



Introduction to Octopus: a real-space (TD)DFT code

David A. Strubbe¹ and the Octopus development team

Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

TDDFT 2012, Benasque

¹Filling in for Xavier Andrade (Harvard).

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

Introduction to Octopus

Time-dependent Kohn-Sham equation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t) = -\nabla^2 \varphi_n + V_{\text{eff}}\left[\rho\right](\boldsymbol{r},t)\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t)$$
$$\rho(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \sum_n \varphi_n^*(\boldsymbol{r},t)\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t)$$

Solve the equations numerically.

- Represent functions and other objects.
- Calculate derivatives and integrals.

Time-dependent Kohn-Sham equation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t) = -\nabla^2 \varphi_n + V_{\text{eff}}\left[\rho\right](\boldsymbol{r},t)\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t)$$
$$\rho(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \sum_n \varphi_n^*(\boldsymbol{r},t)\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t)$$

- Solve the equations numerically.
- Represent functions and other objects.

Calculate derivatives and integrals.

Time-dependent Kohn-Sham equation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t) = -\nabla^2 \varphi_n + V_{\text{eff}}\left[\rho\right](\boldsymbol{r},t)\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t)$$
$$\rho(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \sum_n \varphi_n^*(\boldsymbol{r},t)\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{r},t)$$

- Solve the equations numerically.
- Represent functions and other objects.
- Calculate derivatives and integrals.

- The atomic potential is very strong and "hard" (small spacing or high plane-wave cutoff required).
- Core electrons are almost independent of the environment.
- Replace the potential and core electrons by a pseudo-potential.

- The atomic potential is very strong and "hard" (small spacing or high plane-wave cutoff required).
- Core electrons are almost independent of the environment.
- Replace the potential and core electrons by a pseudo-potential.

Norm-conserving pseudo-potentials in Kleinman-Bylander form $V = V_{
m loc} + \sum |lm\rangle$ (Vi – Vicc) (lm|

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

Introduction to Octopus

TDDFT 2012, Benasque 3 / 26

- The atomic potential is very strong and "hard" (small spacing or high plane-wave cutoff required).
- Core electrons are almost independent of the environment.
- Replace the potential and core electrons by a pseudo-potential.

Norm-conserving pseudo-potentials in Kleinman-Bylander form

$$V = V_{\rm loc} + \sum_{l} \left| lm \right\rangle \left(V_l - V_{\rm loc} \right) \left\langle lm \right|$$

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

- The atomic potential is very strong and "hard" (small spacing or high plane-wave cutoff required).
- Core electrons are almost independent of the environment.
- Replace the potential and core electrons by a pseudo-potential.



Norm-conserving pseudo-potentials in Kleinman-Bylander form

$$V = V_{\rm loc} + \sum_{lm} |lm\rangle \left(V_l - V_{\rm loc}\right) \langle lm|$$

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

• Partial differential equation with infinite degrees of freedom.

- Reduce to a finite number.
- Functions are represented by values on a set of points.
- Point distribution:

• Finite region of the space: Box

• Partial differential equation with infinite degrees of freedom.

• Reduce to a finite number.

Functions are represented by values on a set of points.

Point distribution:

- Uniformly spaced grid.
- Distance between points is constant: Spacing.
- Non-uniform grids also possible.
- Finite region of the space: Box

- Partial differential equation with infinite degrees of freedom.
- Reduce to a finite number.
- Functions are represented by values on a set of points.
- Point distribution:
 - Uniformly spaced grid
 - Distance between points is constant: Spacing.
 - Non-uniform grids also possible.
- Finite region of the space: Box

- Partial differential equation with infinite degrees of freedom.
- Reduce to a finite number.
- Functions are represented by values on a set of points.
- Point distribution:
 - Uniformly spaced grid.
 - Distance between points is constant: Spacing.
 - Non-uniform grids also possible.
- Finite region of the space: Box

- Partial differential equation with infinite degrees of freedom.
- Reduce to a finite number.
- Functions are represented by values on a set of points.
- Point distribution:
 - Uniformly spaced grid.
 - Distance between points is constant: Spacing.
 - Non-uniform grids also possible.
- Finite region of the space: Box

- Partial differential equation with infinite degrees of freedom.
- Reduce to a finite number.
- Functions are represented by values on a set of points.
- Point distribution:
 - Uniformly spaced grid.
 - Distance between points is constant: Spacing.
 - Non-uniform grids also possible.

• Finite region of the space: Box

- Partial differential equation with infinite degrees of freedom.
- Reduce to a finite number.
- Functions are represented by values on a set of points.
- Point distribution:
 - Uniformly spaced grid.
 - Distance between points is constant: Spacing.
 - Non-uniform grids also possible.

• Finite region of the space: Box

- Partial differential equation with infinite degrees of freedom.
- Reduce to a finite number.
- Functions are represented by values on a set of points.
- Point distribution:
 - Uniformly spaced grid.
 - Distance between points is constant: Spacing.
 - Non-uniform grids also possible.
- Finite region of the space: Box

• For finite systems, functions go to zero.

- Force functions to go to zero on the border of the box.
- The box has to be large enough to contain the functions.
- Other BCs are possible: periodic, zero derivative, open.

- For finite systems, functions go to zero.
- Force functions to go to zero on the border of the box.
- The box has to be large enough to contain the functions.
- Other BCs are possible: periodic, zero derivative, open.

- For finite systems, functions go to zero.
- Force functions to go to zero on the border of the box.
- The box has to be large enough to contain the functions.
- Other BCs are possible: periodic, zero derivative, open.

- For finite systems, functions go to zero.
- Force functions to go to zero on the border of the box.
- The box has to be large enough to contain the functions.
- Other BCs are possible: periodic, zero derivative, open.

• Optimize the shape of the box to minimize the number of points needed.

Available box shapes:

- Minimum box: union of spheres around each atom.
- Sphere Sphere
- Cylinder.
- Parallelepiped.
- Arbitrary (e.g. 2D imagel)

- Optimize the shape of the box to minimize the number of points needed.
- Available box shapes:
 - Minimum box: union of spheres around each atom.
 - Sphere.
 - Cylinder.
 - Parallelepiped.
 - Arbitrary (e.g. 2D image!)

- Optimize the shape of the box to minimize the number of points needed.
- Available box shapes:
 - Minimum box: union of spheres around each atom.
 - Sphere.
 - Cylinder.
 - Parallelepiped.
 - Arbitrary (e.g. 2D image!)

- Optimize the shape of the box to minimize the number of points needed.
- Available box shapes:
 - Minimum box: union of spheres around each atom.
 - Sphere.
 - Cylinder.
 - Parallelepiped.
 - Arbitrary (e.g. 2D image!)

- Optimize the shape of the box to minimize the number of points needed.
- Available box shapes:
 - Minimum box: union of spheres around each atom.
 - Sphere.
 - Cylinder.
 - Parallelepiped.
 - Arbitrary (e.g. 2D image!)

- Optimize the shape of the box to minimize the number of points needed.
- Available box shapes:
 - Minimum box: union of spheres around each atom.
 - Sphere.
 - Oylinder.
 - Parallelepiped.

Arbitrary (e.g. 2D image!)

- Optimize the shape of the box to minimize the number of points needed.
- Available box shapes:
 - Minimum box: union of spheres around each atom.
 - Sphere.
 - Oylinder.
 - Parallelepiped.
 - Arbitrary (e.g. 2D image!)

Example: benzene molecule in minimal box



D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

- Natural boundary conditions for different problems: zero, one, two, or three periodic dimensions for molecules, wires, sheets, and solids.
- Representation used for calculating $V_{\rm xc}\left[
 ho
 ight]$ even with other bases.
- Can systematically improve discretization quality:
- Decrease the spacing (like increasing plane-wave cutof).
 Increase the box size (in finite directions).
- Orthogonal "basis set".
- Unbiased, independent of atomic positions (no Pulay forces).
- Problems:

- Natural boundary conditions for different problems: zero, one, two, or three periodic dimensions for molecules, wires, sheets, and solids.
- Representation used for calculating $V_{xc}[\rho]$ even with other bases.
- Can systematically improve discretization quality:
 Decrease the spacing (like increasing plane-wave cutoff)
 Increase the bootstate (in time direction)
- Orthogonal "basis set".
- Unbiased, independent of atomic positions (no Pulay forces).
- Problems:

- Natural boundary conditions for different problems: zero, one, two, or three periodic dimensions for molecules, wires, sheets, and solids.
- Representation used for calculating $V_{\rm xc} \left[\rho \right]$ even with other bases.
- Can systematically improve discretization quality:
 - Decrease the spacing (like increasing plane-wave cutoff).
 - Increase the box size (in finite directions).
- Orthogonal "basis set".
- Unbiased, independent of atomic positions (no Pulay forces).
- Problems:

- Natural boundary conditions for different problems: zero, one, two, or three periodic dimensions for molecules, wires, sheets, and solids.
- Representation used for calculating $V_{\rm xc} \left[\rho \right]$ even with other bases.
- Can systematically improve discretization quality:
 - Decrease the spacing (like increasing plane-wave cutoff).
 - Increase the box size (in finite directions).
- Orthogonal "basis set".
- Unbiased, independent of atomic positions (no Pulay forces).
- Problems:

- Natural boundary conditions for different problems: zero, one, two, or three periodic dimensions for molecules, wires, sheets, and solids.
- Representation used for calculating $V_{\rm xc} \left[\rho \right]$ even with other bases.
- Can systematically improve discretization quality:
 - Decrease the spacing (like increasing plane-wave cutoff).
 - Increase the box size (in finite directions).
- Orthogonal "basis set".
- Unbiased, independent of atomic positions (no Pulay forces).
- Problems:

- Natural boundary conditions for different problems: zero, one, two, or three periodic dimensions for molecules, wires, sheets, and solids.
- Representation used for calculating $V_{\rm xc} \left[\rho \right]$ even with other bases.
- Can systematically improve discretization quality:
 - Decrease the spacing (like increasing plane-wave cutoff).
 - Increase the box size (in finite directions).
- Orthogonal "basis set".
- Unbiased, independent of atomic positions (no Pulay forces).
- Problems:
 - Breaking of translational invariance: egg-box effects
 - Breaking of rotational invariance.
 - (Decreasing spacing helps both))

- Natural boundary conditions for different problems: zero, one, two, or three periodic dimensions for molecules, wires, sheets, and solids.
- Representation used for calculating $V_{\rm xc} \left[\rho \right]$ even with other bases.
- Can systematically improve discretization quality:
 - Decrease the spacing (like increasing plane-wave cutoff).
 - Increase the box size (in finite directions).
- Orthogonal "basis set".
- Unbiased, independent of atomic positions (no Pulay forces).
- Problems:
 - Breaking of translational invariance: egg-box effect.
 - Breaking of rotational invariance.
 - (Decreasing spacing helps both.)

- Natural boundary conditions for different problems: zero, one, two, or three periodic dimensions for molecules, wires, sheets, and solids.
- Representation used for calculating $V_{\rm xc} \left[\rho \right]$ even with other bases.
- Can systematically improve discretization quality:
 - Decrease the spacing (like increasing plane-wave cutoff).
 - Increase the box size (in finite directions).
- Orthogonal "basis set".
- Unbiased, independent of atomic positions (no Pulay forces).
- Problems:
 - Breaking of translational invariance: egg-box effect.
 - Breaking of rotational invariance.
 - (Decreasing spacing helps both.)
- Natural boundary conditions for different problems: zero, one, two, or three periodic dimensions for molecules, wires, sheets, and solids.
- Representation used for calculating $V_{\rm xc}[\rho]$ even with other bases.
- Can systematically improve discretization quality:
 - Decrease the spacing (like increasing plane-wave cutoff).
 - Increase the box size (in finite directions).
- Orthogonal "basis set".
- Unbiased, independent of atomic positions (no Pulay forces).
- Problems:
 - Breaking of translational invariance: egg-box effect.
 - Breaking of rotational invariance.
 - (Decreasing spacing helps both.)

- Natural boundary conditions for different problems: zero, one, two, or three periodic dimensions for molecules, wires, sheets, and solids.
- Representation used for calculating $V_{\rm xc} \left[\rho \right]$ even with other bases.
- Can systematically improve discretization quality:
 - Decrease the spacing (like increasing plane-wave cutoff).
 - Increase the box size (in finite directions).
- Orthogonal "basis set".
- Unbiased, independent of atomic positions (no Pulay forces).
- Problems:
 - Breaking of translational invariance: egg-box effect.
 - Breaking of rotational invariance.
 - (Decreasing spacing helps both.)

- Natural boundary conditions for different problems: zero, one, two, or three periodic dimensions for molecules, wires, sheets, and solids.
- Representation used for calculating $V_{\rm xc} \left[\rho \right]$ even with other bases.
- Can systematically improve discretization quality:
 - Decrease the spacing (like increasing plane-wave cutoff).
 - Increase the box size (in finite directions).
- Orthogonal "basis set".
- Unbiased, independent of atomic positions (no Pulay forces).
- Problems:
 - Breaking of translational invariance: egg-box effect.
 - Breaking of rotational invariance.
 - (Decreasing spacing helps both.)

• Derivative at a point: sum over neighboring points.

- The coefficients c_{ij} depend on the mesh and number of points used: the stencil.
- General form for Laplacian:

$$\nabla^2 f(n_x h, n_y h) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}}{h} f(n_x h + ih, n_y h + jh)$$

Compare definition of derivative:

$$f'(x_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{\Delta x}$$

- More points \rightarrow more precision.
- Semi-local operation.

- Derivative at a point: sum over neighboring points.
- The coefficients c_{ij} depend on the mesh and number of points used: *the stencil*.
- General form for Laplacian:

$$\nabla^2 f(n_x h, n_y h) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}}{h} f(n_x h + ih, n_y h + jh)$$

Compare definition of derivative:

$$f'(x_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{\Delta x}$$

- More points \rightarrow more precision.
- Semi-local operation.

- Derivative at a point: sum over neighboring points.
- The coefficients c_{ij} depend on the mesh and number of points used: the stencil.
- General form for Laplacian:

$$\nabla^2 f(n_x h, n_y h) = \sum_{i}^{n} \sum_{j}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}}{h} f(n_x h + ih, n_y h + jh)$$

Compare definition of derivative:

$$f'(x_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{\Delta x}$$

• More points \rightarrow more precision.

Semi-local operation.

- Derivative at a point: sum over neighboring points.
- The coefficients c_{ij} depend on the mesh and number of points used: the stencil.
- General form for Laplacian:

$$\nabla^2 f(n_x h, n_y h) = \sum_{i}^{n} \sum_{j}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}}{h} f(n_x h + ih, n_y h + jh)$$

• Compare definition of derivative:

$$f'(x_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{\Delta x}$$

• More points \rightarrow more precision.

Semi-local operation.

- Derivative at a point: sum over neighboring points.
- The coefficients c_{ij} depend on the mesh and number of points used: the stencil.
- General form for Laplacian:

$$\nabla^2 f(n_x h, n_y h) = \sum_{i}^{n} \sum_{j}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}}{h} f(n_x h + ih, n_y h + jh)$$

Compare definition of derivative:

$$f'(x_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{\Delta x}$$

- More points \rightarrow more precision.
- Semi-local operation.

- Derivative at a point: sum over neighboring points.
- The coefficients c_{ij} depend on the mesh and number of points used: the stencil.
- General form for Laplacian:

$$\nabla^2 f(n_x h, n_y h) = \sum_{i}^{n} \sum_{j}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}}{h} f(n_x h + ih, n_y h + jh)$$

Compare definition of derivative:

$$f'(x_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{\Delta x}$$

- More points \rightarrow more precision.
- Semi-local operation.

Symmetric third-order in 2D.



Trapezoidal rule

$$\int f(x,y) \, dx \, dy = h^2 \sum_{ij} f(ih, jh)$$

Sum over grid points.

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

Introduction to Octopus

TDDFT 2012, Benasque 11 / 26

Trapezoidal rule

$$\int f(x,y) \, dx \, dy = h^2 \sum_{ij} f(ih, jh)$$

• Sum over grid points.

J

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

Introduction to Octopus

TDDFT 2012, Benasque 11 / 26

• What we want to solve:

Kohn-Sham equations

$$-\nabla^{2}\varphi_{n}+V_{\text{eff}}\left[\rho\right](\boldsymbol{r})\varphi_{n}=\epsilon_{n}\varphi_{n}$$

We use a self-consistency scheme to treat non-linearity. Solve for eigenstates at fixed V_{eff}, then update ρ and V_{eff}.

What we want to solve:

Kohn-Sham equations

$$-\nabla^{2}\varphi_{n} + V_{\text{eff}}\left[\rho\right](\boldsymbol{r})\varphi_{n} = \epsilon_{n}\varphi_{n}$$

We use a self-consistency scheme to treat non-linearity.
 Solve for cigopatotes at fixed V.

What we want to solve:

Kohn-Sham equations

$$-\nabla^{2}\varphi_{n} + V_{\text{eff}}\left[\rho\right](\boldsymbol{r})\varphi_{n} = \epsilon_{n}\varphi_{n}$$

• We use a self-consistency scheme to treat non-linearity.

• Solve for eigenstates at fixed $V_{\rm eff}$, then update ρ and $V_{\rm eff}$.

What we want to solve:

Kohn-Sham equations

$$-\nabla^{2}\varphi_{n} + V_{\text{eff}}\left[\rho\right](\boldsymbol{r})\varphi_{n} = \epsilon_{n}\varphi_{n}$$

- We use a self-consistency scheme to treat non-linearity.
- Solve for eigenstates at fixed $V_{\rm eff}$, then update ρ and $V_{\rm eff}$.

• For the Laplacian (kinetic energy) we use finite differences.

- The local part of the potential can be applied directly.
- The non-local potential is applied in a small spherical grid around the atoms.
- The Hamiltonian becomes a finite-size matrix.

- For the Laplacian (kinetic energy) we use finite differences.
- The local part of the potential can be applied directly.
- The non-local potential is applied in a small spherical grid around the atoms.
- The Hamiltonian becomes a finite-size matrix.

- For the Laplacian (kinetic energy) we use finite differences.
- The local part of the potential can be applied directly.
- The non-local potential is applied in a small spherical grid around the atoms.
- The Hamiltonian becomes a finite-size matrix.

- For the Laplacian (kinetic energy) we use finite differences.
- The local part of the potential can be applied directly.
- The non-local potential is applied in a small spherical grid around the atoms.
- The Hamiltonian becomes a finite-size matrix.

• Find the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of a matrix.

- Very large matrix with lots of zero components (Sparse).
- Use iterative solvers where only the action of the matrix is required (various options available in the code).

- Find the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of a matrix.
- Very large matrix with lots of zero components (Sparse).
- Use iterative solvers where only the action of the matrix is required (various options available in the code).

- Find the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of a matrix.
- Very large matrix with lots of zero components (Sparse).
- Use iterative solvers where only the action of the matrix is required (various options available in the code).

Rayleigh-Ritz quotient



- Works for the first state.
- For higher-energy states, it is necessary to orthogonalize against the lower ones.

Rayleigh-Ritz quotient

$$\epsilon(\psi) = \frac{\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}$$

- Works for the first state.
- For higher-energy states, it is necessary to orthogonalize against the lower ones.

Rayleigh-Ritz quotient

$$\epsilon(\psi) = \frac{\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}$$

• Works for the first state.

• For higher-energy states, it is necessary to orthogonalize against the lower ones.

Rayleigh-Ritz quotient

$$\epsilon(\psi) = \frac{\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}$$

- Works for the first state.
- For higher-energy states, it is necessary to orthogonalize against the lower ones.

$$rac{\partial arphi_k}{\partial t} = -
abla^2 arphi_k + V_{ ext{eff}} \left[
ho
ight] (m{r},t) arphi_k$$

- Various numerical schemes of doing the time-propagation.
- Many properties can be obtained.
- Response to time-dependent fields: lasers.

Time-dependent Kohn-Sham equation

i

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{k}}{\partial t} = -\nabla^{2} \varphi_{k} + V_{\text{eff}} \left[\rho \right] (\boldsymbol{r}, t) \varphi_{k}$$

- Various numerical schemes of doing the time-propagation.
- Many properties can be obtained.
- Response to time-dependent fields: lasers.

$$rac{\partial arphi_k}{\partial t} = -
abla^2 arphi_k + V_{ ext{eff}}\left[
ho
ight](m{r},t)arphi_k$$

- Various numerical schemes of doing the time-propagation.
- Many properties can be obtained.
- Response to time-dependent fields: lasers.

$$rac{\partial arphi_k}{\partial t} = -
abla^2 arphi_k + V_{ ext{eff}}\left[
ho
ight](m{r},t)arphi_k$$

- Various numerical schemes of doing the time-propagation.
- Many properties can be obtained.
- Response to time-dependent fields: lasers.

$$rac{\partial arphi_k}{\partial t} = -
abla^2 arphi_k + V_{ ext{eff}}\left[
ho
ight](m{r},t)arphi_k$$

- Various numerical schemes of doing the time-propagation.
- Many properties can be obtained.
- Response to time-dependent fields: lasers.

• Start from the ground state, with a 'kick.'

Time-dependent potential

$$V(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \boldsymbol{\kappa} \delta(t) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \psi \to \psi e^{i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}$$

Time-propagate and get the dipole d(t) as a function of time.

Polarizability tensor

$$(\alpha_{ij}(\omega)) = -\frac{1}{r_i} \int dt \, e^{i\omega t} d_j(t)$$

Absorption cross section

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{4\pi\omega}{c} \Im \left[\alpha(\omega) \right].$$

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

Start from the ground state, with a 'kick.'

Time-dependent potential

$$V(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \boldsymbol{\kappa} \delta(t) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \psi \rightarrow \psi e^{i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}$$

Time-propagate and get the dipole d(t) as a function of time.

Polarizability tensor

$$\alpha_{ij}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\kappa_i}\int dt\, e^{i\omega t}d_j(t)$$

Absorption cross section

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{4\pi\omega}{c} \Im \left[\alpha(\omega) \right]$$

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

Start from the ground state, with a 'kick.'

Time-dependent potential

$$V(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \boldsymbol{\kappa} \delta(t) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \psi \to \psi e^{i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}$$

• Time-propagate and get the dipole d(t) as a function of time.

Polarizability tensor

$$\alpha_{ij}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\kappa_i} \int dt \, e^{i\omega t} d_j(t)$$

Absorption cross section

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{4\pi\omega}{c} \Im\left[\alpha(\omega)\right]$$

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

Start from the ground state, with a 'kick.'

Time-dependent potential

$$V(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \boldsymbol{\kappa}\delta(t) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \psi \to \psi e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}}$$

• Time-propagate and get the dipole *d*(*t*) as a function of time.

Polarizability tensor

$$\alpha_{ij}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\kappa_i} \int dt \, e^{i\omega t} d_j(t)$$

Absorption cross section

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{4\pi\omega}{c} \Im\left[\alpha(\omega)\right]$$

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

TDDFT 2012, Benasque 17 / 26
Absorption spectra from time-propagation

Start from the ground state, with a 'kick.'

Time-dependent potential

$$V(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \boldsymbol{\kappa}\delta(t) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \psi \to \psi e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}}$$

• Time-propagate and get the dipole *d*(*t*) as a function of time.

Polarizability tensor

$$\alpha_{ij}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\kappa_i} \int dt \, e^{i\omega t} d_j(t)$$

Absorption cross section

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{4\pi\omega}{c} \Im\left[\alpha(\omega)\right]$$

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

TDDFT 2012, Benasque 17 / 26



• Fortran 95 and C (+ some Perl utilities).

- Focused on finite systems (periodic systems possible too).
- Norm-conserving pseudopotentials.
- Real-space grid representation.
- Current version is 4.0.
- DFT with many functionals (from libxc), Hartree-Fock, Hartree



²http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus



• Fortran 95 and C (+ some Perl utilities).

- Focused on finite systems (periodic systems possible too).
- Norm-conserving pseudopotentials
- Real-space grid representation.
- Current version is 4.0.
- DFT with many functionals (from libxc), Hartree-Fock, Hartree



²http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)



- Fortran 95 and C (+ some Perl utilities).
- Focused on finite systems (periodic systems possible too).
- Norm-conserving pseudopotentials.
- Real-space grid representation.
- Current version is 4.0.
- DFT with many functionals (from libxc), Hartree-Fock, Hartree





- Fortran 95 and C (+ some Perl utilities).
- Focused on finite systems (periodic systems possible too).
- Norm-conserving pseudopotentials.
- Real-space grid representation.
- Current version is 4.0.
- DFT with many functionals (from libxc), Hartree-Fock, Hartree





- Fortran 95 and C (+ some Perl utilities).
- Focused on finite systems (periodic systems possible too).
- Norm-conserving pseudopotentials.
- Real-space grid representation.
- Current version is 4.0.
- DFT with many functionals (from libxc), Hartree-Fock, Hartree



D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)



- Fortran 95 and C (+ some Perl utilities).
- Focused on finite systems (periodic systems possible too).
- Norm-conserving pseudopotentials.
- Real-space grid representation.
- Current version is 4.0.
- DFT with many functionals (from libxc), Hartree-Fock, Hartree



Two papers on the Octopus code:

- A. Castro, H. Appel, Micael Oliveira, C.A. Rozzi, X. Andrade, F. Lorenzen, M.A.L. Marques, E.K.U. Gross, and A. Rubio, "octopus: a tool for the application of time-dependent density functional theory," *Phys. Stat. Sol. B* 243, 2465-2488 (2006).
- M.A.L. Marques, Alberto Castro, George F. Bertsch, and Angel Rubio, "octopus: a first-principles tool for excited electron-ion dynamics," *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **151**, 60-78 (2003).

Pulpo a feira (pulpo a la gallega)

The origin of the name Octopus. (Recipe available in code.)



D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

Introduction to Octopus

TDDFT 2012, Benasque 20 / 26

Octopus³

Ground-state DFT.

• Time-propagation.

- Molecular dynamics (Ehrenfest, Born-Oppenheimer, Car-Parrinello).
- Casida linear response.
- Sternheimer linear response for electromagnetic response, phonons, Van der Waals coefficients.
- Optimal control theory.
- Real-time quantum transport.
- (Other experimental features.)

³http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

Octopus³

Ground-state DFT.

• Time-propagation.

- Molecular dynamics (Ehrenfest, Born-Oppenheimer, Car-Parrinello).
- Casida linear response.
- Sternheimer linear response for electromagnetic response, phonons, Van der Waals coefficients.
- Optimal control theory.
- Real-time quantum transport.
- (Other experimental features.)

³http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)



- Ground-state DFT.
- Time-propagation.
- Molecular dynamics (Ehrenfest, Born-Oppenheimer, Car-Parrinello).
- Casida linear response.
- Sternheimer linear response for electromagnetic response, phonons, Van der Waals coefficients.
- Optimal control theory.
- Real-time quantum transport.
- (Other experimental features.)

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)



- Ground-state DFT.
- Time-propagation.
- Molecular dynamics (Ehrenfest, Born-Oppenheimer, Car-Parrinello).
- Casida linear response.
- Sternheimer linear response for electromagnetic response, phonons, Van der Waals coefficients.
- Optimal control theory.
- Real-time quantum transport.
- (Other experimental features.)

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)



- Ground-state DFT.
- Time-propagation.
- Molecular dynamics (Ehrenfest, Born-Oppenheimer, Car-Parrinello).
- Casida linear response.
- Sternheimer linear response for electromagnetic response, phonons, Van der Waals coefficients.
- Optimal control theory.
- Real-time quantum transport.
- (Other experimental features.)

³http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)



- Ground-state DFT.
- Time-propagation.
- Molecular dynamics (Ehrenfest, Born-Oppenheimer, Car-Parrinello).
- Casida linear response.
- Sternheimer linear response for electromagnetic response, phonons, Van der Waals coefficients.
- Optimal control theory.
- Real-time quantum transport.
- (Other experimental features.)

³http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)



- Ground-state DFT.
- Time-propagation.
- Molecular dynamics (Ehrenfest, Born-Oppenheimer, Car-Parrinello).
- Casida linear response.
- Sternheimer linear response for electromagnetic response, phonons, Van der Waals coefficients.
- Optimal control theory.
- Real-time quantum transport.

(Other experimental features.)

³http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)



- Ground-state DFT.
- Time-propagation.
- Molecular dynamics (Ehrenfest, Born-Oppenheimer, Car-Parrinello).
- Casida linear response.
- Sternheimer linear response for electromagnetic response, phonons, Van der Waals coefficients.
- Optimal control theory.
- Real-time quantum transport.
- (Other experimental features.)

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

³http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus

- Each processor handles points in a region of space.
- Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
- Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
- Efficient and scalable scheme.
- Parallelization in states:

- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

- Each processor handles points in a region of space.
- Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
- Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
- Efficient and scalable scheme.
- Parallelization in states:

- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

- Each processor handles points in a region of space.
- Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
- Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
- Efficient and scalable scheme.
- Parallelization in states:

- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

- Each processor handles points in a region of space.
- Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
- Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
- Efficient and scalable scheme.
- Parallelization in states:
 - Each processor handles a group of states.
 - Efficient scheme for time-propagation.
 - Also applicable for the ground state.
- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

- Parallelization in domains:
 - Each processor handles points in a region of space.
 - Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
 - Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
 - Efficient and scalable scheme.
- Parallelization in states:
 - Each processor handles a group of states.
 - Efficient scheme for time-propagation.
 - Also applicable for the ground state.
- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

Parallelization in domains:

- Each processor handles points in a region of space.
- Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
- Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
- Efficient and scalable scheme.

• Parallelization in states:

- Each processor handles a group of states.
- Efficient scheme for time-propagation.
- Also applicable for the ground state.
- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

- Parallelization in domains:
 - Each processor handles points in a region of space.
 - Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
 - Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
 - Efficient and scalable scheme.
- Parallelization in states:
 - Each processor handles a group of states.
 - Efficient scheme for time-propagation.
 - Also applicable for the ground state.
- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

- Parallelization in domains:
 - Each processor handles points in a region of space.
 - Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
 - Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
 - Efficient and scalable scheme.
- Parallelization in states:
 - Each processor handles a group of states.
 - Efficient scheme for time-propagation.
 - Also applicable for the ground state.
- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

- Parallelization in domains:
 - Each processor handles points in a region of space.
 - Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
 - Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
 - Efficient and scalable scheme.
- Parallelization in states:
 - Each processor handles a group of states.
 - Efficient scheme for time-propagation.
 - Also applicable for the ground state.
- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

- Parallelization in domains:
 - Each processor handles points in a region of space.
 - Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
 - Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
 - Efficient and scalable scheme.
- Parallelization in states:
 - Each processor handles a group of states.
 - Efficient scheme for time-propagation.
 - Also applicable for the ground state.
- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

- Parallelization in domains:
 - Each processor handles points in a region of space.
 - Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
 - Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
 - Efficient and scalable scheme.
- Parallelization in states:
 - Each processor handles a group of states.
 - Efficient scheme for time-propagation.
 - Also applicable for the ground state.
- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

- Parallelization in domains:
 - Each processor handles points in a region of space.
 - Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
 - Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
 - Efficient and scalable scheme.
- Parallelization in states:
 - Each processor handles a group of states.
 - Efficient scheme for time-propagation.
 - Also applicable for the ground state.
- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

- Parallelization in domains:
 - Each processor handles points in a region of space.
 - Points in the boundaries of each region must be copied to other nodes.
 - Integrals are performed locally and summed over all domains.
 - Efficient and scalable scheme.
- Parallelization in states:
 - Each processor handles a group of states.
 - Efficient scheme for time-propagation.
 - Also applicable for the ground state.
- Parallelization in k-points/spin.
- Parallelization in electron-hole pairs (for Casida linear response).
- Combined parallelization.
- Scales to thousands of processors.

- Free to use it.
- Study the code and modify it.
- Contribute back your changes.
- New developers are welcome.

• Free to use it.

- Study the code and modify it.
- Contribute back your changes.

New developers are welcome.

- Free to use it.
- Study the code and modify it.
- Contribute back your changes.

New developers are welcome.

- Free to use it.
- Study the code and modify it.
- Contribute back your changes.

New developers are welcome.

- Free to use it.
- Study the code and modify it.
- Contribute back your changes.
- New developers are welcome.

Octopus developers

- Joseba Alberdi (Universidad del País Vasco, San Sebastián)
- Xavier Andrade (Harvard)
- Heiko Appel (Fritz-Haber Institut)
- Alberto Castro (BIFI, Zaragoza)
- Miguel Marques (Université Lyon I)
- Danilo Nitsche (Freie Universität Berlin)
- Fernando Nogueira (Universidade de Coimbra)
- Micael Oliveira (Universidade de Coimbra)
- Carlo Andrea Rozzi (Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia)
- Angel Rubio (UPV San Sebastián and FHI)
- David Strubbe (University of California, Berkeley; LBNL)

Other contributors: Fulvio Berardi, Johanna Fuks, Umberto de Giovannini, Nicole Helbig, David Kammerlander, Kevin Krieger, Florian Lorenzen, Juho Ojajärvi, Roberto Olivares-Amaya, Pablo García Risueño, Arto Sakko, José Rui Faustino Sousa, Axel Thimm, Matthieu Verstraete, Jessica Walkenhorst, Jan Werschnik

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)
• Ground-state calculation.

- Optimizing grid parameters.
- Visualization.
- Time-propagation with a laser.
- Optical spectrum from time-propagation.
- Optical spectrum from a Casida calculation.

⁴http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus/wiki/index.php/Tutorial

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

Ground-state calculation.

- Optimizing grid parameters.
- Visualization.
- Time-propagation with a laser.
- Optical spectrum from time-propagation.
- Optical spectrum from a Casida calculation.

⁴http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus/wiki/index.php/Tutorial

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

- Ground-state calculation.
- Optimizing grid parameters.
- Visualization.
- Time-propagation with a laser.
- Optical spectrum from time-propagation.
- Optical spectrum from a Casida calculation.

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

- Ground-state calculation.
- Optimizing grid parameters.
- Visualization.
- Time-propagation with a laser.
- Optical spectrum from time-propagation.
- Optical spectrum from a Casida calculation.

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

- Ground-state calculation.
- Optimizing grid parameters.
- Visualization.
- Time-propagation with a laser.
- Optical spectrum from time-propagation.
- Optical spectrum from a Casida calculation.

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

- Ground-state calculation.
- Optimizing grid parameters.
- Visualization.
- Time-propagation with a laser.
- Optical spectrum from time-propagation.
- Optical spectrum from a Casida calculation.

D. A. Strubbe (UC Berkeley/LBNL)

Have fun!

