Quasivelocities and Optimal Control for Underactuated Mechanical Systems

Leonardo Colombo

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas(CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM)

Benasque September 2009 (Work in progress)

Leonardo Colombo XVIII International Fall Workshop on Geometry and Physics

This is a joint work with David Martin de Diego



Motivation

- J.Cortés, M. de León, S. Martínez, D. Martín de Diego, Geometric description of vakonomic and nonholonomic dynamics. Comparison of solutions, SIAM J. Control Optim. 41, 1389-1412 (2003).
- Bloch, A., Non-holonomic Mechanics and Control, Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics (Springer, New York, 2003).
- Skinner R., Rusk. 1983. Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics I. Formulation on $T^*Q \oplus TQ$, Journal Mathematical Physics, 24(11), 2589-2594 and 2595-2601.
- M. Barbero-Liñán, A.Echeverría Enríquez, D. Martín de Diego, M.C Muños-Lecanda and N. Román-Roy. Skinner-Rusk unified formalism for optimal control systems and applications. J. Phys. A: Math Theor. 40 (2007), 12071-12093.

Motivation

 Gotay, M. and Nester, J. Presymplectic Lagrangian Systems I. The constraint algorithm and the equivalence theorem, Ann. I.H.P Phys. Theor. 30, 129-142 (1979).

Motivation

- Gotay, M. and Nester, J. Presymplectic Lagrangian Systems I. The constraint algorithm and the equivalence theorem, Ann. I.H.P Phys. Theor. 30, 129-142 (1979).
- L.Colombo, D.Martín de Diego, M. Zuccalli. Optimal Control for Underactuated Mechanical Systems: A Geometry Approach, Preprint(2009)

Outline

- Introducction
- Quasivelocities
- **③** Optimal Control for Underactuated Mechanical Systems

Introduction Underactuated Mechanical Systems

A Control System is *underactuated* if the number of the control inputs is less than the dimension of the configuration space.

For example

To balance a cylindrical rod on your hand.



- **2** TQ Velocity space,
- **③** Lagrangian $L: TQ \to \mathbb{R}$, differentiable function
- Euler-Lagrange equations $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = 0$,
- Hamilton equations $\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} = -\dot{p}_i, \ \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} = \dot{q}^i,$
- 2-Cartan form $\Omega_L := -d\Theta_L = dq^i \wedge dp_i$,
- Energy $E_L := \dot{q}^i p_i L$,
- **8** Dynamic equations $i_X \Omega_L = dE_L$.

Optimal Control for Underactuated Mechanical Systems in standard coordinates

- $\ \, {\rm Onfiguration \ space \ } Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$
- **2** Velocity space $TQ = TQ_1 \times TQ_2$
- Coordinates $(q^A) = (q^a, q^{\alpha}), 1 \le A \le n \text{ in } Q; (q^a), 1 \le a \le r, y (q^{\alpha}), r+1 \le \alpha \le n \text{ coordinates in } Q_1 \text{ and } Q_2 \text{ respectively.}$
- Lagrangian $L: TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ regular.

Optimal Control for Underactuated Mechanical Systems in standard coordinates

Euler-Lagrange equations with control

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^a} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^a} = u^a,
\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^\alpha} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\alpha} = 0$$
(1)

Optimal Control for Underactuated Mechanical Systems in standard coordinates

Euler-Lagrange equations with control

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^a} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^a} = u^a,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^\alpha} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\alpha} = 0$$
(1)

Disadvantages

- No included external forces
- 2 No included control forces

- Q, configuration space n-dimensional
- $\bullet \ (q^A) \ {\rm coordinates} \ {\rm in} \ Q$
- $\{X_B\}$ local basis of vector fields defined in the same coordinate neighbourhood.

- Q, configuration space n-dimensional
- $\bullet \ (q^A) \ {\rm coordinates} \ {\rm in} \ Q$
- $\{X_B\}$ local basis of vector fields defined in the same coordinate neighbourhood.

The component to X_B relative to the standard basis $\frac{\partial}{\partial q^j}$ will be denoted X_B^A , that is $X_B = X_B^A(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^A}$.

- Q, configuration space n-dimensional
- $\bullet \ (q^A) \ {\rm coordinates} \ {\rm in} \ Q$
- $\{X_B\}$ local basis of vector fields defined in the same coordinate neighbourhood.

The component to X_B relative to the standard basis $\frac{\partial}{\partial q^j}$ will be denoted X_B^A , that is $X_B = X_B^A(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^A}$.

Let $(y^1, ..., y^n)$ (the **quasivelocities**) be the components of a velocity vector v on TQ relative to the basis X_B , then

$$v = y^B X_B(q) = y^B X_B^A(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^A},$$

- $\bullet~Q$, configuration space n-dimensional
- $\bullet \ (q^A) \ {\rm coordinates} \ {\rm in} \ Q$
- $\{X_B\}$ local basis of vector fields defined in the same coordinate neighbourhood.

The component to X_B relative to the standard basis $\frac{\partial}{\partial q^j}$ will be denoted X_B^A , that is $X_B = X_B^A(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^A}$.

Let $(y^1, ..., y^n)$ (the **quasivelocities**) be the components of a velocity vector v on TQ relative to the basis X_B , then

$$v = y^B X_B(q) = y^B X_B^A(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^A},$$

therefore, $\dot{q}^A=y^B X^A_B(q).$

On TQ we have induced coordinates $\{(q^A, y^A) \mid A = 1, ..., n\}$.

On TQ we have induced coordinates $\{(q^A, y^A) \mid A = 1, ..., n\}$.

The bracket of vector fields X_A is of the form $[X_A, X_B] = C_{AB}^D X_D$ where C_{AB}^D are called **Hamel's symbols or structure coefficients**. On TQ we have induced coordinates $\{(q^A, y^A) \mid A = 1, ..., n\}$.

The bracket of vector fields X_A is of the form $[X_A, X_B] = C_{AB}^D X_D$ where C_{AB}^D are called **Hamel's symbols or structure coefficients**.

The Euler-Lagrange equations in quasivelocities or Hamel equations

$$\dot{q}^A = y^B X^A_B(q)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^A} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^B} X^A_B - \mathcal{C}^D_{AB} y^B \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^D}$$

In standard local coordinates the control equations that we will are

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^A}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^A} = F_A + u_a \overline{X}_A^a$$

where $F = F^A(q, \dot{q})dq^A$ represents given external forces and $\overline{X}^a = \overline{X}^a_A(q)dq^a$, $1 \le a \le m \le n$, the control forces.

In standard local coordinates the control equations that we will are

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^A}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^A} = F_A + u_a \overline{X}_A^a$$

where $F = F^A(q, \dot{q})dq^A$ represents given external forces and $\overline{X}^a = \overline{X}^a_A(q)dq^a$, $1 \le a \le m \le n$, the control forces.

Complete with 1-form \overline{X}^{α} to local basis $\{\overline{X}^{a}, \overline{X}^{\alpha}\}$ of $\Lambda^{1}Q$ and take its dual basis that we denote by $\{X_{a}, X_{\alpha}\}$.

Taking quasivelocities induced by the local basis $\{X_a, X_\alpha\}$, the control equations are written as

Taking quasivelocities induced by the local basis $\{X_a, X_\alpha\}$, the control equations are written as

Lagrangian Control Equation in Quasivelocities

$$\dot{q}^{A} = y^{B}X_{B}^{A}(q)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{a}}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^{B}}X_{a}^{B} + \mathcal{C}_{aB}^{D}y^{B}\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{D}} = F_{A}X_{a}^{A} + u_{a},$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^{B}}X_{\alpha}^{B} + \mathcal{C}_{\alpha B}^{D}y^{B}\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{D}} = F_{A}X_{\alpha}^{A}.$$

Problem

The optimization problem deals with the problem of finding a control law for the system such that a certain optimality criterion is achieved. Usually, the optimization criterion is given by a cost functional of the type

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} C(q^A(t), y^A(t), u_a(t)) dt.$$

This optimal control problem is equivalent to the following constrained variational problem

$$\text{Minimize } \overline{\mathcal{A}} = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \widetilde{L}\left(q^A(t), y^A(t), \dot{y}^A(t)\right) dt$$

subject to constraints

$$\Phi^{\alpha}(q^{A}, y^{A}, \dot{y}^{A}(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^{B}} X^{B}_{\alpha} + \mathcal{C}^{D}_{\alpha B} y^{B} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{D}} - F_{A} X^{A}_{\alpha} = 0,$$

where $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{L}(q^A, y^A, \dot{y}^A) = C\left(\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^\alpha}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^B}X^B_\alpha + \mathcal{C}^D_{\alpha B}y^B\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^D} - F_AX^A_\alpha\right).$$

Geometrically, we have that (q^A, y^A, \dot{y}^A) are coordinates on $T^{(2)}Q$, and the constraints Φ^{α} determines a submanifold \mathcal{M} of $T^{(2)}Q$ and \widetilde{L} is a lagrangian function also defined in $T^{(2)}Q$, that is $\widetilde{L}: T^{(2)}Q \to \mathbb{R}$.

Geometrically, we have that (q^A, y^A, \dot{y}^A) are coordinates on $T^{(2)}Q$, and the constraints Φ^{α} determines a submanifold \mathcal{M} of $T^{(2)}Q$ and \widetilde{L} is a lagrangian function also defined in $T^{(2)}Q$, that is $\widetilde{L}: T^{(2)}Q \to \mathbb{R}$. The canonical inmersion $j_2: T^{(2)}Q \to T(TQ)$ in the induced coordinates (q^A, y^A, \dot{y}^A) is

$$\begin{array}{rc} T^{(2)}Q \rightarrow TTQ \\ (q^A,y^A,\dot{y}^a) & \mapsto (q^A,y^A,X^A_By^B,\dot{y}^a) \end{array}$$

Geometrically, we have that (q^A, y^A, \dot{y}^A) are coordinates on $T^{(2)}Q$, and the constraints Φ^{α} determines a submanifold \mathcal{M} of $T^{(2)}Q$ and \widetilde{L} is a lagrangian function also defined in $T^{(2)}Q$, that is $\widetilde{L}: T^{(2)}Q \to \mathbb{R}$. The canonical inmersion $j_2: T^{(2)}Q \to T(TQ)$ in the induced coordinates (q^A, y^A, \dot{y}^A) is

$$\begin{array}{rc} T^{(2)}Q \rightarrow TTQ \\ (q^A, y^A, \dot{y}^a) & \mapsto (q^A, y^A, X^A_B y^B, \dot{y}^a) \end{array}$$

Assume that the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}}\right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-m}$ is regular, then we

can rewrite the constraints in the form $\dot{y}^\beta=G^\alpha(q^A,y^A,\dot{y}^a)$ and, coordinates (q^A,y^A,\dot{y}^a) on $\mathcal{M}.$

Let us define $\widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ by $\widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}} = \widetilde{L} \mid_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ and consider $W_0 = \mathcal{M} \times_{TQ} T^*TQ$ with induced coordinates $(q^A, y^A, \dot{y}^a, p_A, \tilde{p}_A)$.

Let us define the 2-form $\Omega = pr_2^*(\omega_{TQ})$ on W_0 , where ω_{TQ} is the canonical symplectic form on T^*TQ , and $\widetilde{H}(v_x, \alpha_q) = \langle \alpha_x, (j_2) |_{\mathcal{M}}(v_x) \rangle - \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(v_x)$ where $x \in TQ, v_x \in \mathcal{M}_{\S}(\tau_{TQ} |_{\mathcal{M}})^{-1}(x)$ and $\alpha_x \in T_x^*TQ$.

In coordinates

$$\Omega = dq^A \wedge dp_A + dy^A \wedge d\tilde{p}_A,$$
$$\widetilde{H} = p_A X^A_B(q) y^B + \widetilde{p}_a \dot{y}^a + \widetilde{p}_\alpha G^\alpha(q^A, y^A, \dot{y}^a) - \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(q^A, y^A, \dot{y}^a).$$

The dynamics of this variational constrained problem is determining by the solution of the equation

$$i_X \Omega = d\widetilde{H}.$$

The dynamics of this variational constrained problem is determining by the solution of the equation

$$i_X \Omega = d\widetilde{H}.$$

 Ω is a presymplectic form and $ker\Omega = span\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{y}^a} \rangle$.

The dynamics of this variational constrained problem is determining by the solution of the equation

$$i_X \Omega = d\widetilde{H}.$$

 $\Omega \text{ is a presymplectic form and } ker \Omega = span \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{y}^a} \rangle.$

Following the Gotay-Nester-Hinds algorithm we obtain the primary constraints $d\widetilde{H}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{y}^a}\right) = 0$, that is

$$\varphi_a = \frac{\partial \widetilde{H}}{\partial \dot{y}^a} = \widetilde{p}_a + \widetilde{p}_\alpha \frac{\partial G^\alpha}{\partial \dot{y}^a} - \frac{\partial \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial \dot{y}^a} = 0$$

The dynamics is restricted to the manifold W_1 determined by the vanishing of the constraints $\varphi_a = 0$. Observe that dim $W_1 = 4n$ with induced coordinates $(q^A, y^A, \dot{y}^a, p_A, \tilde{p}_a)$.

A curve solution of dynamic equations must verify the following system of diferential equations

$$\frac{dq^{A}}{dt} = X_{B}^{A}(q(t))y^{B}(t)$$
(2)
$$\frac{dy^{\alpha}}{dt} = G^{\alpha}(q^{A}(t), y^{A}(t), \frac{dy^{a}}{dt}) \qquad \frac{dy^{a}}{dt} = \dot{y}^{a}(t)$$
(3)
$$\frac{dp_{A}}{dt} = -p_{C}(t)\frac{\partial X_{B}^{C}}{\partial q^{A}}(q(t))y^{B}(t) - \tilde{p}_{\alpha}(t)\frac{\partial G^{\alpha}}{\partial q^{A}}(q^{B}(t), y^{B}(t), \dot{y}^{b})$$

$$+\frac{\partial \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial q^{A}}(q^{B}(t), y^{B}(t), \dot{y}^{b}(t))$$
(4)
$$\frac{d\tilde{p}_{A}}{dt} = -p_{C}(t)X_{A}^{C}(q(t)) - \tilde{p}_{\alpha}(t)\frac{\partial G^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{A}}(q^{B}(t), y^{B}(t), \dot{y}^{b})$$

$$+\frac{\partial \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial y^{A}}(q^{B}(t), y^{B}(t), \dot{y}^{b})$$
(5)
$$\tilde{p}_{a} = -\tilde{p}_{\alpha}\frac{\partial G^{\alpha}}{\partial \dot{y}^{a}} + \frac{\partial \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial \dot{y}^{a}}$$
(6)

XVIII International Fall Workshop on Geometry and Physics

From Equations (5) and (6) we deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial \dot{y}^{a}} - \widetilde{p}_{\alpha}\frac{\partial G^{\alpha}}{\partial \dot{y}^{a}}\right) = -p_{C}X_{a}^{C} - \widetilde{p}_{\alpha}\frac{\partial G^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{a}} + \frac{\partial \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial y^{a}}$$

Differentiating with respect to time, replacing in the previous equality and using (4) we obtain the following equations system

$$\begin{split} &\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial \dot{y}^a} - \widetilde{p}_\alpha \frac{\partial G^\alpha}{\partial \dot{y}^a} \right) - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial y^a} - \widetilde{p}_\alpha \frac{\partial G^\alpha}{\partial y^a} \right) \\ &+ X_a^A \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial q^A} - \widetilde{p}_\alpha \frac{\partial G^\alpha}{\partial q^A} \right) \widetilde{p}_C y^B \left[X_a^D \frac{\partial X_B^C}{\partial q^D} - X_B^D \frac{\partial X_a^C}{\partial q^D} \right] = 0 \\ &\frac{d\widetilde{p}_\alpha}{dt} = -p_\alpha - \widetilde{p}_\beta \frac{\partial G^\beta}{\partial y^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial y^\alpha} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial \dot{y}^a} - \widetilde{p}_{\alpha} \frac{\partial G^{\alpha}}{\partial \dot{y}^a} \right) &- \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial y^a} - \widetilde{p}_{\alpha} \frac{\partial G^{\alpha}}{\partial y^a} \right) \\ &+ X_a^A \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial q^A} - \widetilde{p}_{\alpha} \frac{\partial G^{\alpha}}{\partial q^A} \right) \widetilde{p}_C y^B \left[X_a^D \frac{\partial X_B^C}{\partial q^D} - X_B^D \frac{\partial X_a^C}{\partial q^D} \right] = 0 \\ \frac{d\widetilde{p}_{\alpha}}{dt} &= -p_{\alpha} - \widetilde{p}_{\beta} \frac{\partial G^{\beta}}{\partial y^{\alpha}} + \frac{\partial \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial y^{\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the 2-form $\Omega_{W_1} = i^*_{W_1}\Omega$ where $i_{W_1}: W_1 \hookrightarrow W_0$ is the canonical inclusion.

Theorem

 (W_1,Ω_{W_1}) is symplectic if and only if for any choise of local coordinates $(q^A,y^A,\dot{y}^a,p_A,\widetilde{p}_A)$ on W_0

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial \dot{y}^a \partial \dot{y}^b} - \widetilde{p}_{\alpha} \frac{\partial^2 G^{\alpha}}{\partial \dot{y}^a \partial \dot{y}^b}\right)_{(n-m) \times (n-m)} \neq 0$$

Cariñena J; Santos P. and Nunes da Costa J, Quasi-coordinates from the point of view of Lie algebroid structures, J.Phys A. 40(33), 10031-10048 (2007).

- Cortéz J. and Martínez E; Mechanical control systems on lie algebroids, IMA J. Math Control Inform. 21(4), 457-492 (2004).
- Iglesias D; Marrero J.C; Martín de Diego D. and Sosa D; Singular Lagrangian systems and variational constrained mechanics on Lie algebroid, Dyn. syst 23(3), 351-397 (2008).
- A.M. Bloch, I.I. Hussein, M. Leok and A.K. Sanyal; Geometric Structure-Preserving Optimal Control of the Rigid Body, Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems, 15(3), 307-330, 2009.

Thanks for voting me !!!