$$

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_k(\mathbf{r}, t) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + v_{\text{KS}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right) \varphi_k(\mathbf{r}, t)$$

$$v_{\text{KS}}[n]\mathbf{r}(, t) = v_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}', t)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + v_{\text{xc}}[n](\mathbf{r}, t)$$

- **Physical observables** are calculated as functionals of the density.

TDDFT for two electrons

For Helium (two electrons in a singlet state), there is only one KS orbital

$$\varphi_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}, t) = \varphi_\downarrow(\mathbf{r}, t) = \varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)$$

and

$$n(\mathbf{r}, t) = 2|\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2.$$

The exact exchange potential is

$$v_\mathrm{x}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\tfrac{1}{2} v_\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{r}, t),$$

and furthermore

x-only TDDFT = TD Hartree-Fock.

Conventional TDDFT for He double ionization

- Simple expressions for the xc potential: adiabatic LDA, LDA-SIC, TDKLI, ...

- Mean-field approach for ionization probabilities:
  Assume $\Psi(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2}, t) \approx$ Kohn-Sham state

$$\Rightarrow p(\mathrm{He}) = \left(\int_A d^3r |\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 \right)^2, \qquad p(\mathrm{He}^{++}) = \left(1 - \int_A d^3r |\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 \right)^2$$

$\rightarrow$ No success to reproduce the knee
   Underestimation of single ionization

# Conventional TDDFT for He double ionization

Probability

Fig. 2. Calculated double-ionization probabilities from the ground state of the Helium atom irradiated by a 6-cycle (16 fs), 780-nm laser pulse, using equation (14) and for different exchange-correlation potentials (see text).

Petersilka and Gross, Laser Phys. **9**, 105 (1999)

Origin of the knee structure

Interestingly, inserting the exact density into mean-field functional reproduces a knee.


Figure 2. Single- and double-ionization yields of He from the fully correlated exact model (full triangles and squares), and the estimate based on equations (8) and (9) in the text with the same 'exact' electron densities (open triangles and squares).

Lappas and v. Leeuwen, J. Phys. B **31**, L249 (1998)

Calculation of exact xc potential

- One-dimensional model system

$$H(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2^2} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{z_1^2+1}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{z_2^2+1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(z_1-z_2)^2+1}} + E(t)(z_1+z_2)$$

- Solve time-dependent Schrödinger equation and calculate exact time-dependent density $n(z,t)$ and current $j(z,t)$

- Calculate exact KS orbital $\varphi(z,t) = \sqrt{n(z,t)/2}\,\exp\left(i\alpha(z,t)\right)$
  with phase α from current density $j = \frac{1}{i}(\varphi^*\partial_z\varphi - c.c.) = n\partial_z\alpha$

- Calculate "exact" KS potential by inversion of the split-operator propagator: $\varphi(z,t+\delta t) = e^{-iT_s\delta t}e^{-2iv_{\mathrm{KS}}\delta t}e^{-iT_s\delta t}\varphi(z,t-\delta t)$

$$\rightarrow \boxed{v_{\mathrm{KS}}(z,t) = -\frac{\hbar}{2\delta t}\arcsin\Im\frac{e^{+iT_s\delta t/\hbar}\varphi(z,t+\delta t)}{e^{-iT_s\delta t/\hbar}\varphi(z,t-\delta t)} + \mathrm{const}}$$

The Kohn-Sham current density

Question:

Is KS current density = current density in the interacting system?

The Kohn-Sham current density

Question:

Is KS current density = current density in the interacting system?

Continuity equation must hold in both systems:

$$\dot{n} + \nabla \mathbf{j} = 0$$

$$\dot{n}_{\mathrm{KS}} + \nabla \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{KS}} = 0$$

Because densities n, n_{KS} are equal, we have $\boxed{\nabla \mathbf{j} = \nabla \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{KS}}}$.

In general, it is unclear whether $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{KS}}$, but in 1D, we have

$$\partial_z j = \partial_z j_{\mathrm{KS}},$$

and for finite systems, we have $j \to 0$ for $|z| \to \infty$.

Therefore $\boxed{j = j_{\mathrm{KS}} \text{ in 1D finite systems}}$.

# Exact xc potential for a model system

To avoid numerical difficulties: choose (ramped up) static field

$$E = 0.14 \text{ a.u.}$$

Exact xc potential for a model system

Densities/potentials at different times ($t=0$, $t=108$au, $t=215$au, $t=323$au)

M.L., S. Kümmel, PRL **94**, 143003 (2005)

Exact xc potential for a model system

Comparison of TD Hartree-Fock and exact potentials

M.L., S. Kümmel, PRL **94**, 143003 (2005)

$\rightarrow$ x-only DFT gives
- good description of initial state
- wrong time-evolution

Exact xc potential for a model system

Relation to derivative discontinuities in static DFT


Static DFT with fractional occupation

Time–dependent DFT

Exact xc potential for a model system

Exact static potentials for various fractional particle numbers

$$N = 1 + \epsilon,$$

using the ground-state density $n(z) = (1 - \epsilon)n_1(z) + \epsilon\, n_2(z)$

(a) N=1.5   (b) N=1.1   (c) N=1.0001

solid: v_{Hxc},
dashed: v_{c}

Derivative discontinuity

Fractional particle numbers in static DFT:

xc potential jumps by Δ_{xc} when the particle number passes through an integer.

Interpretation of time-dependent results:

In ionization a small fraction of an electron is ejected; if the process is adiabatic, a ground-state with fractional number of bound electrons is left.

Difficulty: the discontinuity around $N = 1$ is not in the exchange but in the correlation potential.

Derivative discontinuity

Suitable quantity for comparison of DFT and exact calculations:

the number of bound electrons $N_{\mathrm{bound}} = \int_V n(\mathbf{r}) \, d^3r$ with an appropriate region V around the nucleus.

Advantage compared to ionization probabilities: no problems with expressing the functional.

"Derivative discontinuity by hand"

KS potential should retain its initial repulsive character.

$\rightarrow$ Introduce weighting factor to compensate for loss of electron density:

$$v_{\mathrm{Hxc}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{2}{N_{\mathrm{bound}}} v_{\mathrm{Hx}}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad N_{\mathrm{bound}} > 1$$
$$v_{\mathrm{Hxc}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0, \quad N_{\mathrm{bound}} \leq 1$$

"Derivative discontinuity by hand"

KS potential should retain its initial repulsive character.

$\rightarrow$ Introduce weighting factor to compensate for loss of electron density:

$$v_{\mathrm{Hxc}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{2}{N_{\mathrm{bound}}} \, v_{\mathrm{Hx}}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad N_{\mathrm{bound}} > 1$$
$$v_{\mathrm{Hxc}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0, \quad\quad N_{\mathrm{bound}} \leq 1$$

Ionization in a laser pulse

$\lambda = 780$ nm, 8 cycles

new functional

Hartree Fock

Ion probability

Intensity (W/cm^2)

N-Adiabatic approximation

TDKS scheme with the following additions:

- Determine at every time the (fractional) number
  $N_{\mathrm{bound}} = N - 1 + \epsilon$ of bound electrons.

- Construct ground-state density for this fractional particle
  number: $\boxed{n_0(\mathbf{r}) = [1 - \epsilon(t)]\, n_{0,N-1}(\mathbf{r}) + \epsilon(t)\, n_{0,N}(\mathbf{r})}$.

- Determine exact static KS potential for this ground-state
  density.

- Use correlation part of the static KS potential in the TDKS
  scheme.

N-Adiabatic approximation

Good results for "total ionization" = number of lost electrons:

[A. de Wijn, M.L., S. Kümmel, Europhys. Lett. **84**, 43001 (2008)]

Adiabatic approximation for pair correlation function

For further improvement of ionization probabilities, better functionals are needed for these observables:

$$p(\mathrm{He}^+) = 2p(t)[1 - p(t)] - I_{\mathrm{c}}$$
$$p(\mathrm{He}^{++}) = [1 - p(t)]^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}I_{\mathrm{c}}$$

with

$$p(t) = \int_A d^3r \, |\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2$$

and the correlation integral

$$I_{\mathrm{c}} = \int_A d^3r_1 \int_A d^3r_2 \, n(\mathbf{r}_1, t) n(\mathbf{r}_2, t) g_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, t),$$

where

$$g_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, t) = \frac{2|\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, t)|^2}{n(\mathbf{r}_1, t) n(\mathbf{r}_2, t)} - \frac{1}{2}$$

is the correlation part of the pair correlation function.

Adiabatic approximation for pair correlation function

Adiabatic approximation for pair correlation function

[F. Wilken and D. Bauer, PRL **97**, 203001 (2006)]:

$$g_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, t) = -\tfrac{1}{2}, \qquad\qquad N_{\mathrm{bound}} < 1$$

$$g_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, t) = \frac{\rho^{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, t)}{n^{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{r}_1, t) n^{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{r}_2, t)} - \tfrac{1}{2}, \qquad 1 \leq N_{\mathrm{bound}} \leq 2$$

with

$$n^{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (1 - \epsilon)n_1(\mathbf{r}) + \epsilon n_2(\mathbf{r}_2), \qquad 1 \leq N_{\mathrm{bound}} \leq 2$$

$$\rho^{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, t) = 2(1 - \epsilon)|\Psi_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)|^2 + 2\epsilon|\Psi_2(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)|^2, \quad 1 \leq N_{\mathrm{bound}} \leq 2$$

$$\Psi_j = \text{ground state of j-particle system,}$$
$$n_j = \text{ground-state density of j-particle system}$$
$$N_{\mathrm{bound}} = 1 + \epsilon$$

Adiabatic approximation for pair correlation function

Good results for single and double ionization

[F. Wilken and D. Bauer, PRL **97**, 203001 (2006)]

Exact adiabatic potential

- For given density n, construct the external potential $v_{\text{ext}}^{\text{adia}}$ that yields n as ground state density of an interacting system.

  (Use an iterative scheme based on repeated solution of the static many-body Schrödinger equation)

- Construct the potential $v_{\text{KS}}^{\text{adia}}$ that yields n as ground state densitites of a noninteracting system.

  For two electrons: $v_{\text{KS}}^{0}(z) = \frac{1}{2\phi(z)} \frac{d^2\phi(z)}{dz^2} + \text{const}$ with $\phi(z) = \sqrt{n(z)/2}$

- Obtain adiabatic exchange correlation potential as

$$v_{\text{xc}}^{\text{adia}} = v_{\text{KS}}^{\text{adia}} - v_{\text{ext}}^{\text{adia}} - v_{\text{H}}.$$

Exact adiabatic potential

Excellent agreement with exact xc potential

(calculation for 1D He in a ramped up static field):

M. Thiele, E.K.U. Gross, S. Kümmel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 153004 (2008)

Exact adiabatic potential in a TDKS calculation

1D He in a 780 nm field: **results undistinguishable from exact results**

FIG. 3. Total ionization during the interaction of the two-electron atom with a laser pulse of maximum intensity $I_1 = 4 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm^2 (lower two curves) and $I_2 = 7 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm^2 (upper two curves). Solid curves: exact TDSE calculation; dashed curves: adiabatically exact TDKS scheme. The inset shows a magnification of the first part of the curve.

Adiabatic approximation based on ground-state spin DFT

Thiele et al. have used the exact adiabatic potential in a time-dependent KS calculation $\rightarrow$ computationally very demanding

Alternative: solve ground-state problem for $n \rightarrow v$ mapping with an approximate method, which nevertheless mimics static correlation

$\rightarrow$ Ground-state spin DFT

Still use $v_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{adia}} = v_{\mathrm{KS}}^{\mathrm{adia}} - v_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{adia}} - v_{\mathrm{H}}$ but now with $v_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{adia}}$ chosen such that it reproduces then given density n within ground-state spin DFT:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2\phi_\sigma(z)}{dz^2} + v_{\mathrm{KS},\sigma}[n_\uparrow, n_\downarrow](z)\phi_\sigma(z) = \epsilon_\sigma\,\phi_\sigma(z)$$

$$v_{\mathrm{KS},\sigma}[n_\uparrow, n_\downarrow](z) = v_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{adia}} + v_{\mathrm{hx},\sigma}[n_\uparrow, n_\downarrow](z) + v_{\mathrm{c},\sigma}(z)$$

$$v_{\mathrm{hx},\uparrow}(z) = \int dz'\, n_\downarrow(z')w(z-z'), \quad v_{\mathrm{hx},\downarrow}(z) = \int dz'\, n_\uparrow(z')w(z-z')$$

# Spin-symmetry breaking in spin DFT

Large R beyond a critical distance:

"spontaneous" localization of the spin densities

Results for 1D H_2

Input density: exact density for $v_{ext}(z) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{(z-R_1)^2+1}} + \frac{-1}{\sqrt{(z-R_2)^2+1}}$.

$\rightarrow$ Good agreement with exact correlation potential (dashed), especially above critical distance for spin-symmetry breaking:

I. Dreissigacker and M.L., Chem. Phys. **391**, 143 (2011)

Results for 1D LiH

Input density: exact density for $v_{\mathrm{ext}}(z) = \dfrac{-1}{\sqrt{(z-R_1)^2+0.7}} + \dfrac{-1}{\sqrt{(z-R_2)^2+2.25}}$.

Reproduces step and peak of the exact correlation potential (dashed):

I. Dreissigacker and M.L., Chem. Phys. **391**, 143 (2011)

# Results for fractional numbers in 1D He

Good agreement with the exact correlation potential (dashed):

I. Dreissigacker and M.L., Chem. Phys. **391**, 143 (2011)

Results for 1D He in ramped-up static field

Good agreement with the exact potential (dashed):

I. Dreissigacker and M.L., Chem. Phys. **391**, 143 (2011)

Multicomponent problems

Multicomponent TDDFT describes quantum mechanical motion of nuclei and electrons with nuclear and electronic KS potentials:

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_k(\mathbf{r}, t) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + v_{\text{KS}}^{\text{el}}(\mathbf{r}, t)\right)\varphi_k(\mathbf{r}, t) \qquad \text{for electrons}$$

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\chi(\underline{\mathbf{R}}, t) = \left(-\sum_\alpha \frac{1}{2M_\alpha}\nabla_\alpha^2 + v_{\text{KS}}^{\text{nuc}}(\underline{\mathbf{R}}, t)\right)\chi(\underline{\mathbf{R}}, t) \qquad \text{for nuclei}$$

with electron density $\qquad\qquad n(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_k |\varphi_k(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2$

and nuclear probability distribution $\quad \Gamma(\underline{\mathbf{R}}, t) = |\chi(\underline{\mathbf{R}}, t)|^2.$

Advantages: nonperturbative, non-Born-Oppenheimer theory

Disadvantage: so far no practical functional

Idea: calculate exact KS potentials for a model system

A 1D model H_2^+ molecular ion

One electron moving along the molecular axis, parallel to the external field:

$$H(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{M\partial R^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(z-R/2)^2+1}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(z+R/2)^2+1}} + \frac{1}{R} + E(t)z$$

[Kulander, Mies, and Schafer, PRA **53**, 2562 (1996)]

with $z =$ electron coordinate, $R =$ internuclear distance.

Calculation of KS potentials for electron and internuclear coordinate for various types of dynamics:

- Wave-packet motion on one Born-Oppenheimer surface
- Superposition of electronic states
- System in the presence of a static electric field

C. Jhala and M.L., ISAMP Newsletter **5**, 12 (2010)

A 1D model H_2^+ molecular ion

A 1D model H_2^+ molecular ion

Nuclear KS potential for wave-packet dynamics on one BO potential

A 1D model H_2^+ molecular ion

Nuclear KS potential for superposition of two electronic states

A 1D model H_2^+ molecular ion

Nuclear KS potential for system in static electric field

Conclusions

- Derivative discontinuity plays a key role in ionization processes.

- (Nonlocal) adiabatic approximations open up new perspectives.

- Spin DFT can mimic static correlation.

- Exact nuclear potentials in multicomponent TDDFT are piecewise combination of BO potentials