

# On the Cohomology of Almost Complex Manifolds

A. Tomassini

Università di Parma

“XVIII International Fall Workshop on Geometry and Physics” –  
6-11 September 2009



- $(M, J)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

The *J-tamed symplectic cone* is

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega \text{ is symplectic and tamed by } J\}$$

and the *J-compatible symplectic cone* is

$$\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega \text{ is symplectic and compatible with } J\}.$$



- $(M, J)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

The  *$J$ -tamed symplectic cone* is

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega \text{ is symplectic and tamed by } J\}$$

and the  *$J$ -compatible symplectic cone* is

$$\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega \text{ is symplectic and compatible with } J\}.$$



- $(M, J)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

The  *$J$ -tamed symplectic cone* is

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega \text{ is symplectic and tamed by } J\}$$

and the  *$J$ -compatible symplectic cone* is

$$\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega \text{ is symplectic and compatible with } J\}.$$



- $(M, J)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

The  *$J$ -tamed symplectic cone* is

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega \text{ is symplectic and tamed by } J\}$$

and the  *$J$ -compatible symplectic cone* is

$$\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega \text{ is symplectic and compatible with } J\}.$$



- $(M, J)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

The  *$J$ -tamed symplectic cone* is

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega \text{ is symplectic and tamed by } J\}$$

and the  *$J$ -compatible symplectic cone* is

$$\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega \text{ is symplectic and compatible with } J\}.$$



- $(M, J)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

The  *$J$ -tamed symplectic cone* is

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega \text{ is symplectic and tamed by } J\}$$

and the  *$J$ -compatible symplectic cone* is

$$\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) = \{[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega \text{ is symplectic and compatible with } J\}.$$



By T.-J. Li and W. Zhang

if  $J$  is a complex structure and  $\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) \neq \emptyset$



the following split holds

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \mathcal{K}_J^c(M) + \left[ (H_{\bar{\partial}}^{2,0}(M) \oplus H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,2}(M))_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \right],$$

where  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)$  denotes the  $(p, q)$ -Dolbeault cohomology group of the complex manifold  $(M, J)$ .





By T.-J. Li and W. Zhang

if  $J$  is a complex structure and  $\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) \neq \emptyset$



the following split holds

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \mathcal{K}_J^c(M) + \left[ (H_{\bar{\partial}}^{2,0}(M) \oplus H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,2}(M))_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \right],$$

where  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)$  denotes the  $(p, q)$ -Dolbeault cohomology group of the complex manifold  $(M, J)$ .



By T.-J. Li and W. Zhang

if  $J$  is a complex structure and  $\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) \neq \emptyset$



the following split holds

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \mathcal{K}_J^c(M) + \left[ (H_{\bar{\partial}}^{2,0}(M) \oplus H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,2}(M))_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \right],$$

where  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)$  denotes the  $(p, q)$ -Dolbeault cohomology group of the complex manifold  $(M, J)$ .



By T.-J. Li and W. Zhang

if  $J$  is a complex structure and  $\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) \neq \emptyset$



the following split holds

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \mathcal{K}_J^c(M) + \left[ (H_{\bar{\partial}}^{2,0}(M) \oplus H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,2}(M))_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \right],$$

where  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)$  denotes the  $(p, q)$ -Dolbeault cohomology group of the complex manifold  $(M, J)$ .



By T.-J. Li and W. Zhang

if  $J$  is a complex structure and  $\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) \neq \emptyset$



the following split holds

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \mathcal{K}_J^c(M) + \left[ (H_{\bar{\partial}}^{2,0}(M) \oplus H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,2}(M))_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \right],$$

where  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)$  denotes the  $(p, q)$ -Dolbeault cohomology group of the complex manifold  $(M, J)$ .



By T.-J. Li and W. Zhang

if  $J$  is a complex structure and  $\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) \neq \emptyset$



the following split holds

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \mathcal{K}_J^c(M) + \left[ (H_{\bar{\partial}}^{2,0}(M) \oplus H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,2}(M))_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \right],$$

where  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)$  denotes the  $(p, q)$ -Dolbeault cohomology group of the complex manifold  $(M, J)$ .



By T.-J. Li and W. Zhang

if  $J$  is a complex structure and  $\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) \neq \emptyset$



the following split holds

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \mathcal{K}_J^c(M) + \left[ (H_{\bar{\partial}}^{2,0}(M) \oplus H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,2}(M))_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \right],$$

where  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)$  denotes the  $(p, q)$ -Dolbeault cohomology group of the complex manifold  $(M, J)$ .



By T.-J. Li and W. Zhang

if  $J$  is a complex structure and  $\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) \neq \emptyset$



the following split holds

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \mathcal{K}_J^c(M) + \left[ (H_{\bar{\partial}}^{2,0}(M) \oplus H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,2}(M))_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \right],$$

where  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)$  denotes the  $(p, q)$ -Dolbeault cohomology group of the complex manifold  $(M, J)$ .



- Study of the tamed symplectic cone and calibrated symplectic cone.
- Extend the previous decomposition theorem to the non integrable almost complex structures.

Li and Zhang introduce the cohomology groups

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}\},$$

$$H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}\}$$

and the notion of  $C^\infty$ -*pure and full almost complex structure*, when the previous groups give rise to a direct sum decomposition of  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .





- Study of the **tamed symplectic cone** and **calibrated symplectic cone**.
- Extend the previous decomposition theorem to the **non integrable almost complex structures**.

Li and Zhang introduce the cohomology groups

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}\},$$

$$H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}\}$$

and the notion of  *$C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure*, when the previous groups give rise to a direct sum decomposition of  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .



- Study of the **tamed symplectic cone** and **calibrated symplectic cone**.
- Extend the previous decomposition theorem to the **non integrable almost complex structures**.

Li and Zhang introduce the cohomology groups

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}\},$$

$$H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}\}$$

and the notion of  *$C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure*, when the previous groups give rise to a direct sum decomposition of  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .



- Study of the **tamed symplectic cone** and **calibrated symplectic cone**.
- Extend the previous decomposition theorem to the **non integrable almost complex structures**.

Li and Zhang introduce the cohomology groups

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}\},$$

$$H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}\}$$

and the notion of  *$C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure*, when the previous groups give rise to a direct sum decomposition of  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .



- Study of the **tamed symplectic cone** and **calibrated symplectic cone**.
- Extend the previous decomposition theorem to the **non integrable almost complex structures**.

Li and Zhang introduce the cohomology groups

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}\},$$

$$H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}\}$$

and the notion of  *$C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure*, when the previous groups give rise to a direct sum decomposition of  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .



- Study of the **tamed symplectic cone** and **calibrated symplectic cone**.
- Extend the previous decomposition theorem to the **non integrable almost complex structures**.

Li and Zhang introduce the cohomology groups

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}\},$$

$$H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}\}$$

and the notion of  *$C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure*, when the previous groups give rise to a direct sum decomposition of  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .



- Study of the **tamed symplectic cone** and **calibrated symplectic cone**.
- Extend the previous decomposition theorem to the **non integrable almost complex structures**.

**Li and Zhang** introduce the cohomology groups

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}\},$$

$$H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}\}$$

and the notion of  *$C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure*, when the previous groups give rise to a direct sum decomposition of  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .



- Study of the **tamed symplectic cone** and **calibrated symplectic cone**.
- Extend the previous decomposition theorem to the **non integrable almost complex structures**.

**Li and Zhang** introduce the cohomology groups

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}\},$$

$$H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}\}$$

and the notion of  *$C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure*, when the previous groups give rise to a direct sum decomposition of  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .



- Study of the **tamed symplectic cone** and **calibrated symplectic cone**.
- Extend the previous decomposition theorem to the **non integrable almost complex structures**.

**Li and Zhang** introduce the cohomology groups

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}\},$$

$$H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}\}$$

and the notion of  $C^\infty$ -*pure and full almost complex structure*, when the previous groups give rise to a direct sum decomposition of  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .





- Study of the **tamed symplectic cone** and **calibrated symplectic cone**.
- Extend the previous decomposition theorem to the **non integrable almost complex structures**.

**Li and Zhang** introduce the cohomology groups

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}\},$$

$$H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}\}$$

and the notion of  *$C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure*, when the previous groups give rise to a direct sum decomposition of  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .



- Study of the **tamed symplectic cone** and **calibrated symplectic cone**.
- Extend the previous decomposition theorem to the **non integrable almost complex structures**.

**Li and Zhang** introduce the cohomology groups

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}\},$$

$$H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}\}$$

and the notion of  **$C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure**, when the previous groups give rise to a direct sum decomposition of  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .



- Study of the **tamed symplectic cone** and **calibrated symplectic cone**.
- Extend the previous decomposition theorem to the **non integrable almost complex structures**.

**Li and Zhang** introduce the cohomology groups

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}\},$$

$$H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}\}$$

and the notion of  **$C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure**, when the previous groups give rise to a direct sum decomposition of  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .



They show that if

$J$  is a closed  $C^\infty$ -full almost complex structure and  $\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) \neq \emptyset$

↓

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \mathcal{K}_J^c(M) + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$



They show that if

$J$  is a closed  $C^\infty$ -full almost complex structure and  $\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) \neq \emptyset$



$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \mathcal{K}_J^c(M) + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$



They show that if

$J$  is a closed  $C^\infty$ -full almost complex structure and  $\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) \neq \emptyset$

↓

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \mathcal{K}_J^c(M) + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$



They show that if

$J$  is a closed  $C^\infty$ -full almost complex structure and  $\mathcal{K}_J^c(M) \neq \emptyset$

↓

$$\mathcal{K}_J^t(M) = \mathcal{K}_J^c(M) + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$



- $J$  almost complex structure on a compact  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ .

$$\Omega^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where

$$\Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M) \oplus \Omega_J^{q,p}(M) \mid \alpha = \bar{\alpha} \}.$$

For a finite set  $S$  of pairs of integers, let

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q}, \quad \mathcal{B}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_J^{p,q},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid d\alpha = 0 \}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \exists \gamma : \alpha = d\gamma \}$$





- $J$  almost complex structure on a compact  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ .

$$\Omega^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where

$$\Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M) \oplus \Omega_J^{q,p}(M) \mid \alpha = \bar{\alpha} \}.$$

For a finite set  $S$  of pairs of integers, let

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q}, \quad \mathcal{B}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_J^{p,q},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid d\alpha = 0 \}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \exists \gamma : \alpha = d\gamma \}$$



- $J$  almost complex structure on a compact  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ .

$$\Omega^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where

$$\Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M) \oplus \Omega_J^{q,p}(M) \mid \alpha = \bar{\alpha} \}.$$

For a finite set  $S$  of pairs of integers, let

$$Z_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} Z_J^{p,q}, \quad B_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} B_J^{p,q},$$

$$Z_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid d\alpha = 0 \}$$

$$B_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \exists \gamma : \alpha = d\gamma \}$$



- $J$  almost complex structure on a compact  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ .

$$\Omega^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where

$$\Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M) \oplus \Omega_J^{q,p}(M) \mid \alpha = \bar{\alpha} \}.$$

For a finite set  $S$  of pairs of integers, let

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q}, \quad \mathcal{B}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_J^{p,q},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid d\alpha = 0 \}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \exists \gamma : \alpha = d\gamma \}$$



- $J$  almost complex structure on a compact  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ .

$$\Omega^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where

$$\Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M) \oplus \Omega_J^{q,p}(M) \mid \alpha = \bar{\alpha} \} .$$

For a finite set  $S$  of pairs of integers, let

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q}, \quad \mathcal{B}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_J^{p,q},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid d\alpha = 0 \}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \exists \gamma : \alpha = d\gamma \}$$



- $J$  almost complex structure on a compact  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ .

$$\Omega^k(M)_\mathbb{R} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_\mathbb{R},$$

where

$$\Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_\mathbb{R} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M) \oplus \Omega_J^{q,p}(M) \mid \alpha = \bar{\alpha} \}.$$

For a finite set  $S$  of pairs of integers, let

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q}, \quad \mathcal{B}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_J^{p,q},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_\mathbb{R} \mid d\alpha = 0 \}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_\mathbb{R} \mid \exists \gamma : \alpha = d\gamma \}$$



- $J$  almost complex structure on a compact  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ .

$$\Omega^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where

$$\Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M) \oplus \Omega_J^{q,p}(M) \mid \alpha = \bar{\alpha} \} .$$

For a finite set  $S$  of pairs of integers, let

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q}, \quad \mathcal{B}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_J^{p,q},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid d\alpha = 0 \}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \exists \gamma : \alpha = d\gamma \}$$



- $J$  almost complex structure on a compact  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ .

$$\Omega^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where

$$\Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M) \oplus \Omega_J^{q,p}(M) \mid \alpha = \bar{\alpha} \} .$$

For a finite set  $S$  of pairs of integers, let

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q}, \quad \mathcal{B}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_J^{p,q},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid d\alpha = 0 \}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \exists \gamma : \alpha = d\gamma \}$$



- $J$  almost complex structure on a compact  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ .

$$\Omega^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where

$$\Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M) \oplus \Omega_J^{q,p}(M) \mid \alpha = \bar{\alpha} \} .$$

For a finite set  $S$  of pairs of integers, let

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q}, \quad \mathcal{B}_J^S = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_J^{p,q},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid d\alpha = 0 \}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_J^{p,q} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega_J^{p,q}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \exists \gamma : \alpha = d\gamma \}$$





Set

$$H_J^S(M)_\mathbb{R} = \left\{ [\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^S \right\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_J^S}{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Then there is a natural inclusion

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R} \subseteq H^2(M, \mathbb{R}).$$



Set

$$H_J^S(M)_\mathbb{R} = \left\{ [\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^S \right\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_J^S}{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Then there is a natural inclusion

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R} \subseteq H^2(M, \mathbb{R}).$$



Set

$$H_J^S(M)_\mathbb{R} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^S\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_J^S}{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Then there is a natural inclusion

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R} \subseteq H^2(M, \mathbb{R}).$$



Set

$$H_J^S(M)_\mathbb{R} = \left\{ [\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^S \right\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_J^S}{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Then there is a natural inclusion

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R} \subseteq H^2(M, \mathbb{R}).$$



**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) A smooth almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is said to be  $C^\infty$  *pure and full* if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *pure* if and only if

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\}$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *full* if and only if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$



**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) A smooth almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is said to be  $C^\infty$  *pure and full* if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *pure* if and only if

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\}$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *full* if and only if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$



**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) A smooth almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is said to be  $C^\infty$  *pure and full* if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *pure* if and only if

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\}$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *full* if and only if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$



**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) A smooth almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is said to be  $C^\infty$  *pure and full* if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *pure* if and only if

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\}$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *full* if and only if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$





**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) A smooth almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is said to be  $C^\infty$  *pure and full* if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *pure* if and only if

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\}$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *full* if and only if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$



**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) A smooth almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is said to be  $C^\infty$  *pure and full* if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *pure* if and only if

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\}$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *full* if and only if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$



**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) A smooth almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is said to be  $C^\infty$  *pure and full* if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *pure* if and only if

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\}$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *full* if and only if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$



**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) A smooth almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is said to be  $C^\infty$  *pure and full* if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *pure* if and only if

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\}$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *full* if and only if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$



**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) A smooth almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is said to be  $C^\infty$  *pure and full* if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *pure* if and only if

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\}$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *full* if and only if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$



**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) A smooth almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is said to be  $C^\infty$  *pure and full* if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *pure* if and only if

$$H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\}$$

- $J$  is  $C^\infty$  *full* if and only if

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$



- $(M, J)$  4-dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *If  $M$  is a compact 4-dimensional manifold, then every almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full. Thus, there is a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^+(M) \oplus H_J^-(M).$$

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *Suppose that  $J$  is tamed by a symplectic form  $\omega$ . Then*

$$h_J^+ \geq b^- + 1, \quad h_J^- \leq b^+ - 1.$$



- $(M, J)$  4-dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *If  $M$  is a compact 4-dimensional manifold, then every almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full. Thus, there is a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^+(M) \oplus H_J^-(M).$$

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *Suppose that  $J$  is tamed by a symplectic form  $\omega$ . Then*

$$h_J^+ \geq b^- + 1, \quad h_J^- \leq b^+ - 1.$$





- $(M, J)$  4-dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *If  $M$  is a compact 4-dimensional manifold, then every almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full. Thus, there is a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^+(M) \oplus H_J^-(M).$$

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *Suppose that  $J$  is tamed by a symplectic form  $\omega$ . Then*

$$h_J^+ \geq b^- + 1, \quad h_J^- \leq b^+ - 1.$$



- $(M, J)$  4-dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *If  $M$  is a compact 4-dimensional manifold, then every almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full. Thus, there is a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^+(M) \oplus H_J^-(M).$$

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *Suppose that  $J$  is tamed by a symplectic form  $\omega$ . Then*

$$h_J^+ \geq b^- + 1, \quad h_J^- \leq b^+ - 1.$$



- $(M, J)$  4-dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *If  $M$  is a compact 4-dimensional manifold, then every almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full. Thus, there is a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^+(M) \oplus H_J^-(M).$$

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *Suppose that  $J$  is tamed by a symplectic form  $\omega$ . Then*

$$h_J^+ \geq b^- + 1, \quad h_J^- \leq b^+ - 1.$$



- $(M, J)$  4-dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *If  $M$  is a compact 4-dimensional manifold, then every almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full. Thus, there is a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^+(M) \oplus H_J^-(M).$$

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *Suppose that  $J$  is tamed by a symplectic form  $\omega$ . Then*

$$h_J^+ \geq b^- + 1, \quad h_J^- \leq b^+ - 1.$$



- $(M, J)$  4-dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *If  $M$  is a compact 4-dimensional manifold, then every almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full. Thus, there is a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^+(M) \oplus H_J^-(M).$$

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *Suppose that  $J$  is tamed by a symplectic form  $\omega$ . Then*

$$h_J^+ \geq b^- + 1, \quad h_J^- \leq b^+ - 1.$$



- $(M, J)$  4-dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *If  $M$  is a compact 4-dimensional manifold, then every almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full. Thus, there is a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^+(M) \oplus H_J^-(M).$$

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *Suppose that  $J$  is tamed by a symplectic form  $\omega$ . Then*

$$h_J^+ \geq b^- + 1, \quad h_J^- \leq b^+ - 1.$$



- $(M, J)$  4-dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *If  $M$  is a compact 4-dimensional manifold, then every almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full. Thus, there is a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^+(M) \oplus H_J^-(M).$$

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *Suppose that  $J$  is tamed by a symplectic form  $\omega$ .*

*Then*

$$h_J^+ \geq b^- + 1, \quad h_J^- \leq b^+ - 1.$$



- $(M, J)$  4-dimensional compact almost complex manifold.

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *If  $M$  is a compact 4-dimensional manifold, then every almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full. Thus, there is a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_J^+(M) \oplus H_J^-(M).$$

**Theorem** (Draghici, Li, Zhang, 2008, to appear in *Inter. Math. Res. Not.*) *Suppose that  $J$  is tamed by a symplectic form  $\omega$ . Then*

$$h_J^+ \geq b^- + 1, \quad h_J^- \leq b^+ - 1.$$





# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $(M, J)$  compact almost complex manifold of real dimension  $2n$ .
- $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$   $k$ -currents on  $M$ , i.e. the topological dual of  $\Omega^{2n-k}(M)$ .  
 $k$ -forms are  $(2n - k)$ -currents  $\implies$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group

$$H_k(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^{2n-k}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

$H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group.

- a  $k$ -current is a boundary if and only if it vanishes on the space of closed  $k$ -forms.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $(M, J)$  compact almost complex manifold of real dimension  $2n$ .
- $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$   $k$ -currents on  $M$ , i.e. the topological dual of  $\Omega^{2n-k}(M)$ .  
 $k$ -forms are  $(2n - k)$ -currents  $\implies$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group

$$H_k(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^{2n-k}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

$H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group.

- a  $k$ -current is a boundary if and only if it vanishes on the space of closed  $k$ -forms.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $(M, J)$  compact almost complex manifold of real dimension  $2n$ .
  - $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$   $k$ -currents on  $M$ , i.e. the topological dual of  $\Omega^{2n-k}(M)$ .
- $k$ -forms are  $(2n - k)$ -currents  $\implies$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group

$$H_k(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^{2n-k}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

$H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group.

- a  $k$ -current is a boundary if and only if it vanishes on the space of closed  $k$ -forms.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $(M, J)$  compact almost complex manifold of real dimension  $2n$ .
  - $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$   $k$ -currents on  $M$ , i.e. the topological dual of  $\Omega^{2n-k}(M)$ .
- $k$ -forms are  $(2n - k)$ -currents  $\implies$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group

$$H_k(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^{2n-k}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

$H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group.

- a  $k$ -current is a boundary if and only if it vanishes on the space of closed  $k$ -forms.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $(M, J)$  compact almost complex manifold of real dimension  $2n$ .
- $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$   $k$ -currents on  $M$ , i.e. the topological dual of  $\Omega^{2n-k}(M)$ .  
 $k$ -forms are  $(2n - k)$ -currents  $\implies$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group

$$H_k(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^{2n-k}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

$H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group.

- a  $k$ -current is a boundary if and only if it vanishes on the space of closed  $k$ -forms.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $(M, J)$  compact almost complex manifold of real dimension  $2n$ .
- $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$   $k$ -currents on  $M$ , i.e. the topological dual of  $\Omega^{2n-k}(M)$ .  
 $k$ -forms are  $(2n - k)$ -currents  $\implies$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group

$$H_k(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^{2n-k}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

$H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group.

- a  $k$ -current is a boundary if and only if it vanishes on the space of closed  $k$ -forms.



- $(M, J)$  compact almost complex manifold of real dimension  $2n$ .
- $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$   $k$ -currents on  $M$ , i.e. the topological dual of  $\Omega^{2n-k}(M)$ .  
 $k$ -forms are  $(2n - k)$ -currents  $\implies$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group

$$H_k(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^{2n-k}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

$H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group.

- a  $k$ -current is a boundary if and only if it vanishes on the space of closed  $k$ -forms.



- $(M, J)$  compact almost complex manifold of real dimension  $2n$ .
- $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$   $k$ -currents on  $M$ , i.e. the topological dual of  $\Omega^{2n-k}(M)$ .  
 $k$ -forms are  $(2n - k)$ -currents  $\implies$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group

$$H_k(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^{2n-k}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

$H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$   $k$ -th de Rham homology group.

- a  $k$ -current is a boundary if and only if it vanishes on the space of closed  $k$ -forms.





# Pure and full almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{E}_k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  space of real  $k$ -currents of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$ .

- $S$  finite set of pairs of integers;

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J, \quad \mathcal{B}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J,$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J$  real closed bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents

$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J$  real exact bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents.

Set (Li-Zhang)

$$H_S^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_S^J\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_S^J}{\mathcal{B}},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the space of currents which are boundaries.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{E}_k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  space of real  $k$ -currents of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$ .

- $S$  finite set of pairs of integers;

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J, \quad \mathcal{B}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J,$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J$  real closed bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents

$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J$  real exact bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents.

Set (Li-Zhang)

$$H_S^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_S^J\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_S^J}{\mathcal{B}},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the space of currents which are boundaries.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{E}_k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  space of real  $k$ -currents of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$ .

- $S$  finite set of pairs of integers;

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J, \quad \mathcal{B}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J,$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J$  real closed bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents

$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J$  real exact bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents.

Set (Li-Zhang)

$$H_S^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_S^J\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_S^J}{\mathcal{B}},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the space of currents which are boundaries.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{E}_k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  space of real  $k$ -currents of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$ .

- $S$  finite set of pairs of integers;

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J, \quad \mathcal{B}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J,$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J$  real closed bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents

$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J$  real exact bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents.

Set (Li-Zhang)

$$H_S^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_S^J\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_S^J}{\mathcal{B}},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the space of currents which are boundaries.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{E}_k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  space of real  $k$ -currents of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$ .

- $S$  finite set of pairs of integers;

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J, \quad \mathcal{B}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J,$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J$  real closed bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents

$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J$  real exact bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents.

Set (Li-Zhang)

$$H_S^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_S^J\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_S^J}{\mathcal{B}},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the space of currents which are boundaries.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{E}_k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  space of real  $k$ -currents of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$ .

- $S$  finite set of pairs of integers;

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J, \quad \mathcal{B}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J,$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J$  real closed bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents

$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J$  real exact bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents.

Set (Li-Zhang)

$$H_S^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_S^J\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_S^J}{\mathcal{B}},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the space of currents which are boundaries.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{E}_k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  space of real  $k$ -currents of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$ .

- $S$  finite set of pairs of integers;

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J, \quad \mathcal{B}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J,$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J$  real closed bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents

$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J$  real exact bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents.

Set (Li-Zhang)

$$H_S^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_S^J\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_S^J}{\mathcal{B}},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the space of currents which are boundaries.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{E}_k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  space of real  $k$ -currents of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$ .

- $S$  finite set of pairs of integers;

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J, \quad \mathcal{B}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J,$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J$  real closed bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents

$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J$  real exact bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents.

Set (Li-Zhang)

$$H_S^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_S^J\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_S^J}{\mathcal{B}},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the space of currents which are boundaries.





# Pure and full almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{E}_k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  space of real  $k$ -currents of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$ .

- $S$  finite set of pairs of integers;

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J, \quad \mathcal{B}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J,$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J$  real closed bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents

$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J$  real exact bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents.

Set (Li-Zhang)

$$H_S^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_S^J\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_S^J}{\mathcal{B}},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the space of currents which are boundaries.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{E}_k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  space of real  $k$ -currents of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$ .

- $S$  finite set of pairs of integers;

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J, \quad \mathcal{B}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J,$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J$  real closed bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents

$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J$  real exact bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents.

Set (Li-Zhang)

$$H_S^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_S^J\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_S^J}{\mathcal{B}},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the space of currents which are boundaries.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

$$\mathcal{E}_k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  space of real  $k$ -currents of bi-dimension  $(p, q)$ .

- $S$  finite set of pairs of integers;

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J, \quad \mathcal{B}_S^J = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in S} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J,$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^J$  real closed bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents

$\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^J$  real exact bi-dimension  $(p, q)$  currents.

Set (Li-Zhang)

$$H_S^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{[\alpha] \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_S^J\} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_S^J}{\mathcal{B}},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the space of currents which are boundaries.



$\mathcal{Z}_2$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  respectively the space of real 2-currents which are closed and boundaries.

**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) An almost complex structure  $J$  is said to be

*pure* if

$$H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\},$$

*full* if

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$



$\mathcal{Z}_2$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  respectively the space of real 2-currents which are closed and boundaries.

**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) An almost complex structure  $J$  is said to be

*pure* if

$$H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\},$$

*full* if

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

$\mathcal{Z}_2$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  respectively the space of real 2-currents which are closed and boundaries.

**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) An almost complex structure  $J$  is said to be

*pure* if

$$H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\},$$

*full* if

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$



$\mathcal{Z}_2$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  respectively the space of real 2-currents which are closed and boundaries.

**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) An almost complex structure  $J$  is said to be

*pure* if

$$H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\},$$

*full* if

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$



$\mathcal{Z}_2$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  respectively the space of real 2-currents which are closed and boundaries.

**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) An almost complex structure  $J$  is said to be

*pure* if

$$H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\},$$

*full* if

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$





$\mathcal{Z}_2$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  respectively the space of real 2-currents which are closed and boundaries.

**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) An almost complex structure  $J$  is said to be

*pure* if

$$H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\},$$

*full* if

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$



$\mathcal{Z}_2$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  respectively the space of real 2-currents which are closed and boundaries.

**Definition** (Li, Zhang 2008) An almost complex structure  $J$  is said to be

*pure* if

$$H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = \{0\},$$

*full* if

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$



We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*



We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*



We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*



We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*



We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*



We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*





We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*



We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*



We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*



We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*



We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*



We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*



We have the following

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an almost symplectic  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold and  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$ -pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ .*

*Then  $J$  is pure.*

*If, in addition, either  $n = 2$  or if any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$  respectively) has the harmonic representative in  $\mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  ( $\mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  respectively) with respect to the metric induced by  $\omega$  and  $J$ , then  $J$  is pure and full.*



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a real 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$ .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.





# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a real 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$ .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a real 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$ .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a real 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$ .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion.

Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a real 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$ .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a real 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$ .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a real 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$ .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$ .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$ .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.





# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$** .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$** .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$** .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form**  $\alpha$ .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$** .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  **pure and full**, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$** .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  **pure and full**, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$** .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  **pure and full**, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$** .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  **pure and full**, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.





# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$** .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  **pure and full**, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$** .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  **pure and full**, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$** .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  **pure and full**, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$** .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  **pure and full**, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

**Proof.** We start to prove that  $J$  is pure.

We show that

$$\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J = \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J.$$

Since  $\pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J \supset \mathcal{B}_{1,1}^J$ , we need to prove the other inclusion. Let  $T \in \pi_{1,1}\mathcal{B}_2 \cap \mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$ ; then  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$ , where  $S$  is a **real** 3-current and  $d(\pi_{1,1}dS) = 0$ . We have to show that  $T = \pi_{1,1}dS$  is a boundary, i.e. that it **vanishes on any closed real 2-form  $\alpha$** .

- If  $\alpha$  is exact, then  $(\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $[\alpha] \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then since  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  **pure and full**, we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + d\gamma,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$T(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha) = (\pi_{1,1}dS)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = (dS)(\alpha_1) = 0,$$

since  $\alpha_1$  is closed.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also full, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full





# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also full, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  **full**,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  **full**,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  **full**,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full





# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  **full**,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  **full**,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  **full**,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  **full**,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  **full**,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is full



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  **full**,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is **full**



# Pure and full almost complex structures

If  $n = 2$ , to prove that  $J$  is also **full**, we have to show that

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

holds.

Let  $[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ; then there exists a smooth closed 2-form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

Since  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  **full**,  $\implies$

$$[\alpha] = [\alpha_1] + [\alpha_2],$$

with  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{1,1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}$

•  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  can be viewed as elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_{1,1}^J$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J$  respectively.  $J$  is **full**



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$





# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n-2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n-2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1,1)$  and  $(2,0) + (0,2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n-2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n-2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1,1)$  and  $(2,0) + (0,2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n-2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n-2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1,1)$  and  $(2,0) + (0,2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}), \implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}), \implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

• The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

• By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

• The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

• By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$





# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}), \implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}), \implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

• By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$





# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$





# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a pure harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  real closed currents, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  **real closed currents**, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$





# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  **real closed currents**, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- $n > 2$  and any cohomology class in  $H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  respectively) has a **pure** harmonic representative.

$[T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\implies$  there exists a harmonic  $(2n - 2)$ -form  $\beta$  on  $M$  such that  $[T] = [\beta]$ .

- The 2-form  $\gamma = *\beta$  is closed and defines a cohomology class  $[\gamma] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

- By the assumption,  $\implies$  there exist real harmonic forms  $\gamma_1 \in \Omega_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Omega_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$[\gamma] = [\gamma_1] + [\gamma_2].$$

The  $(2n - 2)$ -forms  $\beta_1 = *\gamma_1$  and  $\beta_2 = *\gamma_2$  give rise to  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  **real closed currents**, i.e.

$$[T] = [\beta_1] + [\beta_2].$$



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.





# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.





# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full

the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Pure and full almost complex structures

- The assumption in the last Theorem that any  $(1, 1)$  (respectively  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ ) de Rham class contains a harmonic representative seems quite strong.
- There are examples of compact non-Kähler solvmanifolds satisfying the above assumption.
- To get the pureness of  $J$ , it is enough to assume that  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  full (see also Li, Zhang).
- By Draghici, Li, Zhang, if  $n = 2$ , then any almost complex structure  $J$  is  $C^\infty$  pure and full  
the last Theorem  $\implies J$  is pure and full.



# Example

- $G$  simply-connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra has structure equation

$$\begin{cases} de^j = 0, & j = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

$M = \Gamma \backslash G$  (compact) nilmanifold.

- Left-invariant almost complex structure on  $M$ , defined by the  $(1, 0)$ -forms

$$\eta^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \eta^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \eta^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

is not  $C^\infty$ -pure, since

$$[\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2)] = [e^{13} + e^{24}] = [e^{24}] = [\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \eta^2)] = [e^{13} - e^{24}].$$



# Example

- $G$  simply-connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra has structure equation

$$\begin{cases} de^j = 0, & j = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

$M = \Gamma \backslash G$  (compact) nilmanifold.

- Left-invariant almost complex structure on  $M$ , defined by the  $(1, 0)$ -forms

$$\eta^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \eta^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \eta^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

is not  $C^\infty$ -pure, since

$$[\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2)] = [e^{13} + e^{24}] = [e^{24}] = [\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \eta^2)] = [e^{13} - e^{24}].$$



# Example

- $G$  simply-connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra has structure equation

$$\begin{cases} de^j = 0, & j = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

$M = \Gamma \backslash G$  (compact) nilmanifold.

- Left-invariant almost complex structure on  $M$ , defined by the  $(1, 0)$ -forms

$$\eta^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \eta^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \eta^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

is not  $C^\infty$ -pure, since

$$[\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2)] = [e^{13} + e^{24}] = [e^{24}] = [\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \eta^2)] = [e^{13} - e^{24}].$$



# Example

- $G$  simply-connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra has structure equation

$$\begin{cases} de^j = 0, & j = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

$M = \Gamma \backslash G$  (compact) nilmanifold.

- Left-invariant almost complex structure on  $M$ , defined by the  $(1, 0)$ -forms

$$\eta^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \eta^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \eta^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

is not  $C^\infty$ -pure, since

$$[\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2)] = [e^{13} + e^{24}] = [e^{24}] = [\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \eta^2)] = [e^{13} - e^{24}].$$





# Example

- $G$  simply-connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra has structure equation

$$\begin{cases} de^j = 0, & j = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

$M = \Gamma \backslash G$  (compact) nilmanifold.

- Left-invariant almost complex structure on  $M$ , defined by the  $(1, 0)$ -forms

$$\eta^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \eta^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \eta^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

is not  $C^\infty$ -pure, since

$$[\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2)] = [e^{13} + e^{24}] = [e^{24}] = [\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \eta^2)] = [e^{13} - e^{24}].$$



# Example

- $G$  simply-connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra has structure equation

$$\begin{cases} de^j = 0, & j = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

$M = \Gamma \backslash G$  (compact) nilmanifold.

- Left-invariant almost complex structure on  $M$ , defined by the  $(1, 0)$ -forms

$$\eta^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \eta^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \eta^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

is not  $C^\infty$ -pure, since

$$[\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2)] = [e^{13} + e^{24}] = [e^{24}] = [\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \eta^2)] = [e^{13} - e^{24}].$$



# Example

- $G$  simply-connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra has structure equation

$$\begin{cases} de^j = 0, & j = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

$M = \Gamma \backslash G$  (compact) nilmanifold.

- Left-invariant almost complex structure on  $M$ , defined by the  $(1, 0)$ -forms

$$\eta^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \eta^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \eta^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

is not  $C^\infty$ -pure, since

$$[\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2)] = [e^{13} + e^{24}] = [e^{24}] = [\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \eta^2)] = [e^{13} - e^{24}].$$



# Example

- $G$  simply-connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra has structure equation

$$\begin{cases} de^j = 0, & j = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

$M = \Gamma \backslash G$  (compact) nilmanifold.

- Left-invariant almost complex structure on  $M$ , defined by the  $(1, 0)$ -forms

$$\eta^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \eta^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \eta^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

is not  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -pure, since

$$[\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2)] = [e^{13} + e^{24}] = [e^{24}] = [\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \eta^2)] = [e^{13} - e^{24}].$$



# Example

- $G$  simply-connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra has structure equation

$$\begin{cases} de^j = 0, & j = 1, \dots, 4, \\ de^5 = e^1 \wedge e^2, \\ de^6 = e^1 \wedge e^3, \end{cases}$$

$M = \Gamma \backslash G$  (compact) nilmanifold.

- Left-invariant almost complex structure on  $M$ , defined by the  $(1, 0)$ -forms

$$\eta^1 = e^1 + ie^2, \quad \eta^2 = e^3 + ie^4, \quad \eta^3 = e^5 + ie^6,$$

is not  $C^\infty$ -pure, since

$$[\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \bar{\eta}^2)] = [e^{13} + e^{24}] = [e^{24}] = [\operatorname{Re}(\eta^1 \wedge \eta^2)] = [e^{13} - e^{24}].$$



# Hard Lefschetz condition

- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if
$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino, —, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*



- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino, —, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*



- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino, —, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*





# Hard Lefschetz condition

- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino, —, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*



# Hard Lefschetz condition

- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino, —, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*



# Hard Lefschetz condition

- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino, —, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*



# Hard Lefschetz condition

- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino, —, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*



# Hard Lefschetz condition

- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*



- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*



# Hard Lefschetz condition

- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*



# Hard Lefschetz condition

- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*





# Hard Lefschetz condition

- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*



# Hard Lefschetz condition

- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*



- $(M, \omega)$   $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the *Hard Lefschetz Condition* if

$$\omega^k : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \alpha \mapsto \omega^k \wedge \alpha$$

induce an isomorphism in cohomology.

**Theorem** (Fino,—, to appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*)

*Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold which satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition.*

*Let  $J$  be a  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structure calibrated by  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  is pure and full.*



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\alpha].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\alpha].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\alpha].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\alpha].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\alpha].$$





**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is **pure**. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\alpha].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is **pure**. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\alpha].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is **pure**. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\alpha].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is **pure**. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [a].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\alpha].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is **pure**. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [a].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.

$(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [a].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.

$(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [a].$$





**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is **pure**. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies **HLC**  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [a].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.
- $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [a].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [a].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\alpha].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [a].$$



**Proof**  $n = 2$  the result follows by the last Theorem.

- $n > 2$ ,  $J$  is pure. We have to show

$$H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}} + H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- $a = [T] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R}) \implies a = [\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega^{2n-2}(M)$   $d$ -closed.  
 $(M, \omega)$  satisfies HLC  $\implies \exists b \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b = [\beta]$  such that

$$a = b \cup [\omega]^{n-2},$$

i.e.

$$[\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\alpha].$$



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $C^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}$ .

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1,1)$ ,  $(2,0) + (0,2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

$J$  is pure and full.





# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $C^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1,1)$ ,  $(2,0) + (0,2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J \mathcal{C}^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1,1)$ ,  $(2,0) + (0,2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $C^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1,1)$ ,  $(2,0) + (0,2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}$ .

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $C^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1,1)$ ,  $(2,0) + (0,2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $C^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1,1)$ ,  $(2,0) + (0,2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.





# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $C^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $C^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}$ .

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}$ .

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $C^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $C^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.



# Hard Lefschetz Condition

- $J$   $C^\infty$  pure and full  $\implies$

$$[\beta] = [\varphi] + [\psi],$$

$[\varphi] \in H_J^{1,1}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[\psi] \in H_J^{(2,0),(0,2)}(M)_\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$a = [T] = [\beta \wedge \omega^{n-2}] = [\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-2}] + [\psi \wedge \omega^{n-2}].$$

- $\varphi, \psi$  real forms of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$  respectively
- $\omega^{n-2}$  real form of type  $(n-2, n-2) \implies$

$$a = [T] = [R] + [S],$$

$$R \in H_{1,1}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}, \quad S \in H_{(2,0),(0,2)}^J(M)_\mathbb{R}.$$

$J$  is pure and full.





# Families of $C^\infty$ pure and full almost complex structures

- $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$  6-dimensional completely solvable Lie algebra with structure equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} df^1 = 0, \\ df^2 = -f^1 \wedge f^2, \\ df^3 = f^3 \wedge f^4, \\ df^4 = 0, \\ df^5 = f^1 \wedge f^5, \\ df^6 = f^4 \wedge f^6. \end{array} \right.$$

- The corresponding simply connected Lie group  $S$  has a compact quotient  $M^6 = \Gamma \backslash S$ .

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \langle [f^{14}], [f^{25}], [f^{36}] \rangle.$$



# Families of $C^\infty$ pure and full almost complex structures

- $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_3$  6-dimensional completely solvable Lie algebra with structure equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} df^1 = 0, \\ df^2 = -f^1 \wedge f^2, \\ df^3 = f^3 \wedge f^4, \\ df^4 = 0, \\ df^5 = f^1 \wedge f^5, \\ df^6 = f^4 \wedge f^6. \end{array} \right.$$

- The corresponding simply connected Lie group  $S$  has a compact quotient  $M^6 = \Gamma \backslash S$ .

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \langle [f^{14}], [f^{25}], [f^{36}] \rangle.$$



# Families of $C^\infty$ pure and full almost complex structures

- $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{l}_3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{l}_3)$  6-dimensional completely solvable Lie algebra with structure equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} df^1 = 0, \\ df^2 = -f^1 \wedge f^2, \\ df^3 = f^3 \wedge f^4, \\ df^4 = 0, \\ df^5 = f^1 \wedge f^5, \\ df^6 = f^4 \wedge f^6. \end{array} \right.$$

- The corresponding simply connected Lie group  $S$  has a compact quotient  $M^6 = \Gamma \backslash S$ .

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \langle [f^{14}], [f^{25}], [f^{36}] \rangle.$$



# Families of $C^\infty$ pure and full almost complex structures

- $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_3$  6-dimensional completely solvable Lie algebra with structure equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} df^1 = 0, \\ df^2 = -f^1 \wedge f^2, \\ df^3 = f^3 \wedge f^4, \\ df^4 = 0, \\ df^5 = f^1 \wedge f^5, \\ df^6 = f^4 \wedge f^6. \end{array} \right.$$

- The corresponding simply connected Lie group  $S$  has a compact quotient  $M^6 = \Gamma \backslash S$ .

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \langle [f^{14}], [f^{25}], [f^{36}] \rangle.$$



# Families of $C^\infty$ pure and full almost complex structures

- $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}l_3 \oplus \mathfrak{so}l_3$  6-dimensional completely solvable Lie algebra with structure equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} df^1 = 0, \\ df^2 = -f^1 \wedge f^2, \\ df^3 = f^3 \wedge f^4, \\ df^4 = 0, \\ df^5 = f^1 \wedge f^5, \\ df^6 = f^4 \wedge f^6. \end{array} \right.$$

- The corresponding simply connected Lie group  $S$  has a compact quotient  $M^6 = \Gamma \backslash S$ .

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \langle [f^{14}], [f^{25}], [f^{36}] \rangle.$$



# Families of $C^\infty$ pure and full almost complex structures

- $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}l_3 \oplus \mathfrak{so}l_3$  6-dimensional completely solvable Lie algebra with structure equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} df^1 = 0, \\ df^2 = -f^1 \wedge f^2, \\ df^3 = f^3 \wedge f^4, \\ df^4 = 0, \\ df^5 = f^1 \wedge f^5, \\ df^6 = f^4 \wedge f^6. \end{array} \right.$$

- The corresponding simply connected Lie group  $S$  has a compact quotient  $M^6 = \Gamma \backslash S$ .

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \langle [f^{14}], [f^{25}], [f^{36}] \rangle.$$



# Families of $C^\infty$ pure and full almost complex structures

- $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}l_3 \oplus \mathfrak{so}l_3$  6-dimensional completely solvable Lie algebra with structure equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} df^1 = 0, \\ df^2 = -f^1 \wedge f^2, \\ df^3 = f^3 \wedge f^4, \\ df^4 = 0, \\ df^5 = f^1 \wedge f^5, \\ df^6 = f^4 \wedge f^6. \end{array} \right.$$

- The corresponding simply connected Lie group  $S$  has a compact quotient  $M^6 = \Gamma \backslash S$ .

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \langle [f^{14}], [f^{25}], [f^{36}] \rangle.$$



# Families of $C^\infty$ pure and full almost complex structures

- $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}l_3 \oplus \mathfrak{so}l_3$  6-dimensional completely solvable Lie algebra with structure equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} df^1 = 0, \\ df^2 = -f^1 \wedge f^2, \\ df^3 = f^3 \wedge f^4, \\ df^4 = 0, \\ df^5 = f^1 \wedge f^5, \\ df^6 = f^4 \wedge f^6. \end{array} \right.$$

- The corresponding simply connected Lie group  $S$  has a compact quotient  $M^6 = \Gamma \backslash S$ .

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \langle [f^{14}], [f^{25}], [f^{36}] \rangle.$$





# Families of $C^\infty$ pure and full almost complex structures

- $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{l}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{l}_3$  6-dimensional completely solvable Lie algebra with structure equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} df^1 = 0, \\ df^2 = -f^1 \wedge f^2, \\ df^3 = f^3 \wedge f^4, \\ df^4 = 0, \\ df^5 = f^1 \wedge f^5, \\ df^6 = f^4 \wedge f^6. \end{array} \right.$$

- The corresponding simply connected Lie group  $S$  has a compact quotient  $M^6 = \Gamma \backslash S$ .

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \langle [f^{14}], [f^{25}], [f^{36}] \rangle.$$



- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .



- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .



- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .



- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .



- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .



- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .



- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .





- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .



- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .



- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .



- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .



- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .



- $J_0$  defined by the  $(1,0)$ -forms

$$\varphi^1 = f^1 + if^4, \varphi^2 = f^2 + if^5, \varphi^3 = f^3 + if^6.$$

$J_0$  is almost-Kähler with respect to

$$\omega = f^{14} + f^{25} + f^{36}.$$

- By M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, J. A. Santisteban (2003) *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*,  $(M^6, J_0, \omega)$  satisfies the Hard Lefschetz condition and

$$H^2(M^6, \mathbb{R}) = H_{J_0}^{1,1}(M^6)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$f^1 \wedge f^4, f^2 \wedge f^5, f^4 \wedge f^6$  are of type  $(1,1)$ .



$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_3 \\ I_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$L_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tI_3 \\ tI_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J_t = (I + L_t)J_0(I + L_t)^{-1}$$

$6t^2 < 1$  family of  $\omega$ -calibrated almost complex structures on  $M^6$ .



$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_3 \\ I_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$L_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tI_3 \\ tI_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J_t = (I + L_t)J_0(I + L_t)^{-1}$$

$6t^2 < 1$  family of  $\omega$ -calibrated almost complex structures on  $M^6$ .





$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_3 \\ I_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$L_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tI_3 \\ tI_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J_t = (I + L_t)J_0(I + L_t)^{-1}$$

$6t^2 < 1$  family of  $\omega$ -calibrated almost complex structures on  $M^6$ .



$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_3 \\ I_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$L_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tI_3 \\ tI_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J_t = (I + L_t)J_0(I + L_t)^{-1}$$

$6t^2 < 1$  family of  $\omega$ -calibrated almost complex structures on  $M^6$ .



$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_3 \\ I_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$L_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tI_3 \\ tI_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J_t = (I + L_t)J_0(I + L_t)^{-1}$$

$6t^2 < 1$  family of  $\omega$ -calibrated almost complex structures on  $M^6$ .



$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_3 \\ I_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$L_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tI_3 \\ tI_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J_t = (I + L_t)J_0(I + L_t)^{-1}$$

$6t^2 < 1$  family of  $\omega$ -calibrated almost complex structures on  $M^6$ .



$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_3 \\ I_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$L_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tI_3 \\ tI_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J_t = (I + L_t)J_0(I + L_t)^{-1}$$

$6t^2 < 1$  family of  $\omega$ -calibrated almost complex structures on  $M^6$ .



Consequently,

$$J_t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2t}{1-t^2} I & -\frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} I \\ \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} I & -\frac{2t}{1-t^2} I \end{pmatrix}$$

and a basis of  $(1,0)$ -forms for  $J_t$  is

$$\varphi_t^1 = f^1 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^1 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^4 \right),$$

$$\varphi_t^2 = f^2 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^2 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^5 \right),$$

$$\varphi_t^3 = f^3 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^3 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^6 \right).$$



Consequently,

$$J_t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2t}{1-t^2} I & -\frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} I \\ \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} I & -\frac{2t}{1-t^2} I \end{pmatrix}$$

and a basis of  $(1,0)$ -forms for  $J_t$  is

$$\varphi_t^1 = f^1 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^1 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^4 \right),$$

$$\varphi_t^2 = f^2 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^2 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^5 \right),$$

$$\varphi_t^3 = f^3 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^3 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^6 \right).$$



Consequently,

$$J_t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2t}{1-t^2} I & -\frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} I \\ \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} I & -\frac{2t}{1-t^2} I \end{pmatrix}$$

and a basis of  $(1,0)$ -forms for  $J_t$  is

$$\varphi_t^1 = f^1 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^1 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^4 \right),$$

$$\varphi_t^2 = f^2 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^2 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^5 \right),$$

$$\varphi_t^3 = f^3 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^3 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^6 \right).$$





Consequently,

$$J_t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2t}{1-t^2} I & -\frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} I \\ \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} I & -\frac{2t}{1-t^2} I \end{pmatrix}$$

and a basis of  $(1,0)$ -forms for  $J_t$  is

$$\varphi_t^1 = f^1 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^1 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^4 \right),$$

$$\varphi_t^2 = f^2 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^2 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^5 \right),$$

$$\varphi_t^3 = f^3 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^3 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^6 \right).$$



Consequently,

$$J_t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2t}{1-t^2} I & -\frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} I \\ \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} I & -\frac{2t}{1-t^2} I \end{pmatrix}$$

and a basis of  $(1,0)$ -forms for  $J_t$  is

$$\varphi_t^1 = f^1 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^1 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^4 \right),$$

$$\varphi_t^2 = f^2 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^2 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^5 \right),$$

$$\varphi_t^3 = f^3 + i \left( \frac{2t}{1-t^2} f^3 + \frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} f^6 \right).$$



- $J_t$  is a family of  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structures, in fact **pure and full**, since

$$\varphi_t^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t^1, \varphi_t^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t^2, \varphi_t^3 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t^3$$

are harmonic.



- $J_t$  is a family of  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structures, in fact **pure and full**, since

$$\varphi_t^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t^1, \varphi_t^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t^2, \varphi_t^3 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t^3$$

are harmonic.



- $J_t$  is a family of  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structures, in fact **pure and full**, since

$$\varphi_t^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t^1, \varphi_t^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t^2, \varphi_t^3 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t^3$$

are harmonic.



- $J_t$  is a family of  $C^\infty$  pure and full almost complex structures, in fact **pure and full**, since

$$\varphi_t^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t^1, \varphi_t^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t^2, \varphi_t^3 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_t^3$$

are harmonic.

